
Relational Reasoning (Relationel ræsonnement)

Mathias Pedersen, 201808137

Bachelor Report (15 ECTS) in Computer Science

Advisor: Amin Timany

Department of Computer Science, Aarhus University

September 2021



AARHUS
UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Abstract

► in English... ◄

*Mathias Pedersen,
Aarhus, September 2021.*

Contents

Abstract	iii
1 Introduction	1
2 Definition of Language	3
3 Contextual Equivalence	7
4 Logical Relations for Contextual Equivalence	9
5 Examples of Application of Contextual Equivalence	11
6 Comparison to Other Work and Ideas for Future Work	13
7 Conclusion	15
Acknowledgments	17
Bibliography	19
A The Technical Details	21

Chapter 1

Introduction

►motivate and explain the problem to be addressed◄

►example of a citation: [1]◄ ►get your bibtex entries from <https://dblp.org/>◄

Chapter 2

Definition of Language

►create draft◄

Syntax

$e ::= ()$	(unit value)
x	(variables)
$\bar{n} \mid e + e \mid e - e \mid e \leq e \mid e < e \mid e = e$	(integers)
$\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$	(booleans)
$(e, e) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$	(products)
$\text{inj}_1 e \mid \text{inj}_2 e \mid \text{match } e \text{ with } \text{inj}_1 x \Rightarrow e \mid \text{inj}_2 x \Rightarrow e \text{ end}$	(sums)
$\text{rec } f(x) := e \mid e e$	(recursive functions)
$\Lambda e \mid e _$	(polymorphism)
$v ::= () \mid \bar{n} \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid (v, v) \mid \text{inj}_1 v \mid \text{inj}_2 v \mid \text{rec } f(x) := e \mid \Lambda e$	(values)
$\tau ::= \text{Unit} \mid \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \forall X. \tau$	(types)
$K ::= [] \mid K + e \mid v + K \mid K - e \mid v - K \mid K \leq e \mid v \leq K \mid K < e \mid v < K \mid$ $K = e \mid v = K \mid \text{if } K \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid (K, e) \mid (v, K) \mid \text{fst } K \mid \text{snd } K \mid$ $\text{inj}_1 K \mid \text{inj}_2 K \mid \text{match } K \text{ with } \text{inj}_1 x \Rightarrow e \mid \text{inj}_2 x \Rightarrow e \text{ end} \mid K e \mid v K \mid K _$	(evaluation context)

Typing rules

$\frac{\text{T-VAR} \quad (x : \tau) \in \Gamma}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$	$\frac{\text{T-UNIT}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash () : \text{Unit}}$	$\frac{\text{T-INT}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \bar{n} : \mathbb{Z}}$
$\frac{\text{T-ADD} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}$	$\frac{\text{T-SUB} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 - e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}$	
$\frac{\text{T-LE} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : \mathbb{B}}$	$\frac{\text{T-LT} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 < e_2 : \mathbb{B}}$	
$\frac{\text{T-EQ} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{Z}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 = e_2 : \mathbb{B}}$	$\frac{\text{T-TRUE}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \mathbb{B}}$	$\frac{\text{T-FALSE}}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \mathbb{B}}$
$\frac{\text{T-IF} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{B} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : \tau}$		
$\frac{\text{T-PAIR} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{T-FST} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{fst } e : \tau_1}$	$\frac{\text{T-SND} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{snd } e : \tau_2}$
$\frac{\text{T-INJ1} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{inj}_1 e : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{T-INJ2} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{inj}_2 e : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$	
$\frac{\text{T-MATCH} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma, x : \tau_2 \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{match } e_1 \text{ with } \text{inj}_1 x \Rightarrow e_2 \mid \text{inj}_2 x \Rightarrow e_3 \text{ end} : \tau}$		
$\frac{\text{T-REC} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma, f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{rec } f(x) := e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{T-APP} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_2}$	
$\frac{\text{T-TLAM} \quad \Xi, X \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda e : \forall X. \tau}$	$\frac{\text{T-TAPP} \quad \Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \forall X. \tau}{\Xi \mid \Gamma \vdash e _ : \tau[\tau'/X]}$	

Dynamics

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{HEAD-STEP-STEP} \\
\frac{e \rightarrow_h e'}{K[e] \rightarrow K[e']} \\
\\
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{E-ADD} & \text{E-SUB} & \text{E-EQ} \\
\frac{}{\overline{n_1} + \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \overline{n_1 + n_2}} & \frac{}{\overline{n_1} - \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \overline{n_1 - n_2}} & \frac{n_1 = n_2}{\overline{n_1} = \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{true}} \\
\\
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{E-NOT-EQ} & \text{E-LE} & \text{E-NOT-LE} & \text{E-LT} \\
\frac{n_1 \neq n_2}{\overline{n_1} = \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{false}} & \frac{n_1 \leq n_2}{\overline{n_1} \leq \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{true}} & \frac{n_1 \not\leq n_2}{\overline{n_1} \leq \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{false}} & \frac{n_1 < n_2}{\overline{n_1} < \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{true}} \\
\\
\text{E-NOT-LT} & \text{E-IF-TRUE} & \text{E-IF-FALSE} \\
\frac{n_1 \not< n_2}{\overline{n_1} < \overline{n_2} \rightarrow_h \text{false}} & \text{if true then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \rightarrow_h e_2 & \text{if false then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \rightarrow_h e_3 \\
\\
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{E-FST} & \text{E-SND} \\
\text{fst}(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow_h v_1 & \text{snd}(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow_h v_2 \\
\\
\text{E-MATCH-INJ1} \\
\text{match}(\text{inj}_1 v) \text{ with } \text{inj}_1 x \Rightarrow e_2 \mid \text{inj}_2 x \Rightarrow e_3 \text{ end} \rightarrow_h e_2[v/x] \\
\\
\text{E-MATCH-INJ2} \\
\text{match}(\text{inj}_2 v) \text{ with } \text{inj}_1 x \Rightarrow e_2 \mid \text{inj}_2 x \Rightarrow e_3 \text{ end} \rightarrow_h e_3[v/x] \\
\\
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{E-REC-APP} & \text{E-TAPP-TLAM} \\
(\text{rec } f(x) := e)v \rightarrow_h e[\text{rec } f(x) := e/f][v/x] & (\Lambda e) _ \rightarrow_h e
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Chapter 3

Contextual Equivalence

►draft◄

►fix unfinished text passage - start◄

We define CE so that two programs are CE iff under all Contexts, one program terminates iff the other does. One may ponder whether this definition is really strong enough. If both terminate, we really want the result of the two programs to have values that are behaviourally the same, otherwise, we can certainly put them in a context, where they have different behaviour. For integer values, for example, this means that the two values must be the same number, and for product types, this means that the first value in each pair should be behaviourally the same, and likewise with the second value in each pair. To give an intuition as to why this definition is strong enough, consider two CE programs e_1 and e_2 , where $\cdot \mid \cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\cdot \mid \cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau$. Note first that if both e_1 and e_2 don't terminate, then they will be behaviourally the same. If we plug them into any context, and the context makes us evaluate the expressions, both will not terminate. And if the context doesn't make us evaluate the expressions, then they have no influence in the run of the programs, so they will behave the same.

Now consider what happens if e_1 terminates with some value v_1 . Can we then guarantee that e_2 also terminates with some value v_2 , and v_1 and v_2 behave the same? Since e_1 and e_2 are CE, then we can put them into any context, C , and one will terminate iff the other one does. Let's assume that $\tau = \mathbb{Z}$. Then consider when C has the form `if $\square = v_1$ then $()$ else ω` . Here $C[e_1] \downarrow ()$. But what about $C[e_2]$? If $v_2 \neq v_1$, then our evaluation rules tell us that we will take the else branch, and hence not terminate. However, since e_1 and e_2 are CE, and $C[e_1]$ terminates then we know that $C[e_2]$ must also terminate. Hence it is not the case that $v_2 \neq v_1$, and thus $v_2 = v_1$. So if our two programs of type integer are CE, and they both don't run forever, then they must both evaluate to the same value.

Now if τ was `bool` instead, the context `if \square then $()$ else ω` would suffice in showing that $v_2 = v_1$.

And for all the other types, we would also be able to create contexts that ensured that the two programs terminated with values that have similar behaviour, however, it becomes more difficult to reason about, as value of those types can potentially contain sub-expressions. ???In fact, defining when two values of any of the possible types are behaviourally the same is exactly what we will do when we get to defining the Logical Relations for Contextual Equivalence.???

►fix unfinished text passage - end◄

Chapter 4

Logical Relations for Contextual Equivalence

►draft◄

Chapter 5

Examples of Application of Contextual Equivalence

►draft◄

Chapter 6

Comparison to Other Work and Ideas for Future Work

►draft◄

Chapter 7

Conclusion

►conclude on the problem statement from the introduction◄

Acknowledgments



Bibliography

- [1] Aske Simon Christensen, Anders Møller, and Michael I. Schwartzbach. Precise analysis of string expressions. In Radhia Cousot, editor, *Static Analysis, 10th International Symposium, SAS 2003, San Diego, CA, USA, June 11-13, 2003, Proceedings*, volume 2694 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–18. Springer, 2003.

Appendix A

The Technical Details

