



COMPILER DESIGN

SUBJECT CODE: 203105351

Vaibhavi Patel, Assistant Professor
Computer Science & Engineering



CHAPTER-5

Intermediate code generation

Contents

- Different intermediate representations –
 - Quadruples
 - Triples
 - Trees
 - SSA forms
- Translation of expressions
- Assignment statements



Intermediate Representation




Fig 6.1: Intermediate Representation (IR)

Intermediate Representation (IR):

- An abstract machine language
- Expresses operations of target machine
- Not specific to any particular machine
- independent of source language.





Intermediate Representation- Benefits

- Retargeting is facilitated
- Machine independent Code Optimization can be applied.



Intermediate representations

Intermediate codes can be represented in a variety of following ways and they have their own benefits.

- Three address code
 - Quadruples
 - Triples
- Trees
- SSA forms



Intermediate representations - Three address code

- A three-address code has at most three address locations to calculate the expression.
- A three-address code can be represented in two forms :
 - Quadruples
 - Triples



Intermediate representations - Three address code- Quadruples

Each instruction in quadruples presentation is divided into four fields: operator, arg1, arg2, and result.

•For example:

$a = b + c * d;$

is represented below in quadruples format:

Op	arg ₁	arg ₂	result
*	c	d	r1
+	b	r1	r2
+	r2	r1	r3
=	r3		a

Fig 6.2 Quadruples example



Intermediate representations - Three address code- Triples

- Each instruction in triples presentation has three fields : op, arg1, and arg2.
- The results of respective sub-expressions are denoted by the position of expression.
- Triples represent similarity with DAG and syntax tree. They are equivalent to DAG while representing expressions.

Op	arg ₁	arg ₂
*	c	d
+	b	(0)
+	(1)	(0)
=	(2)	

Fig 6.3 Triples example

- Triples face the problem of code immovability while optimization, as the results are positional and changing the order or position of an expression may cause problems.



Intermediate representations - Trees

- Syntax tree is a variant of the parse tree, where each leaf represents an operand and each interior node represent an operator.
- A sentence $a * (b + d)$ would have the following syntax tree




Fig 6.4 Example of syntax tree



Intermediate representations - SSA

- Static single-assignment form (SSA) is an intermediate representation that facilitates certain code optimizations.
- The first is that all assignments in SSA are to variables with distinct names; hence the term *static single-assignment*.

Intermediate program in three-address code and SSA

$p = a + b$

$q = p - c$

$p = q * d$

$P = -P$

$q = p + q$

(a) Three-address code.

$p1 = a + b$

$q1 = P1 - c$

$p2 = q1 * d$

$P3 = -P2$

$q2 = p3 + q1$

(b) Static single-assignment form.



Translation of expressions and assignment statements

- In the syntax directed translation, assignment statement mainly deals with expressions. The expression can be of type real, integer, array and records.

Consider the grammar

$S \rightarrow id := E$

$E \rightarrow E1 + E2$

$E \rightarrow - E1$

$E \rightarrow (E1)$

$E \rightarrow id$

- The translation scheme of above grammar is given below:



Translation of expressions and assignment statements


PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES
$S \rightarrow \text{id} = E ;$	$S.code = E.code \parallel$ $gen(top.get(id.lexeme) '=' E.addr)$
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	$E.addr = \text{new Temp}()$ $E.code = E_1.code \parallel E_2.code \parallel$ $gen(E.addr '=' E_1.addr '+' E_2.addr)$
$ - E_1$	$E.addr = \text{new Temp}()$ $E.code = E_1.code \parallel$ $gen(E.addr '=' \text{'minus'} E_1.addr)$
$ (E_1)$	$E.addr = E_1.addr$ $E.code = E_1.code$
$ \text{id}$	$E.addr = top.get(id.lexeme)$ $E.code = ''$

Fig 6.5 Three-address code for expressions




Addressing Array Elements

Layouts for a two-dimensional array:



(a) Row Major



(b) Column Major



Semantic actions for array reference

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \text{id} = E ; \quad \{ \text{gen}(\text{top.get}(\text{id.lexeme}) \neq E.addr); \} \\ &| L = E ; \quad \{ \text{gen}(L.addr.base '[' L.addr ']' \neq E.addr); \} \\ E &\rightarrow E_1 + E_2 \quad \{ E.addr = \text{new Temp}(); \\ &\quad \text{gen}(E.addr \neq E_1.addr '+' E_2.addr); \} \\ &| \text{id} \quad \{ E.addr = \text{top.get}(\text{id.lexeme}); \} \\ &| L \quad \{ E.addr = \text{new Temp}(); \\ &\quad \text{gen}(E.addr \neq L.array.base '[' L.addr ']); \} \end{aligned}$$


Semantic actions for array reference

```

$$L \rightarrow \text{id} [ E ] \quad \{ L.array = \text{top.get}(\text{id.lexeme});$$

$$L.type = L.array.type.elem;$$

$$L.addr = \text{new Temp} ();$$

$$\text{gen}(L.addr '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width); \}$$
  

$$| \quad L_1 [ E ] \quad \{ L.array = L_1.array;$$

$$L.type = L_1.type.elem;$$

$$t = \text{new Temp} ();$$

$$L.addr = \text{new Temp} ();$$

$$\text{gen}(t '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width); \}$$

$$\text{gen}(L.addr '=' L_1.addr '+' t); \}$$

```

Fig 6.6 Semantic actions for array references



Semantic actions for array reference

Nonterminal L has three synthesized attributes:

- $L.addr$
- $L.array$
- $L.type$





CHAPTER-6

Intermediate code generation



Flow of control statements


There are 4 types of control statements: Each contains at least one Boolean condition, based on the condition next statement will decide. So we may need to do an unconditional jump from one statement to another to perform these control statements.

1. If..then..else...
2. If.. then...
3. While..do...
4. Case statement

Each control statement has one or more Boolean expressions. So let name that Boolean expression as E. so if E is true then control transfer to the E.True label. And if E is false then control transfer to the E.False label.



1. IF E then S1 else S2



1. IF E then S1 else S2


Convert this code into Three address code

```
If(a<b)
    x=y+z
else:
    p=q+r
```

1. If $a < b$ go to (3)
2. Go to (6)
3. $t1 = y + z$
4. $x = t1$
5. go to 9
6. $t2 = q + r$
7. $p = t2$
8. go to 9
9. next statement



2. IF E then S1



2. IF E then S1


Convert this code into Three address code

If(a<b)
 x=y+z

1. If a<b go to (3)
2. Go to (5)
3. t1 = y+z
4. x=t1
5. next statement



3. While E do S1



3. While E do S1

Convert this code into Three address code

```
Int i=0
```

```
While (i<=10)
```

```
    printf(i=%d,i);
```

```
    i++;
```

```
...
```

```
1. i=0
```

```
2. if i<=10 go to 4
```

```
3. go to 7
```

```
4. print i
```

```
5. i=i+1
```

```
6. go to 2
```

```
7. next statement
```



4. Case Statement

```
switch expression
begin
    case value : statement
    case value : statement
    ...
    case value : statement
    default : statement
end
```

```
code to evaluate E into t
goto test
L1 :    code for S1
        goto next
L2 :    code for S2
        goto next
        ...
Ln-1 :  code for Sn-1
        goto next
Ln :    code for Sn
        goto next
test :   if t = V1 goto L1
        if t = V2 goto L2
        ...
        if t = Vn-1 goto Ln-1
        goto Ln
next :
```



4. Case Statement

When you see keyword switch then we have two new labels test label and next label and also t temporary variable is generated. Based on the evaluation of E, the t value is generated. That t value helps to get the best-suited case for the t value. Test label has all the possible t value and tests whether t value matches with any case. After completion of all statements all control transfer to the next label which has the next statements for evaluation



4. Case Statement

Convert this code into Three address code

```
Switch(i+j)
```

```
{
```

```
    Case 1: x=y+z
```

```
    Case 2: p=q+r
```

```
    Default: u=v+w
```

```
}
```

```
1. t1=i+j
```

```
2. go to 9
```

```
3. t2=y+z
```

```
4. x=t2
```

```
5. t3=q+r
```

```
6. p=t3
```

```
7. t4=v+w
```

```
8. u=t4
```

```
9. if t1=1 go to 3
```

```
10. if t1=2 go to 5
```

```
11. next statement
```



Short-Circuit Code

When We place multiple Boolean operator like “and,” “or” and “not” in one statement and that can also translate a boolean expression into three-address code without generating code for any of the boolean operators and without having the code necessarily evaluate the entire expression. This style of evaluation is sometimes called “short-circuit” or “jumping” code.

For example,

`If(a>b or c<d and e>=f)`

If three address code generate for just `a>b` and go to next statement without considering entire statement



Boolean Expressions

Boolean expressions have two primary purposes. They are used to compute logical values, but more often they are used as conditional expressions in statements that alter the flow of control, such as if-then-else, or while-do statements.

Boolean expressions are composed of the boolean operators (and, or, and not) applied to elements that are boolean variables or relational expressions. Relational expressions are of the form $E1 \text{ relop } E2$, where $E1$ and $E2$ are arithmetic expressions.

Here we consider boolean expressions generated by the following grammar :

$E \rightarrow E \text{ or } E \mid E \text{ and } E \mid \text{not } E \mid (E) \mid \text{id relop id} \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$



Boolean Expressions

Methods of Translating Boolean Expressions: There are two principal methods of representing the value of a boolean expression. They are :

- To encode true and false numerically and to evaluate a boolean expression analogously to an arithmetic expression. Often, 1 is used to denote true and 0 to denote false.
- To implement boolean expressions by flow of control, that is, representing the value of a boolean expression by a position reached in a program. This method is particularly convenient in implementing the boolean expressions in flow-of-control statements, such as the if-then and while-do statements.



Backpatching

The easiest way to implement the syntax-directed definitions for Boolean expressions is to use two passes. First, just construct a syntax tree for the input, and then just walk the tree in depth-first order and compute the translations.

The main problem for generating code for Boolean expressions and flow of control statements in one pass or single pass is that in the process of single pass we may not know the labels for go to or jump statements that control must go to a particular statement if there is a jump statement.

Therefore, a series of branching statements with the targets of the jumps left unspecified is generated. Each statement will be put on a list of goto statements whose labels will be filled in when the proper label can be determined. We call this subsequent filling in of labels backpatching.



DIGITAL LEARNING CONTENT



Parul[®] University



www.paruluniversity.ac.in