Engineering Robust Server Software

Server Software

Duke UNIVERSITY

Servers Software

- Servers accept requests from clients
  - Exchange information (take requests, give responses)
  - Generally do much of the "computing"
- We'll start with two example categories
  - Unix Daemons (sshd, httpd, …)
  - Server side code in websites (Django)
- So what is so special about server software?
  - Why is it different enough to be in the course title?

Most Code You Have Written

- Run on input, get output
  - Then done
- Error?
  - Print message and exit
- Run by you
  - Trusts user
  - On one computer…
- Deals with one input at a time
  - Serial code
  - Don't care about performance

Servers: Different

```
while (true) {
    ……

}
```

- Run "forever"
  - Implications of this?

Run Forever

- Resource (memory, file descriptors,…) Leaks: Unacceptable
  - Restart Chrome every week b/c memory leak? Annoying
  - Restart Google every 5 minutes b/c memory leak? No way..
- Then again…
  - DukeHub has a memory leak
  - Solution: restart every so many requests.
- But you all are pros at writing leak-free code

Run Forever

- How to handle errors?
  - abort? No way.
- Report and keep going
  - Need to keep handling other requests
- Log:
  - Nobody is watching terminal.
  - Want admins to know? Need log files (/var/log/…)
- Inform user
  - Send (informative?) error response

Maybe more complex?



- Many server systems: more complex
  - Introduce more complexities in terms of running "forever"

Three Tier System



1. Presentation Tier

2. Application Tier (Business Logic)

3. Storage Tier

Maybe more complex?



- Maybe we want to upgrade v1.0 to v2.0
  - Difficulties?

Maybe more complex?



- Maybe we want to upgrade v1.0 to v2.0
  - Difficulties?
  - Version 1.0 data: accessed by v2.0 software..

Maybe more complex?



- Maybe we want to upgrade v1.0 to v2.0
  - Difficulties?
  - Version 2.0 data, accessed by version 1.0 software…

What if we just shut everything down?

Hypothetical picture of what would happen if Google or Facebook were down for 1 minute

- Couldn't we just shut the whole thing down, and upgrade?

Another Reason for Slow Rollout: Testing



- Suppose v2.0 has some bug we didn't catch in testing

Upgrading Software Versions..

- v2 and v1 work on same data layout/constraints? Great
- v1 can handle v2's layout?
  - v2 [strictly] adds fields or tightens constraints?
  - Design v2 to accept v1 data -> no problems
- v1 can't handle v2's layout?
  - v2 removes/rename fields, relaxes constraints,…
  - Make a v1.9 which: Writes v1 compatible data + accepts v2's data
    - Spin up v1.9s until all v1.0s are gone
    - Then spin up v2.0s

Migrating Data?

- Migrating Data is tricky
  - E.g., change storage tier itself itself?
- Reading:
  - http://onstartups.com/tabid/3339/bid/97052/How-To-Survive-a-Ground-Up-Rewrite-Without-Losing-Your-Sanity.aspx

Speaking of Storage…



- Our code is running happily, but what if…
  - A storage server fails? Temporarily or Permanently
  - This is part of what we'll discuss right before spring break

Another Major Issue: Configuration!

- Code you have written:
  - Minimal, if any configuration. Likely read at startup
- Servers:
  - Much more configuration: see /etc/ssh/sshd_config, /etc/apache2/*, etc..
  - Re-read/change while running?
- Warning: changing config as dangerous as changing code!
  - Reading 2:
    - https://status.cloud.google.com/incident/compute/16007

Used By You vs Used By Many People



- Another major difference:
  - Things you have written: used by you
  - Server Software: used by (many?) other people…
  - **Complexities**?

Used By You vs Used By Many People



- Concurrency/Scalability
  - Many things going on at once in system
  - Need to handle many requests efficiently

Performance: I feel the need for speed

- Performance: Users care about **speed**
  - Want system to be fast!
- From system perspective:
  - Many users
  - Want to be fast for all of them at once…
- Performance comes in two metrics:
  - Latency: time to complete one request
  - Throughput: requests/second
- Not the same, but they do interact…
- Let us look at non-software example…

Latency vs Throughput

- Here is a "road".
  - 1 lane
  - 70 mph
  - 700 miles long

Latency vs Throughput

- Latency: 700 miles @ 70 mph= 10 hours to travel

Latency vs Throughput

- Latency: 700 miles @ 70 mph= 10 hours to travel
- Throughput: 1 car/ 10 hours = 0.000028 cars/second ?

Latency vs Throughput

- Latency: 700 miles @ 70 mph= 10 hours to travel
- Throughput: 1 car/ 10 hours = 0.000028 cars/second ?
- Throughput: 0.3 cars / second

Latency vs Throughput



- Different things: can affect one without changing other
  - Another lane? Throughput **improves**, latency **unchanged**

Latency vs Throughput



- Different things: can affect one without changing other
  - Another lane? Throughput **improves**, latency **unchanged**
  - Shorter road? Throughput **unchanged**, latency **improves**

Latency vs Throughput



- Different things: can affect one without changing other
  - Another lane? Throughput **improves**, latency **unchanged**
  - Shorter road? Throughput **unchanged**, latency **improves**
  - Cars drive faster? **Both improve (*)**
    - (*) Except that you need more space for safety…

So Which Do We Care About?

- What matters? Latency or throughput?
  - From a **user's** perspective: **latency**
- From a **system** perspective, **both** matter
  - Need high throughput to get low latency for many users
  - Latency goes up with resource contention and queueing delays
- Back to our road example…

Latency vs Throughput



- Heavy traffic, more cars merging in.. What happens?

Latency vs Throughput



- Heavy traffic, more cars merging in.. What happens?
  - Latency goes up
    - Cars **slow down** due to resource (road space) contention

Latency vs Throughput



- Alternative: merge traffic lights
  - Traffic queues up (at on ramp)
  - Reduce resource contention (keep speeds higher)
  - Ideally: maintain speed, extra latency comes in queue

Latency vs Throughput



- Adding more systems won't help latency (probably)
  - May experience resource contention (cache, locks, etc…)

Latency vs Throughput



- System is oversubscribed: **queuing delays** add to latency
  - Adding more throughput would reduce latency!

Used By You vs Used By Many People



- Another complexity: **trust**
  - Are all those users out there good?

Trust?



- Might be evil (red eyes and fangs = evil)
  - Steal information
  - Modify information
  - Use server for nefarious purposes (spam,…)

Trust?



- Distrust connection…
  - Adversary might eavesdrop (passively gather information)
  - Or tamper with connection (actively change what is sent)

(Mis-)Trust: DOS



- Malicious user may also attempt to deny service
  - DOS = Denial of Service

(Mis-)Trust: DDOS



- Malicious user may also attempt to deny service
  - DOS = Denial of Service
  - DDOS = Distributed Denial of Service

What Does The Server Look Like?

- Now, we've seen a bunch of differences in constraints/requirements
- But what does the server itself look like?
  - …it depends…

Always the answer in CE

Batch Servers



- Submit jobs (possibly in bulk)
- Server will do them later (when it can)

Batch Servers

- Examples:
  - Sun Grid Engine, Condor,…
- Mostly queue requests
  - Possibly with priorities
- Most concerned with throughput
  - Overhead latency << job latency
- Running code for user?
  - Generally more trust than most systems

Interactive Servers



- (Many ?) requests, sent/handled frequently

Interactive Servers

- Examples:
  - sshd
  - Game servers (WoW)
- Latency is critical

- Web-servers similar,
  - Just flurry of requests, then close connection

Database Servers / DBMS

- Process queries from clients
- Often must efficiently process many tuples to satisfy query
  - High tuple throughput -> low response latency
- Often have special IO needs, require much RAM
- Quite a complex beast (topic of advanced database classes)
- Examples: Postgres, MySQL, Oracle,….

File Servers

- Put filesystem on remote server
- Why?
  - Use same files on many systems
  - E.g., login to any lab computer, have same home directory
- Compute requirements << IO requirements
  - IO slower than compute anyways
- Examples: NFS, AFS,…

Proxy Servers



- Pass requests to "actual" server

…but really…all the same

```
while (true) {
    req = accept_incoming_request();
    resp = process_request(req);
    send_response(req,resp);
}
```

Note: really need some parallelism

- Pretty much all of these have a unix daemon that
  - Accepts requests
  - Processes them
  - Sends responses

Coming soon: Unix Daemons

```
while (true) {
    req = accept_incoming_request();
    resp = process_request(req);
    send_response(req,resp);
}
```

- Soon: all the details of how to make this work
  - You'll write a web proxy server
- 650: concurrency + socket programming

Coming soon: Unix Daemons

```
while (true) {
    req = accept_incoming_request();
    resp = process_request(req);
    send_response(req,resp);
}
```

- Server side web development
  - How to process the request
  - Web-servers (Apache,…) have ways to "hook up" to code to generate content

Next Time:

- Next Time:
  - Slight reordering of schedule (to match 650)
  - Web protocols and technologies
    - HTTP
    - HTML
    - CSS
    - Javascript
    - XML
    - JSON
    - …