UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

UCSD

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Santia Villamizar Chaparr
School of Global Policy and Strategy

GPCO 403 - International Economics (Ramondo, Natalia)
Spring 2018

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 24
Number of Students Enrolled: 91

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

17 (70.8%):   Strongly Agree
7 (29.2%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

16 (66.7%):   Strongly Agree
7 (29.2%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.2%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

17 (70.8%):   Strongly Agree
6 (25.0%):   Agree
1 (4.2%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

17 (70.8%):   Strongly Agree
6 (25.0%):   Agree
1 (4.2%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

15 (62.5%):   Strongly Agree
7 (29.2%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.2%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
1 (4.2%):   Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

16 (66.7%):   Strongly Agree
6 (25.0%):   Agree
2 (8.3%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

16 (66.7%):   Strongly Agree
7 (29.2%):   Agree
1 (4.2%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

18 (78.3%):   Strongly Agree
3 (13.0%):   Agree
1 (4.3%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.3%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable
1:              [No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

18 (75.0%):   Strongly Agree
6 (25.0%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

16 (66.7%):   Strongly Agree
8 (33.3%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

17 (70.8%):   No issues
1 (4.2%):   Too quiet
0 (0.0%):   Too loud
2 (8.3%):   Too fast
1 (4.2%):   Too slow
0 (0.0%):   Poor grammar and/or English language skills
1 (4.2%):   Used filler words such as "um"
2 (8.3%):   Other (please describe)
- many change of schedule in his session.

- When his shirt would ride up it was very distracting.

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

18 (78.3%):   Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%):   Agree
0 (0.0%):   Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Disagree
0 (0.0%):   Strongly Disagree
1:             [No Response]

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He is smart in econ! And does his work smartly!

- He's really nice.

- Mateo is approachable and is the best TA I have ever met, he knows the course materials very well and prepares his session according to the students' needs

- Mateo really likes to take the time to explain things to students and make sure that they understand the reasons why they answered what they answered, also he is very accessible to students.

- TA session contents and office hours help

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He grades too harshly - other TAs consistently give higher marks. Mateo is a nice guy in person, but it's never good news when he is grading your paper.

- He's too nice sometimes.

- His greatest weakness is no weakness

- The expectation from students as they are learning the subject is sometimes too much.

- Too picky and strict :)

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Don't leave us, I will miss you. :(You were a really good TA to the first years.

- Good job and thank you for all the help Mateo!

- I wish him all the best at Duke!

- Nope.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, School of Global Policy and Strategy, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.