Chapter 1

Geodesic Fields

In the context of differential geometry, *geodesic curves* are a generalization of *straight lines* in the sense of self-parallel curves. Considering a differential manifold M endowed with an affine connection ∇ we define:

Definition 1: Geodesic

A curve $\wedge a \gamma : [a, b] \to M$ such that:

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $\dot{\gamma}^{\mu} \coloneqq \frac{d\gamma^{\mu}}{dt}$ is the tangent vector to the curve.

Notation fixing

In local chart the previous equation assume the popular expression:

$$\ddot{\gamma}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} \dot{\gamma}^j \dot{\gamma}^k = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

Where Γ^i_{jk} is the coordinate representation of the Christoffel symbols of the connection.

In presence of a pseudo-Riemannian metric is possible to present the geodesic in a metric sense i.e. as the curve which extremizes the $Energy Functional^1$:

Definition 2: Energy functional

^aDevo dire smooth o piecewise?

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Remember}$ that for arc-length parametrized curves the Energy functional coincide with the length functional. [7, Lemma 1.4.2]

$$E(\gamma) := \int_{a}^{b} \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \tag{1.3}$$

Considering only the proper variation (that keep the end-point fixed), the extremum condition corresponds to equation 1.2 where ∇ is the unique Levi-Civita connection (torsion-free and metric-compatible).

In general relativity the problem of the geodesic equation linearization, named Jacobi equations takes a central role. 2



(nel file di ripasso di geometria riemmaniana ho scritto gran parte delle definizione conviene vedere cosa mi serve effettivamente... Di certo mi avvalgo della seguente equazione

Notation fixing

In local charts the Jacobi fields along the geodesic γ solve a linear O.D.E.:

$$(X'')^{\mu} + R^{\mu}_{i\alpha_{1}} T^{i} X^{\alpha} T^{j} = 0$$
 (1.4)

where:

- $(X')^{\mu} := (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} X)^{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative along the curve γ .
- $T \equiv \dot{\gamma}(t)$ stands for the tangent vector to the curve γ .

The rest of this chapter will be dedicated to presenting the physical approach to the Geodesic.

Geodesic Problem as a Mechanical Systems 1.1

The basic idea is very simple, portray the geodesic curve as the natural motion of a free particle constrained on the Pseudo-Riemannian manifold Q.





obvious enough this problem can be seen as a generalization of the calculation of the motions of free falling parcticles In terms of general relativity this problem can be instantly recognized as the derivation of the free-falling particles motion.

However, there is no lack of alternative viewpoints. The framework of the classical Geometric Mechanics teach us to picture the "static" configurations of a constrained, complex, classical system as a point on the Configuration space manifold. According to that, the geodesic motion can be

²Usually in this context takes the name of *Geodesic deviation* problem[?, pag. 46].



seen as a realization of a particular dynamics on each mechanical system endowed with a pseudo-Riemannian configuration space a .

aSuch systems can be depicted as "geodesic" even in presence of a position-dependant potential. [?. Cap 3.7]

Theorem 1.1.1 (Geodesic Motion) The geodesics on the Pseudo-Riemmanian manifold (Q,g) are the natural motions of the ordinary Lagrangian system (Q,L) where:

$$L(V_q) := \frac{1}{2} g_q(V, V) \tag{1.5}$$

Proof:

The Euler-Lagrange equation of L coincides with the geodesic equation 1.2. $\underline{\wedge}$.. \underline{A} sul quaderno non so se metterla

Observation 1

The geodesic system is not simply Lagrangian but also Hamiltonian. This property follows from the hyperregularity [?] of L.

<u>|</u> |<u>|</u>

Observation 1

Anyway we will neglect this fact inasmuch in what follows only the Lagrangian character assumes a role.

As shown in chapter 2, every system with discrete degrees of freedom can be seen as the trivial field system. From that follows the alternative characterization of geodesic as a lagrangian field:

Corollary 1.1.1 (Geodesic field) *The geodesics on the Pseudo-Riemmanian manifold* (Q,g) *can be seen as the* Dynamical Configurations *of the lagrangian field system* (E,\mathcal{L}) *where:*

- $E = (Q \times \mathbb{R}, \pi, \mathbb{R})$ trivial smooth bundle on the real line.
- $\mathcal{L}[\gamma] = \frac{1}{2}g(\dot{\gamma},\dot{\gamma})(t)dt$

Proof:

Is simple application of the correspondence seen in chapter ??.

From this perspective is clear that the Energy Functional can be seen as the action in the geodesic field dynamics and equation 1.2 is nothing more than the motion equation according to the *least action principle*.

Figure 1.1: Impressionistic view of the geometric mechanics structure.

1.2 Peierls Bracket of the Geodesic field

The local coordinate expression of the lagrangian density of the geodesic field results:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(t, \gamma^{i}(t), \dot{\gamma}^{i}(t)\right) := \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu,\nu} \left(\gamma^{i}(t)\right) \dot{\gamma}^{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\nu} \tag{1.6}$$

this is highly non-linear. Explicitly is quadratic in the velocity components $\dot{\gamma}^i$ and implicitly, through $g_{\mu\nu}(\gamma^i(t))$, is non-polynomial in coordinate γ^i .

As show in section ??, for this type of systems, the calculation of Peierls bracket can be realized only locally around a predetermined solution. Let's repeat the Peierls' procedure for the system under investigation.



Introduzione da rividere, dimostro che l'operatore linearizzato senza termine inomogeneo corrisponde all'equazione di Jacobi vera e propria mentre con termine inomogeneo dato dalle E-P del disturbo da l'equazione che definisce la perturbazione ritardata e anticipata.

As a consequence of our introduction on the geodesic as a field, we can state the unperturbed dynamic as a L.P.D.O:

$$Q_{\mathcal{L}}(q^{\mu}) = \left[\ddot{q}^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j} \right] \tag{1.7}$$

where $\dot{q}^{\mu}=\frac{d}{dt}q^{\mu}(t)=\dot{q}^{i}\partial_{i}q^{\mu}$. A linear variation of $q_{0}^{\mu}+\epsilon\eta^{\mu}$ constructed from the coordinate representation q_{0}^{μ} of the geodesic $\gamma_{0}\in$ So1, solves the original motion equations when

$$Q_{\mathcal{L}}(q_0^{\mu} + \epsilon \eta^{\mu}) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(q_0^{\mu} + \epsilon \eta^{\mu} \right) + \left[\Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} (\vec{q}_0 + \epsilon \vec{\eta}) \right] \left(\dot{q}_0^i + \epsilon \dot{\eta}^i \right) \left(\dot{q}_0^j + \epsilon \dot{\eta}^j \right) = 0 \stackrel{!}{=} o(\epsilon) \quad (1.8)$$

If we consider only the first order in the parameter ϵ we can expand the expression of the Christoffel symbols:

$$\left[\Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} \big(\vec{q}_0 + \epsilon \vec{\eta}\big)\right] = \left[\Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} (\vec{q}_0) + \epsilon \eta^{\alpha} \big(\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu}_{ij}\big) \bigg|_{\vec{q}_0} + o(\epsilon)\right]$$

Collecting all the terms in equation 1.8 up the first order in ϵ follows a condition on the perturbation:

$$0 = \ddot{\eta}^{\mu} + \eta^{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} \right) \Big|_{\vec{q}_{0}} \dot{q}_{0}{}^{i} \dot{q}_{0}{}^{j} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} \left(\dot{\eta}^{i} \dot{q}_{0}{}^{j} + \dot{q}_{0}{}^{i} \dot{\eta}^{j} \right) = = \left\{ g^{\mu}_{\alpha} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{i\alpha} (\vec{q}_{0}) \left[2 \dot{q}_{0}{}^{i} \frac{d}{dt} \right] + \left[\partial_{\alpha} \right] \right\} \eta^{\alpha}$$



Da dire: espressione in coordinate della lagrangiana, $\tilde{A}l$ altmente non lineare perch $\tilde{A}l$ implicitamente $\tilde{A}l$ $g_{\mu\nu}(\gamma^i(t))$ non polinomiale in γ^i ed esplicitamente $\tilde{A}l$ quadratica, Mostrare esplicitamente che l'equazione di jacobi per il sistema $\tilde{A}l$ effittivamente l'equjazione di jacobi (questo $\tilde{A}l$ triviale se vedi come definisce il campo di jacobi jurgen

1.2.1 Example: Geodesic field on FRW space-time.

1.3 Algebraic quantization of the Geodesic Field



va ripetuto che la geodetica ÃÍ non lineare quindi ciÚ che effettivamente si quantizza ÃÍ jacobi lungo una prefissata geodetica. questo ÃÍ un campo lineare.



INtro: paragrafo sul quaderno: "qual' $\tilde{\text{Al}}$ l'interesse che spinge a quantizzare questo sistema "Campo di Jacobi"?



Disclaimer: Non approfondisco piÃź di tanto gli step di quantizzazione vera e propria. il ruolo di peierls ÃÍ nel prequantistico, definisce il bracket che poi va implementato sull'algebra. Una volta decisa la parentesi la macchinetta procede in automatico.

1.3.1 Peierls Approach



Paragrafo sul Quaderno: ...

1.3.2 Inital data Approach



Ancora su fogli di Brutta!

1.4 Interpretations??????



Speriamo bene..:S