

CyFortis Training Assistant – Design & Architecture

1. Problem & goals

Security awareness training is often tracked in a backend system that only admins can understand. The assignment asks for an **AI assistant** that lets:

- **Employees** check whether they've finished their required cybersecurity training and which videos are missing.
- **The CISO** inspect a single employee's status and training summary, or query all employees by status and see statistics (min/max/avg time to complete, fastest, slowest).

The assistant must:

- Enforce **authentication** with `employee_id` + `employee_name` before exposing any training data.
- Infer intent from **natural language** and call the right backend queries.
- Stay **strictly on-topic** (security training only).
- Operate in a **read-only** way and avoid mutating global state.

The solution should be implemented as:

- **Frontend** – React (TypeScript) chat UI.
 - **Backend** – Python + FastAPI.
 - **Model** – OpenAI-compatible LLM.
 - **Data** – Provided SQLite database (`employees.db`).
-

2. Requirements → implementation mapping

This section maps the assignment's functional requirements to concrete pieces in the implementation.

- **Employee: check completion & missing videos**
 - Implemented via LLM tools that:
 - Fetch an employee's training status.
 - List completed vs missing videos.

- Backed by read-only helper functions in `backend/app/db/queries.py`.
 - **CISO: single employee view**
 - Tools for:
 - Getting an employee's overall training status (`NOT_STARTED` , `IN_PROGRESS` , `FINISHED`).
 - Returning a concise summary of their progress.
 - **CISO: aggregate view & statistics**
 - Tools that:
 - List employees by status.
 - Compute min/max/avg time to complete, and identify fastest/slowest employees.
 - These tools are CISO-only and use cached queries for performance.
 - **Authentication (ID + name)**
 - Backend checks (`employee_id` , `employee_name`) via `employee_exists_in_database` in `queries.py`.
 - If the record doesn't exist, the agent responds as "unknown user" and does not expose training data.
 - **Guardrails & read-only behavior**
 - System prompt instructs the LLM to:
 - Stay on cybersecurity training only.
 - Politely refuse out-of-scope questions.
 - All tools are read-only wrappers over SQLite; there are no write/update operations.
-

3. System architecture

3.1 Overview

The system is split into:

- **Frontend:** React + Vite TypeScript app providing a chat UI.
- **Backend:** FastAPI service exposing a single `POST /chat` endpoint.
- **LLM layer:** OpenAI-compatible client configured with system prompts and tool definitions.
- **Data:** SQLite database `backend/data/employees.db`.

All conversations flow through:

1. The frontend sends chat history(+ optional `employee_id`, `employee_name`) to `/chat`.
2. The backend:
 - Authenticates the user.
 - Builds the LLM call with system prompt, messages, and tool definitions.
 - Lets the model select tools.
 - Executes the corresponding tool handlers(read-only DB queries).
3. The backend returns a structured `ChatResponse` to the frontend, which renders it in the chat UI.

3.2 Single `/chat` endpoint

All roles and flows(employee or CISO)are unified behind `POST /chat` :

- The frontend never talks directly to the database.
- Auth logic, tool invocation, and LLM prompts all live in one place.
- This makes it simple to add:
 - New tools.
 - Additional guardrails.
 - New models(by changing LLM configuration only).

The backend is stateless: each `/chat` request carries everything it needs(history, auth context), which allows easy horizontal scaling.

4. Database & data access

4.1 SQLite

The assignment provides `employees.db` in `backend/data/`. For the purposes of the home assignment:

- **SQLite** is sufficient and easy to package with Docker.
- The same abstraction layer can later be backed by Postgres/MySQL without impacting the rest of the system.

4.2 Data access layer

All database reads are implemented in `backend/app/db/queries.py` using Python's `sqlite3` :

- There is deliberately **no ORM**, to keep:
 - The code transparent.

- The behavior easy to inspect.
- The focus on the LLM + tool integration rather than ORM boilerplate.

Tool handlers call these helper functions instead of embedding SQL directly in the LLM or the UI.

5. Authentication & authorization

5.1 Authentication flow

The assignment requires **ID + employee name** before any meaningful answer. The flow:

1. Unauthenticated user

- The LLM is instructed to ask for name and ID first.
- Until both are provided and verified, only generic prompts like "Please provide your name and ID to continue." are allowed.

2. Verification

- The backend checks `(employee_id, employee_name)` via `employee_exists_in_database` in `db/verifiers.py`.
- If the record doesn't exist, the LLM is instructed to respond as an **unknown user** and not reveal any training data.

3. Session handling

- The frontend stores `employee_id` and `employee_name` in `useChatHistory` per session.
- Every `/chat` request includes these values so **stateless** backend instances can authenticate each call.

5.2 Role detection (Employee vs CISO)

- The database tracks whether a user is a CISO via an `is_ciso` flag.
- After authentication, the backend:
 - Computes `is_ciso`.
 - Injects this flag into the context passed to the LLM.
- Tool availability is then filtered based on this flag.

5.3 Authorization rules

- **Unauthorized**
 - Can only provide name and ID to “log in”.
- **Employees**
 - Can only query their **own** training status and videos.
 - They have no access to organization-wide stats or other employees.
- **CISO**
 - Can query any employee by name and ID.
 - Can list all employees by status.
 - Can request global training statistics.

All of these checks are enforced in backend tool handlers, not in the frontend, to prevent bypassing via custom clients or direct HTTP calls.

6. LLM & tools design

6.1 LLM configuration

The backend uses an OpenAI-compatible LLM (default: `gpt-4o-mini`) chosen for:

- **Speed** – responsive chat experience.
- **Cost** – appropriate for a home assignment and scalable in real deployments.

Configuration is split into:

- `llm_config.py` – model name, system prompts, and instruction strings.
- `llm_client_setup.py` – client instantiation using environment variables.

This separation makes it easy to switch models or tune prompts without touching business logic.

6.2 Tool definitions

Tools are:

- **Declared** in `services/agent_tools/tools.py`:
 - Name, description, parameter schema.
- **Implemented** in `llm_tool_handlers.py`:
 - Input validation (e.g., employee must exist).
 - Read-only access via `db/queries.py`.
 - Returning structured Python dicts for the LLM to verbalize.

Each tool follows this pattern:

1. Validate inputs (IDs, names, roles).
2. Query SQLite via the helper functions.
3. Return a structured result (e.g., `{ "status": "IN_PROGRESS", "missing_videos": [...] }`).

New capabilities can be added by:

- Declaring a tool schema.
- Implementing the handler.
- Registering it in `TOOL_HANDLERS`.

No LLM prompt changes are required to expose new functionality to the UI, aside from describing the new tool.

7. Guardrails & safety

The system combines prompt-level and architecture-level guardrails:

- **On-topic only**
 - System prompts instruct the LLM to only discuss **cybersecurity training data** derived from the provided database.
 - Non-training questions (e.g., general tech support, personal queries) are answered with a polite refusal or redirection.
- **Read-only tools**
 - All tools only perform **SELECT**-style queries on SQLite.
 - There are no update/insert/delete operations wired into the agent.
- **Role-based tools**
 - Tools exposing organization-wide training data or aggregate statistics are only available when `is_ciso` is `true`.
 - Employees never see other employees' data.
- **Unknown users**
 - If `(employee_id, employee_name)` doesn't exist in the database, the user is treated as unknown and receives only generic guidance.

This setup reduces the risk of data leakage, accidental state changes, and off-topic behavior.

8. Scaling, performance & caching

8.1 Stateless backend

- Each `/chat` request includes all necessary context:
 - Conversation history.
 - Auth data (ID + name).
- Any FastAPI instance can handle any request:
 - No sticky sessions.
 - Easy to run multiple replicas behind a load balancer.

8.2 Database considerations

- **SQLite** fits the assignment constraints:
 - Zero-configuration.
 - Single file packaged in Docker.
- For higher scale, the same query abstractions could be backed by:
 - Postgres
 - MySQLwithout changing the LLM or frontend.

8.3 Caching

To reduce latency and costs:

- **CISO statistics queries** (which can be expensive) are cached.
- **LLM responses** can also be cached for repeated queries.

This is handled in `backend/app/services/cache.py` and the `cache/` module.

8.4 Future performance optimizations

Potential improvements:

- **Streaming partial LLM responses** to the frontend for better UX.
- Switching to a more scalable RDBMS if write-heavy features are added.
- Adding background jobs for precomputing expensive statistics.

9. Limitations & future work

Current limitations:

- No persistent sessions across browser tabs or devices (history is in-memory on the frontend).
- No admin UI for browsing training stats – the CISO interacts only via chat.
- Error handling is basic; the frontend could benefit from more robust error boundaries and user-friendly messages.

Future work:

- Persist chat history per user in a proper data store.
 - Extend the system with a **CISO dashboard** that reuses the same backend tools for a graphical view.
 - Add observability (structured logging, metrics around tool usage, and LLM latency).
-

10. Summary

This design implements the CyFortis Training Assistant as specified:

- Natural-language interface for **employees** and **the CISO** over cybersecurity training data.
- **Authentication** via `employee_id` + `employee_name` enforced on every request.
- **Clear separation of concerns:**
 - React UI.
 - FastAPI backend.
 - LLM tools.
 - SQLite database and query layer.
- **Read-only**, guarded LLM usage focused strictly on the cybersecurity training task.
- A single `/chat` endpoint that centralizes:
 - Conversation logic.
 - Tool orchestration.
 - Auth and role-based access control.

The overall design emphasizes safety, extensibility, and developer ergonomics, while remaining faithful to the assignment constraints.