

# Assignment 3

Brandon  
Angles  
Zaid  
Habibi

0.1)

- 1)  $\exists x(I(x) \wedge \forall y(y \neq x \rightarrow I(y)))$
- 2)  $\exists x(\neg I(x) \wedge \forall y(I(y) \rightarrow y \neq x))$
- 3)  $\forall x(I(x) \rightarrow \exists y(C(x,y) \wedge x \neq y))$
- 4)  $\exists x(I(x) \wedge \forall y \neg C(x,y))$
- 5)  $\exists x \exists y(x \neq y \wedge \neg C(x,y))$
- 6)  $\exists x \forall y C(x,y)$
- 7)  $\exists x \exists y(x \neq y \wedge \exists z(\neg C(x,y) \wedge \neg C(y,z)))$
- 8)  $\exists x \exists y(x \neq y \wedge \forall z(C(x,z) \vee C(y,z)))$

0.2)

1)  $B'is \vee D'umb \vee (B'is \rightarrow D'umb)$

$B'is$	$D'umb$	$B'is \vee D'umb$	$B'is \rightarrow D'umb$	$B'is \vee D'umb \vee (B'is \rightarrow D'umb)$
F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
T	T	T	T	T

Valid

2) 2)  $(Smoke \rightarrow Fire) \rightarrow \neg((Smoke \wedge Heat) \rightarrow Fire)$

Smoke	Heat	Fire	$Smoke \rightarrow Fire$	$Smoke \wedge Heat$	$(Smoke \wedge Heat) \rightarrow Fire$	$(Smoke \rightarrow Fire) \rightarrow \neg((Smoke \wedge Heat) \rightarrow Fire)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	T

Valid

0.3) 1) There are only three models for  $(A \wedge B) \vee (B \wedge C)$

A	B	C	$(A \wedge B) \vee (B \wedge C)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F

$A=T, B=T, C=T$  ;  $A=F, B=T, C=T$  ;  $A=T, B=T, C=F$

2) There are three models for this sentence

A	B	$A \vee B$
T	T	T
T	f	T
F	T	T
F	f	f

$A = T, B = T; A = f, B = T; A = T, B = f$

3) There are 4 models for this sentence

A	B	C	$A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg C$
T	T	T	<del>T</del> F
T	T	f	F
T	f	T	T
T	f	f	F
f	T	T	T
f	T	f	T
f	f	T	T
f	f	f	F

$A = T, B = T, C = T; A = T, B = f, C = f; A = f, B = T, C = f; A = f, B = f, C = T$

Q.4) 1)  $\neg (y, \text{Gee}(A, B)), \neg (\text{Gee}(x, x), y)$

Substitute =  $y / \text{Gee}(x, x)$

$(\neg (\text{Gee}(x, x), \text{Gee}(A, B)), \neg (\text{Gee}(x, x), \text{Gee}(x, x)))$

Substitute =  $x / A$

$\neg (\text{Gee}(A, A), \text{Gee}(A, B)), \neg (\text{Gee}(A, A), \text{Gee}(A, A))$

Unification not possible as you can't unify constant A with constant B

2)  $\text{Older}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(x), \text{John})$

Substitute  $= y/x$

$\text{Older}(\text{Father}(x), x), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(x), \text{John})$

Substitute  $= x/\text{John}$

$\text{Older}(\text{Father}(\text{John}), \text{John}), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(\text{John}), \text{John})$

argu for there has existance is  $\{y/x, x/\text{John}\}$

3)  $\text{Knows}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Knows}(x, x)$

Substitute  $= x/\text{Father}(y)$

$\text{Knows}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Knows}(\text{Father}(y), \text{Father}(y))$

Unification not possible as you unify variable y with Father(y)

(5.0)

(1)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(2)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(3)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(4)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(5)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(6)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(7)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(8)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(9)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(10)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$

(11)  $\text{Knows}(x, x) \vee (\text{Knows}(y, y) \rightarrow \text{Knows}(x, y))$



$$\neg x \wedge (\exists y (Candy(y) \vee Lovers(x,y)) \vee \neg functional(x)) \\ \vee x \wedge (\neg Candy(x) \vee \neg Lovers(x,y)) \vee \neg functional(x) \\ \neg Candy(b) \vee \neg Lovers(a,b) \vee \neg functional(a)$$

$$3) \neg x \wedge (\exists y (Pumpkin(y) \vee Eds(x,y)) \vee \neg functional(x))$$

$$\neg x \wedge (\neg (Pumpkin(y) \vee Eds(x,y)) \vee \neg functional(x))$$

$$\neg x \wedge (\neg Pumpkin(y) \wedge \neg Eds(x,y)) \vee \neg functional(x)$$

$$\neg Pumpkin(d) \vee \neg Eds(g,d) \vee \neg functional(g)$$

$$4) Pumpkin(y) \vee Buy(x,y) \vee \neg Cares(x,y) \vee Eds(x,y)$$

$$\neg Pumpkin(y) \vee \neg Buy(x,y) \vee Cares(x,y) \vee \neg Eds(x,y)$$

$$\neg Pumpkin(y) \vee \neg Buy(x,y) \vee Cares(x,y) \vee Eds(x,y)$$

$$\neg Pumpkin(f) \vee \neg Buy(z,f) \vee Cares(z,f) \vee Eds(z,f)$$

$$5) \exists x (Pumpkin(x) \vee Buy(John,x))$$

$$Pumpkin(x) \vee Buy(John,x)$$

$$\underline{Pumpkin(x)}$$

$$\underline{Buy(John,z)}$$

$$6) \underline{Candy(Lifesaver)}$$

$$1) \neg Candy(x) \vee \neg Candy(y) \vee Lovers(x,y)$$

$$2) \neg Candy(b) \vee \neg Lovers(a,b) \vee \neg functional(a)$$

$$3) \neg Pumpkin(d) \vee \neg Eds(g,d) \vee \neg functional(g)$$

$$4) \neg Pumpkin(x) \vee \neg Buy(z,x) \vee Cares(z,x) \vee Eds(z,x)$$

$$5) Pumpkin(x)$$

$$6) Buy(John,z)$$

7)  $\neg \text{Candy}(\text{Lifesaver})$

8)  $\text{Child}(\text{John}) \rightarrow \exists y (\text{Pumpkin}(y) \wedge \text{Cares}(\text{John}, y))$

$\text{Child}(\text{John}) \wedge (\neg \text{Pumpkin}(y) \vee \neg \text{Cares}(\text{John}, y))$

9)  $\neg \text{Pumpkin}(y) \vee \neg \text{Cares}(\text{John}, y)$

10)  $\neg \text{Candy} \vee \text{Loves}(\text{John}, y) \quad [(1), 8], (\forall x/\text{John})$

11)  $\neg (\text{Candy}(\text{John}) \vee \neg \text{Candy}(y)) \quad [(2), 10], (b/y, a/\text{John})$

12)  $\neg \text{Pumpkin}(y) \vee \neg \text{Loves}(\text{John}, y) \vee \neg \text{Candy}(y) \quad [(3), 11], (d/y, c/\text{John})$

13)  $\neg \text{Pumpkin}(y) \vee \neg \text{Candy}(y) \vee \neg \text{Bugs}(\text{John}, y) \vee \text{Cares}(\text{John}, y) \quad [(4), 12], (f/y, e/\text{John})$

14)  $\neg \text{Pumpkin}(y) \vee \neg \text{Candy}(y) \vee \neg \text{Bugs}(\text{John}, y) \quad [(4), 13], (g/y)$

15)  $\neg \text{Candy}(y) \vee \neg \text{Bugs}(\text{John}, y) \quad [(5), 14], (v/y)$

16)  $\neg \text{Candy}(y) \quad [(6), 15], (z/y)$

17)  $\text{Null} \quad [(7), 16], (g/\text{Lifesaver})$

Therefore, the sentence has been proved by using resolution by refutation