

## Beginning Activities for Section 7.2

*Mathematical Reasoning: Writing and Proof, Version 3*

### Beginning Activity 1 (Properties of Relations)

1. The relation  $R$  is not reflexive on  $A$  provided that there exists an  $x \in A$  such that  $(x, x) \notin R$  (or equivalently,  $x \not R x$ ).
2. The relation  $R$  is not symmetric provided that there exist  $x, y \in A$  such that  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, x) \notin R$  (or  $x R y$  and  $y \not R x$ ).
3. The relation  $R$  is not transitive provided that there exist  $x, y, z \in A$  such that  $(x, y) \in R$ ,  $(y, z) \in R$ , and  $(x, z) \notin R$  (or  $x R y$ ,  $y R z$ , and  $x \not R z$ ).
4. The directed graph should have a loop at each of the four vertices, an arrow from 1 to 3, and an arrow from 3 to 2.

The loops at each vertex show that for each  $x \in A$ ,  $x R x$  and so the relation  $R$  is reflexive.

The relation  $R$  is not symmetric since  $1 R 3$  but  $3 \not R 1$ , and the relation  $R$  is not transitive since  $1 R 3$  and  $3 R 2$  but  $1 \not R 2$ .

5. The directed graph should have a loop at vertex 2, an arrow from 1 to 4, an arrow from 4 to 1, an arrow from 2 to 4 and an arrow from 4 to 2. The relation  $T$  is not reflexive since  $a \in A$  and  $a \not T a$ .

The relation  $T$  is symmetric since whenever there is an arrow from a vertex  $a$  to a vertex  $b$ , there is an arrow from  $b$  to  $a$ . This means that for all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a T b$ , then  $b T a$  is a true conditional statement.

The relation  $T$  is not transitive since  $1 T 4$  and  $4 T 2$  but  $1 \not T 2$ .

**Note:** There are other properties of relations that we will not study in this text. Two such properties are:

- A relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is **irreflexive** provided that for all  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x R y$ , then  $x \neq y$ . This means that no element in  $A$  is related to itself. One such example is the “less than” relation on the real numbers.
- A relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is **antisymmetric** provided that for all  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x R y$  and  $y R x$ , then  $x = y$ . One such example is the “less than or equal to” relation on the real numbers.

## Beginning Activities for Section 7.2

*Mathematical Reasoning: Writing and Proof, Version 3*

### Beginning Activity 2 (Review of Congruence Modulo $n$ )

1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, then we say that  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $n$  provided that  $n$  divides  $a - b$ . So,

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ means } (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}) (a - b = nk)$$

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ means } (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}) (a = b + nk)$$

2. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then we have determined an ordered pair  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . So, congruence modulo  $n$  determines the following subset of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{n}\}.$$

So, this is a relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

3. Theorem 3.4 states that the relation of congruence modulo  $n$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
4. **Theorem 3.31.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a = nq + r$  and  $0 \leq r < n$  for some integers  $q$  and  $r$ , then  $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ .

**Corollary 3.32.** If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then each integer is congruent, modulo  $n$ , to precisely one of the integers  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

5. **Symmetric Property:** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ . We will prove that  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , we know that  $n \mid (a - b)$  and hence that there exists an integer  $k$  such that

$$a - b = nk.$$

If we multiply both sides of this equation by  $-1$ , we get

$$(-1)(a - b) = (-1)nk$$

$$b - a = n(-k).$$

Since  $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , this last equation implies that  $n \mid (b - a)$  and hence that  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . We have thus proven that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . This means that the relation of congruence modulo  $n$  is a symmetric relation. ■