## Introduction to Limits

Unfortunately, partial derivatives don't tell the whole story for differentiability of multivariable functions. We'll soon see that it's possible for the partial derivatives of a function to exist, and yet the function is not differentiable. This issue arises because when we compute partial derivatives, we are only considering how a function changes in the x-direction and in the y-direction. However, there are infinitely many more directions in which we could study the change of a function! In order to be able to handle these issues, we'll need to define limits for multivariable functions.

In single variable calculus, we gave a formal, epsilon-delta definition of limits.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. We write

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$$

if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all x with  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ .

The idea here is that if x gets close enough to a, then f(x) is guaranteed to get close to L. This leads us to our second, informal definition of a limit.

**Definition 2.** (Informal definition) We say that

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$$

if f(x) approaches L as x approaches a.

When we think of x approaching a along the number line,  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can approach a from two directions: left and right.

YouTube link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d6kArlwck9s

This led to the idea of left and right limits.

When we have a function whose domain is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there are infinitely many possible ways to approach a point. We can approach a point along infinitely many different lines, and we can also "zig-zag" or "spiral" into a point.

In the video below, we see just a few of the infinitely many different ways that we can approach a point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

YouTube link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jMGwRdDZYnY

This makes limits in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  particularly challenging!

Author(s): Melissa Lynn

Learning outcomes: Understand the geometric idea of limits, and use paths to show that some limits do not exist.

# Showing that limits do not exist

For now, we'll work with an informal, intuitive definition of limits of functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . We'll revisit this definition and provide a formal epsilon-delta definition in a later section. We model our informal definition after our definition from single variable calculus.

**Definition 3.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . We say that

$$\lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} f(\vec{x}) = L$$

if  $f(\vec{x})$  gets close to L as  $\vec{x}$  gets close to  $\vec{a}$ .

Note that since f is a function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , the inputs of f are points/vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the outputs of f are numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

An important consequence of this definition is that if we approach the point  $\vec{a}$  along any path, the value of the function f should always approach the limit L (if the limit exists). This provides us with an important tool for showing that some limits do not exist.

**Proposition 1.** Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and a point  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose there are continuous paths  $\vec{x}(t)$  and  $\vec{y}(t)$  such that  $\vec{x}(t_1) = \vec{y}(t_2) = \vec{a}$ , and suppose that

$$\lim_{t \to t_1} f(\vec{x}(t)) \neq \lim_{t \to t_2} f(\vec{y}(t))$$

(or one of these limits does not exist). Then  $\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}} f(x)$  does not exist.

Note that the contrapositive of this statement is false: if we find two paths along which a function has the same limit, this does not guarantee that the overall limit exists.

We will prove this proposition once we give the epsilon-delta definition of a limit, but for now, we'll use it to show that some limits do not exist.

**Example 1.** Consider the function 
$$f(x,y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$
. We will show that  $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$  does not exist.

First, let's see what happens when we approach the origin along the x-axis. In this case, the y-coordinate will always be 0. More specifically, we approach along the path  $\vec{x}(t) = (t, 0)$ , and let  $t \to 0$ . We find the limit of f along this path:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{x}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t, 0)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2 - 0^2}{t^2 + 0^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} 1$$

$$= 1.$$

Next, let's see what happens when we approach the origin along the y-axis. That is, we'll consider the path  $\vec{y}(t) = (0, t)$ , and take  $t \to 0$ . We find the limit of f along this path:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{y}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(0, t)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{0^2 - t^2}{0^2 + t^2}$$

$$= \boxed{-1}.$$

Thus, we have found two paths along which f approaches different values. This means that  $\lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} f(\vec{x})$  does not exist.

We can see this behavior reflected in the graph of f. If we approach the origin along the x-axis, the value of the function approaches 1. If we approach the origin along the y-axis, the value of the function approaches -1. Near the origin, the graph of the function looks like it's somehow "pinched" to accommodate this behavior.

You Tube link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4peT8wUfc1Q

**Example 2.** Consider the function  $f(x,y) = \frac{x^2y}{x^4 + y^2}$ . We'll investigate whether  $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$  exists.

First, let's see what happens when we approach the origin along the x- and y-axes.

Along the x-axis, we use the path  $\vec{x}(t) = (t,0)$ , and we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{x}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t, 0)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2 0}{t^4 + 0^2}$$

$$= \boxed{0}.$$

Along the y-axis, we use the path  $\vec{y}(t) = (0, t)$ , and we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{y}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(0, t)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{0^2 t}{0^4 + t^2}$$

$$= \boxed{0}.$$

Based on these limits, what can we conclude about  $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$ ?

### Multiple Choice:

- (a) It exists, and equals 0.
- (b) It doesn't exist.
- (c) We still don't know if it exists or not. ✓

Next, let's see what happens when we approach the origin along any line y = mx. We can parametrize this line as  $\vec{z}(t) = (t, mt)$ . Along this line, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{z}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t, mt)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2 mt}{t^4 + (mt)^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{mt^3}{t^4 + (mt)^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{mt}{t^2 + m^2}$$

$$= \boxed{0}.$$

Based on the above limits, what can we conclude about  $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$ ?

### Multiple Choice:

- (a) It exists, and equals 0.
- (b) It doesn't exist.
- (c) We still don't know if it exists or not. ✓

Finally, let's see what happens when we approach the origin along the parabola  $y = x^2$ , which can be parametrized as  $\vec{w}(t) = (t, t^2)$ . Along this path, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(\vec{q}(t)) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t, t^2)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2 t^2}{t^4 + (t^2)^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^4}{t^4 + mt^4}$$

$$= \boxed{1/2}.$$

Based on the above limits, what can we conclude about  $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}f(x,y)$ ?

#### Multiple Choice:

(a) It exists, and equals 0.

- (b) It doesn't exist. ✓
- (c) We still don't know if it exists or not.

In the previous example, we saw that we might get the same limit approaching along any line through the origin, but it's still possible that the overall limit might not exist. Thus, we won't be able to show that limits exist by examining specific paths, and we'll need to find other methods to evaluate limits.