

# Kripke Frames

Matej Jazbec

December 6, 2024

## Abstract

We outline a plan of formalization for Kripke frames, define the modal operators  $\Box$ ,  $\Diamond$  and characterise some of the most relevant modal logic axioms. Time permitting, we will formalize some examples.

This blueprint, and more generally the [kripke-frames](#) Lean project, is part of the assignment for the course [Formalized mathematics and proof assistants](#).

## 1 Basic definitions

**Definition 1.1.** A *Kripke frame* or *modal frame* is a pair  $\langle W, R \rangle$  where  $W$  is a (possibly empty) set, and  $R$  is a **binary relation** on  $W$ . Elements of  $W$  are called *nodes* or *worlds*, and  $R$  is known as the **accessibility relation**.

**Definition 1.2.** A *Kripke model* is a triple  $\langle W, R, \Vdash \rangle$ , where  $\langle W, R \rangle$  is a Kripke frame, and  $\Vdash$  is a relation between nodes of  $W$  and modal formulas, such that for all  $w \in W$  and modal formulas  $A$  and  $B$ :

- $w \Vdash \neg A$  if and only if  $w \not\Vdash A$ ,
- $w \Vdash A \rightarrow B$  if and only if  $w \not\Vdash A$  or  $w \Vdash B$ ,
- $w \Vdash \Box A$  if and only if  $u \Vdash A$  for all  $u$  such that  $w R u$ .

.

We read  $w \Vdash A$  as “ $w$  satisfies  $A$ ”, “ $A$  is satisfied in  $w$ ”, or “ $w$  forces  $A$ ”. The relation  $\Vdash$  is called the *satisfaction relation*, *evaluation*, or *forcing relation*. The satisfaction relation is uniquely determined by its value on propositional variables.

A formula  $A$  is *valid* in:

- a model  $\langle W, R, \Vdash \rangle$ , if  $w \Vdash A$  for all  $w \in W$ ,
- a frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , if it is valid in  $\langle W, R, \Vdash \rangle$  for all possible choices of  $\Vdash$ ,
- a class  $C$  of frames or models, if it is valid in every member of  $C$ .

Consider the schema  $T : \Box A \rightarrow A$ .  $T$  is valid in any reflexive frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ : if  $w \Vdash \Box A$ , then  $w \Vdash A$  since  $w R w$ . On the other hand, a frame which validates  $T$  has to be reflexive: fix  $w \in W$ , and define satisfaction of a propositional variable  $p$  as follows:  $u \Vdash p$  if and only if  $w R u$ . Then  $w \Vdash \Box p$ , thus  $w \Vdash p$  by  $T$ , which means  $w R w$  using the definition of  $\Vdash$ .  $T$  corresponds to the class of reflexive Kripke frames.

## Common Modal Axiom Schemata

The following table lists common modal axioms together with their corresponding classes.

Name	Axiom	Frame Condition
<b>K</b>	$\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$	Holds true for any frames
<b>T</b>	$\Box A \rightarrow A$	Reflexive: $w R w$
<b>—</b>	$\Box \Box A \rightarrow \Box A$	Dense: $w R u \Rightarrow \exists v (w R v \wedge v R u)$
<b>4</b>	$\Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$	Transitive: $w R v \wedge v R u \Rightarrow w R u$
<b>D</b>	$\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A$ or $\Diamond \top$ or $\neg \Box \perp$	Serial: $\forall w \exists v (w R v)$
<b>B</b>	$A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$ or $\Diamond \Box A \rightarrow A$	Symmetric: $w R v \Rightarrow v R w$
<b>5</b>	$\Diamond A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$	Euclidean: $w R u \wedge w R v \Rightarrow u R v$

## Examples

Time permitting, we will formalize some examples. This section will thus be extended in due time.