

---

# Lecture notes on Analysis and Geometry on Manifolds

---

Written by  
Manuel Hinz

mh@mssh.dev or s6mlhinz@uni-bonn.de

Lecturer  
Prof. Dr. Laurent Côté  
lcote[at]math.uni-bonn.de



University of Bonn  
Winter semester 2024/2025  
Last update: November 5, 2024

---

# Contents

<b>Chapter 0 Manuel's notes</b>	<b>2</b>
0.1 Organization	2
0.2 Course overview	2
<b>Chapter 1 Topological manifolds</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Some point set topology	4
1.1.1 Locally Euclidean spaces	4
1.1.2 Hausdorff spaces	5
1.1.3 Basis and covers	6
1.2 Topological manifolds	10
1.2.1 Examples of topological manifolds	10
1.2.2 Brief interlude: Why do we need Hausdorffness?	12
1.2.3 Manifolds with boundary	12
1.2.4 Elementary topological properties of topological manifolds	13
1.3 Classification of topological manifolds (proofs are not examinable)	13
1.3.1 Classification of 1-dimensional manifolds	13
1.3.2 Classification of 2-dimensional manifolds	14
1.3.3 Classification of high dimensional manifolds (not examinable at all)	15
<b>Chapter 2 Smooth manifolds</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1 Basic theory	16
2.1.1 Charts and atlases	16
2.1.2 First examples of smooth manifolds	17
2.1.3 Smooth maps	18
2.1.4 The category of smooth manifolds	19
2.1.5 Smooth manifolds with boundary	20
2.2 Partitions of unity	21
2.2.1 Preparatory lemmas	21
2.2.2 Partitions of unity	22
2.2.3 Applications of partitions of unity	24
<b>Chapter 3 Tangent Vectors</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 Motivation	26
3.2 Two (equivalent) theories of tangent vectors	27
3.2.1 Definition via equivalence classes of smooth curves	27
3.2.2 Definition via derivations	29
3.2.3 Both definitions agree	31
3.3 Coordinates	32
3.4 The tangent bundle	33
<b>Chapter 4 Submersions, immersions and embeddings</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1 Basic definitions	37
<b>List of Lectures</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>40</b>

---

# Chapter 0:

## Manuel's notes

### Warning

These are unofficial lecture notes written by a student. They are messy, will almost surely contain errors, typos and misunderstandings and may not be kept up to date! I do however try my best and use these notes to prepare for my exams. Feel free to email me any corrections to [mh@mssh.dev](mailto:mh@mssh.dev) or [s6mlhinz@uni-bonn.de](mailto:s6mlhinz@uni-bonn.de).  
Happy learning!

### General Information

- Basis: Basis
- Website: [https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/~lcote/V3D3\\_2024.html](https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/~lcote/V3D3_2024.html)
- Time slot(s): **Tuesday: 14-16** Nussallee Anatomie B and **Friday: 12-14** GHS
- Exams: Tuesday **11.02.2025, 9-11**, Großer Hörsaal, Wegelerstraße 10 and Friday **21.03.2025, 9-11**, Großer Hörsaal, Wegelerstraße 10
- Deadlines: **Friday before noon**

## 0.1 Organization

- Four exercise classes, in the break come to the front and sign up.
- First homework is due this Friday
- Exercise sheets are due on Fridays, every week electronically (groups, at most 2)
- No published lecture notes by him!
- 5 Minute break right before the full hour
- Friday after class for questions

Start of lecture 01  
(08.10.2024)

## 0.2 Course overview

He assumes we already know about

- Analysis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- Basic point set topology

For this class: smooth manifolds based on [2]

- Intersection between analysis and topology
- Exiting: Connections between those two point of views

I would also recommend [4]

**Main topics:**

Topic 00: Topological manifolds

Topic 01: Basic theory of smooth manifolds

Topic 02: Vector fields on smooth manifolds

Topic 03: Tensor calculus and Stokes' theorem

Topic 04: Lie groups, symplectic and Riemannian geometry

# Chapter 1:

## Topological manifolds

### 1.1 Some point set topology

Some (set theoretical) conventions for the whole course:

- $A \subset B$  means  $A$  subset (not necessarily proper!) of  $B$ , i.e.  $\subset = \subseteq$
- A **neighborhood** of some point  $p \in X$  means *an open set*  $U \subset X$  containing  $p$
- Given  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0$ ,  $B_r^n(p) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - p_i)^2 < r^2\}$ . Often while  $B_s = B_s^n(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

#### 1.1.1 Locally Euclidean spaces

**Definition.** A topological space  $X$  is called **locally Euclidean of dimension  $n \geq 0$** , if every point of  $X$  is contained in a neighborhood homeomorphic to some open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Remark.** When we speak of a topological space as being **locally Euclidean**. The dimension is fixed and implicit.

**Definition.** Assume that  $X$  is locally Euclidean. A **chart** is a pair  $U, \phi$ , where  $U \subset X$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a homeomorphism into its image. Given  $p \in X$ , we say that  $U, \phi$  is **centered at  $p$**  if  $p \in U$  and  $\phi(p) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$




Figure 1.1: Sketch 1.01

**Lemma 1.1.** The following are equivalent (TFAE):

- $X$  is locally Euclidean
- For any  $p \in X$ , there is a chart  $U, \phi$  centered at  $p$  with image  $\phi(U) = B_1$

- For any  $p \in X$ , there is a chart  $U, \phi$  centered at  $p$  with image  $\phi(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$

*Proof.* 2. and 3. are equivalent, since  $B_1 \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  are homeomorphic ( $B_1 \ni x \mapsto \frac{x}{1-\|x\|}$ )

2.  $\implies$  1. is tautological

1.  $\implies$  2. given  $p \in X$ , since  $X$  is locally Euclidean, there exists **some** chart  $U, \psi, p \in U$ .

$\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , homeo onto its image  $\psi(U) = O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . By translativity  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto x - \psi(p)$ , one can assume  $\psi(p) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By scaling  $\mathbb{R}^n(x \mapsto \lambda x, \lambda > 0)$ , can assume  $B_1 \subset \psi(U)$ . Let  $U' = \psi^{-1}(B_1)$ , then  $(U, \psi)$  as claimed.  $\square$

### 1.1.2 Hausdorff spaces

**Definition.** A topological space  $X$  is called Hausdorff, if given any  $p_1 \neq p_2, p_1, p_2 \in X$ , there exist neighborhoods  $U_1 \ni p_1, U_2 \ni p_2$  s.t.  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .




Figure 1.2: Sketch 1.02

**Example.** •  $\mathbb{R}^n$

- CW complexes
- most reasonable spaces

**Example** (Not Hausdorff).  $X = \{0, 1\}$ , open subsets  $\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}$

**Remark.**  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}^*$  (quotient topology),  $\mathbb{R}^*, (s, x \mapsto sx)$

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $X$  be Hausdorff.

- (a) point sets  $\{x\}$  are closed
- (b) convergent sequences have unique limits.  $(x_n \rightarrow p, x_n \rightarrow q \implies p = q)$
- (c) compact sets are closed

*Proof.* (c)  $\implies$  (a)

For (c): Let  $K \subset X$  be compact. Want to show  $K^c$  is open. Pick  $p \in K^c$ . For each  $q \in K$ , we can choose  $U_q \ni q, U_p \ni p : U_q \cap U_p = \emptyset$ . Since  $K$  is compact, it can be covered by  $U_{q_1}, \dots, U_{q_l}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_{q_i}$  is open and contains  $p$ , disjoint, then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^l U_{q_i} \supset K$ .




Figure 1.3: Sketch 1.03

(b) Suppose for contradiction that  $x_i \rightarrow p, x_i \rightarrow q$  and  $p \neq q$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $\exists U \ni p, O \ni q, U \cap O = \emptyset$ . But for  $N \gg 0, x_i \in U, x_i \in O \forall i > N$

□

### 1.1.3 Basis and covers

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

**Definition.** A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called a **basis(base)** for  $X$ , if for any  $p \in X$  and any neighborhood  $U \ni p$ , there exists an element  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}$  s.t.  $p \in \mathcal{U} \subset U$ .




Figure 1.4: Sketch 1.04

**Lemma 1.3.**  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $X \iff$  every open set of  $X$  is a union of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof.* Trivial. □

**Definition.** A topological space  $X$  is **second-countable** if it admits a countable basis.

**Example.** •  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s^n(p) \mid s \in \mathbb{Q}_+, p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}$

**Lemma 1.4.** The property of being second-countable is closed under

- (a) subspaces
- (b) countable disjoint unions
- (c) countable products

**Remark.** The property of being second-countable is not closed under arbitrary quotients  $q : A \rightarrow A/B$ . An obvious sufficient conditions is for  $q$  to be an open map. (Since it is a pushforward)

**Lemma 1.5.** If  $X$  is second countable, then any open cover of  $X$  admits a countable subcover.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable basis for  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the collection of basis elements  $U$ , which are contained in some  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Observe (key!)  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is a cover of  $X$ . For each  $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ , choose  $\mathcal{U}_U \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $U \subset \mathcal{U}_U$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{U}_U\}$  is a countable subcover of  $\mathcal{C}$ . □

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. An **exhaustion of  $X$  by compact subsets** is a sequence  $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $K_i \subset X$  compact and  $K_i \subset \text{int}(K_{i+1})$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i = X$ .

Recall given  $A \subset X$ .  $\text{int}(A) := \{x \in A \mid x \text{ in a neighborhood } U \subset A\}$ .

When constructing manifolds via quotients, check that it is still second-countable!



**Lemma 1.6.** *If  $X$  is locally Euclidean, Hausdorff<sup>a</sup> and second countable. Then  $X$  admits an exhaustion by compact subsets.*

<sup>a</sup>not needed

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is locally Euclidean, admits a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of open subsets having compact closure.

That is take the close of  $B_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$




Figure 1.5: Sketch 1.05

By Lemma 1.5, one can extract a countable subcover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Set  $K_1 = \overline{U_1}$ . Assume that we already constructed  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  such that  $U_j \subset K_j$  and  $K_{j-1} \subset \text{int}(K_j), j \geq 2$ . Since  $K_k$  is compact and  $K_k \subset X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ , then there exists some  $m_k$  such that  $K_k \subset X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_k} U_i$  by compactness. Might as well assume that  $m_k \geq k$ . Set

$$K_{k+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_k} U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_k} \overline{U_i}.$$

By construction  $K_{k+1}$  is compact,  $K_k \subset \text{int}(K_{k+1})$ . We get  $\{K_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, U_j \subset K_j$  (because  $m_j \geq j$ )  
 $\implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$   $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is **locally finite** if for every  $x \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $U \ni x$  such that the intersection of  $U$  with all but finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  is empty.

Start of lecture 02  
(11.10.2024)

**Example** (Example for local finiteness). Take  $X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C} = \{(i-1, i+1)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .




Figure 1.6: Sketch 1.06

**Example** (Non-example for local finiteness).  $X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C} = (q-1, q+1)_{q \in \mathbb{Q}}$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cover of  $X$ . A cover  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $X$  is called a **refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$** , if for all elements  $U \in \mathcal{C}'$ , there exists such  $V \in \mathcal{C}: U \subset V$ .

**Example** (Example of Refinement). *In the proof of lemma 1.5, we showed that any open cover admits a refinement by basis elements.*

**Definition.** A topological space  $X$  is called paracompact if every open cover admits a locally finite refinement.

Whats up with the word **paracompact**? It's like compact, but weaker! It is necessary that it only admits a locally finite refinement!

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $X$  be Hausdorff and suppose that  $X$  admits an exhaustion by compact subsets. Then  $X$  is paracompact. In fact, we will show that given any basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $X$ , any open cover admits a locally finite refinement by elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $K_i$  compact,  $K_i \subset \text{int}(K_{i+1})$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i = X$ . Let, for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  $V_j = K_{j+1} \setminus \text{int}(K_j)$  if  $j \geq 0$ ;  $K_j = \emptyset$  if  $j < 0$ .

Careful! There are many definitions of exhaustion by compact sets ...

$$V_0 = K_1 \setminus \text{int}(K_0) \quad \underbrace{V_{j-1} \cap V_j \cap V_{j+1}}_{\text{neighborhood}} \dots$$

Figure 1.7: Sketch 1.07

Notice:

- $V_j$  is compact, since we take the intersection of a compact set and a closed set. ( $\text{int}(K_j)^c$  is closed)
- $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = X$ , since  $\bigcup_{j \leq n} V_j = \bigcup_{j \leq n+1} K_j = K_{n+1}$
- The compact sets  $V_j$  are intersecting (along their boundary?)  
 $V_j \cap V_{j-1} = \partial K_j := K_j \setminus \text{int}(K_j)$

Evidently  $\{U_\alpha \cap \text{int}(K_{j+1}) \cap \text{int}(K_{j-1})^c\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  covers  $V_j = K_{j+1} \setminus \text{int}(K_j)$ , where the  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is an open cover. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, we can find a refinement of this cover by basis elements. Since  $V_j$  are compact, we can extract a finite subcover  $\{V_l^j\}_{l=1, \dots, k_j}$ . Let's consider:  $\{V_l^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, l=1, \dots, k_j}$ . This subcover works, i.e.

Here we use Hausdorffness

- obviously a cover, since the  $V_j$  cover  $X$ , obviously a refinement of  $\{U_\alpha\}$
- locally finite: given  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in V_j$ , hence  $x \in \text{int}(K_{j+2}) \cap K_{j-1}^c =: U$ . If  $U \cap V_l^k$ , then we must have  $j-2 \leq k \leq j+2$ . But  $\{V_l^k\}_{j-2 \leq k \leq j+2}$  is finite.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.** *If  $X$  is locally Euclidean, Hausdorff and second countable  $\implies X$  is paracompact.*

*Proof.* By lemma 1.6 (exhaustion by compact subsets) and lemma 1.7  $\implies$  paracompact.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8'.** *Let  $X$  be Euclidean and Hausdorff. Then  $X$  is second countable iff  $X$  has countably many components and  $X$  is paracompact.*

**Remark.** *There are different definitions of manifolds. They differ in either forcing second countability or paracompactness. This lemma shows that there only is a difference if there are uncountably many components.*

*Proof.* Corollary 1.8 and the bonus homework problem from sheet 01.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>He writes – for \

**Remark.** *Basis elements are open.*

## 1.2 Topological manifolds

**Definition.** A topological  $n$ -manifold  $M$  is a topological space with the following properties:

- (i)  $M$  is locally Euclidean (of dimension  $n$ )
- (ii)  $M$  is Hausdorff
- (iii)  $M$  is second countable

Morally we only really need condition (i). Why do we need the others? For (ii) you will not get a useful theory without it, while (iii) can be replaced by paracompactness (see corollary 1.8').

**Definition.** Let  $\text{Man}^0$  be the category of topological manifolds with

1. objects: topological manifolds
2. morphisms: continuous functions

**Remark.**  $\text{Man}^0$  full subcategory of  $\text{Top}$ .

**Remark.** By definition,  $M, N \in \text{Man}^0$ , then  $M, N$  are isomorphic iff  $M, N$  are homeomorphic.

### 1.2.1 Examples of topological manifolds

**Example** (Spaces isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).  $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 0$  More generally, if  $V$  a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, then  $V$  is a topological  $n$ -manifold.

**Example.** Any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Example** (Graphs). Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open, let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous function. We set

$$M := \text{graph}(f) := \{(x, y) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid y = f(x)\}.$$

Then  $M$  is a manifold. The map  $M \rightarrow U$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto x$  gives a global chart.

**Example** (Spheres). Let  $S^n := \{x_0^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $S^n$  is a manifold. We define charts

$$\phi_i^\pm : U_i^\pm = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in S^n \mid \pm x_i > 0\} \rightarrow B_1^n(0)$$

by  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n) := (x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$

Here we no longer have a global chart (for topological reasons)




Figure 1.8: Sketch 1.08

**Example** (spheres'). Let  $C^n := \partial([-1, 1]^{n+1}) = [-1, 1]^{n+1} \setminus \text{int}([-1, 1]^{n+1})$ . Homework:  $C^n \simeq S^n$  (homeomorphic).

**Example** ( $n$ -torus). Let  $\Pi^n := \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  with the quotient topology. Then this is a manifold (exercise).



Figure 1.9: Sketch 1.09

**Example** ( $\mathbb{RP}^n := S^n / \{x \sim -x\}$ ).  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  are also manifolds (called the real projective spaces).



Figure 1.10: Sketch 1.10

**Example** (Klein bottle).

**Remark.**  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  or generally  $\mathbb{RP}^{2n}$  and the Klein bottle are not orientable.

### 1.2.2 Brief interlude: Why do we need Hausdorffness?

- Back in the day (Riemann)
- There is no hope to classify even 1d locally Euclidean, second-countable NOT Hausdorff spaces (See the line with two origins)
- With Hausdorff: Only 1d manifolds are  $\mathbb{R}, S^1$  (see website)

Why do we need second countability?

- Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are second countable
- We want partitions of unity (paracompactness suffices for that)

### 1.2.3 Manifolds with boundary

Let  $\mathbb{H}^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition.** A manifold with boundary is a topological space with the following properties:

- (i) Every point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{H}^n$
- (ii) Hausdorff
- (iii) second countable

Clearly every manifold is also a manifold with boundary.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is a manifold with boundary, but not a manifold. Since for points on the boundary, there are no neighborhoods homeomorphic to Euclidean space.

**Example.**  $S^n \cap \mathcal{H}^{n+1}, S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, [a, b], [0, \infty)$



Figure 1.11: Sketch 1.12

**Definition.** If  $M$  manifold with boundary, we say  $x$  is a **boundary point**, if  $x \in M \setminus \text{int}(M)$  (i.e. it has no neighborhood homeomorphic to Euclidean space?), otherwise  $x$  is an interior point. We let  $\partial M := \{\text{boundary points}\}$ .

**Remark.** Most of what he says in the course can be generalized to manifolds with boundary (unless it makes no sense). Those results are only stated (and proved) for manifolds. it might be a good exercise to go through the notes and generalize the statements to manifolds with boundary.

Start of lecture 03  
(15.10.2024)

### 1.2.4 Elementary topological properties of topological manifolds

- A manifold is connected iff it is path connected
- For manifolds, all forms of compactness (ordinary compactness (every open cover has a finite subcover), limit point compactness, sequential compactness) are equivalent
- All manifolds are metrizable (Urysohn metrization theorem + second countable  $\implies$  metrizable)
- Any manifold is homotopy equivalent to a countable CW complex (Milner?)  $\pi_k(M)$  are countable

Not proved here, but we are welcome to use

The first two point were proven on the first sheet. The last two use countability

## 1.3 Classification of topological manifolds (proofs are not examinable)

### 1.3.1 Classification of 1-dimensional manifolds

**Theorem 1.9.** Any connected one dimensional manifold is homeomorphic to

- $\mathbb{R}^1$  or
- $\mathbb{H}^1$

*Proof.* See Course website: [1] in the form of a take-home exam

□

**Remark.** If you allow a boundary, then you also have  $[0, 1], [0, 1)$ .

### 1.3.2 Classification of 2-dimensional manifolds

2-dimensional manifolds are often called surfaces

- $S^2 = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \sum_{i=0}^2 x_i^2 = 1\}$
- $\Pi^2 := \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$
- $\mathbb{RP}^2 = S^2 / \{x \sim -x\}$

**Construction** (Connected sum of surfaces):




Figure 1.12: Sketch 1.14

Let  $M_1, M_2$  be surfaces (i.e. 2-dimensional manifolds). Choose charts  $M_i \supset U_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\mathring{M}_i = M_i \setminus \phi_i^{-1}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ . Let  $M_1 \# M_2 := \mathring{M}_1 \cup \mathring{M}_2 / \sim$ , where  $X \in \mathring{M}_1 \sim y \in \mathring{M}_2$  if  $x \in \phi_1^{-1}(\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $y = (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(x)$

**Facts:**

- If  $M_1, M_2$  are connected, then  $M_1 \# M_2$  is well defined up to homeomorphism.
- The operation of connected sum is also well defined for connected  $n$ -manifolds
- (for the future) The operation of connected sum also works in the smooth category.

**Theorem 1.10** (Classification of surfaces). *Every compact, connected surface is homeomorphic to one of the following manifolds:*

- $S^2$
- $\underbrace{\Pi^2 \# \dots \# \Pi^2}_{k \text{ times}}$
- $\underbrace{\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{RP}^2}_{l \text{ times}} \text{ (non-orientable)}$




Figure 1.13: Sketch 1.15

**Remark.** Surfaces are classified by the following invariants:

- (a) orientability
- (b) Euler characteristic

For later: This classification also works in the smooth category.

### 1.3.3 Classification of high dimensional manifolds (not examinable at all)

**Poincaré conjecture** (now theorem of G. Perelman (2003), W. Thurston (1980s)): Any compact connected 3 dimensional manifold which is simply connected is homeomorphic to  $S^3$ . This paper is all about PDEs and Ricci flows.

**Generalized Poincaré conjecture:** Any  $n$ -manifold, which is homotopy equivalent to  $S^n$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ . This is true in all dimensions. for  $n \geq 5$  Smale in the 1960s, for  $n = 4$  Freedman in the 1980s.

Unlike in dimension 1,2,3 the classification of  $n \geq 3$ -dimensional manifolds is complicated and not complete.

**Example.** Any finitely presented group arises as the fundamental group of a compact connected 4-manifold (Which is provably too hard).



# Chapter 2:

## Smooth manifolds

### 2.1 Basic theory

#### 2.1.1 Charts and atlases

**Definition.** Given  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, a function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is called **smooth** (or  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  or **infinitely differentiable**), if the **component functions**  $f_i$  admit all partial derivatives of all orders and all these partial derivatives are continuous.

In other words  $f$  smooth:  $\iff \forall 1 \leq i \leq m, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \partial_\alpha f := \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} f$  exists.

**Remark.** Given  $k \geq 0$ , we can similarly say that  $f$  is  **$k$ -times continuously differentiable** and write  $(f \in)$  and write  $f \in C^k(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , if for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \sum \alpha_i \leq k$   $\partial_x^\alpha f_i$  is continuous for all  $i$ .

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a topological manifold. We say that two charts  $(U_1, \phi_1), (U_2, \phi_2)$  are **smoothly compatible** if the map  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1} : \phi_1(U_1 \cap U_2) \rightarrow \phi_2(U_1 \cap U_2)$  is smooth. We call  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$  a **transition function**.




Figure 2.1: Sketch 2.01

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a topological manifold. An **(smooth) atlas**  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $M$  is a collection of charts  $\{U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  such that

- the  $\{U_\alpha\}$  cover  $M$
- the charts are pairwise smoothly compatible (i.e. for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$   $(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha), (U_\beta, \phi_\beta)$  are smoothly compatible).

**Definition.** We say that two atlases  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$  (on a fixed topological manifold) are equivalent, if their union  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is still an atlas.

**Fact(Sheet 03):** This defines an equivalence relation.

**Definition.** A smooth manifold  $M = (M, [\mathcal{A}])$  consists of the following data:

- (i) a topological manifold  $M$
- (ii) an equivalence class of smooth atlases

**Remark.** • typically, we will designate smooth manifolds by a capital letter, e.g.  $M$ . But we always mean  $(M, [\mathcal{A}])$ . **Note** being a smooth manifold is extra structure on a topological space, while being a topological manifold is a property

- Using Zorn's lemma, it can be shown that any atlas is contained in a unique maximal atlas. Uniqueness here does not use Zorn's lemma, only existence needs that! Equally well define a smooth manifold to be a topological manifold and a maximal atlas.
- $\forall 0 \leq k \leq \infty$ , we can define the notion of a  $C^k$ -atlas, simply by requiring that the transition functions are  $C^k$  functions. This yields the definition of  $C^k$ -Manifolds. Two extreme cases:  $C^0$ -manifold (topological manifolds) and  $C^\infty$ -manifolds. Any  $k \geq 1$  is not more interesting than  $C^\infty$ !

Typically we are given an atlas, since the maximal atlases have uncountably many charts, which is why we work with equivalence classes, rather than maximal atlases  
Start of lecture 04  
(18.10.2024)

## 2.1.2 First examples of smooth manifolds

**Example** (Example 1: The canonical smooth manifold).  $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 0$  is canonically a smooth manifold. The canonical atlas is induced by the topological chart  $U = \mathbb{R}^n, \phi : U \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Example** (Example 2: Another canonical smooth manifold). Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional real vector space. Then  $V$  is canonically a smooth manifold. Pick a vector space basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . This basis induces a homeomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . If we had picked another basis  $\mathcal{B}'$ , then the transition map  $\phi_{\mathcal{B}'} \circ \phi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $\phi_{\mathcal{B}'} \circ \phi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  is smooth.

**Example** (Example 3: Spheres). We have  $S_c^n := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2 = c^2\}$  for  $c > 0$ . Let  $\phi_i^\pm : \underbrace{U_i^\pm}_{:= \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in S_c^n \mid \pm x_i > 0\}} \rightarrow B_c^n$ . Then  $\phi_j^\pm \circ (\phi_i^{pm})^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \phi_j^\pm(y_1, \dots, \pm \sqrt{c^2 - \sum y_i}, \dots, y_n)$ , where  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in B_c^n$ .

$$= \begin{cases} (y_1, \dots, y_n) & i = j \\ (y_1, \dots, \sqrt{c^2 - \sum y_k}, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) & j > i \\ (y_1, \dots, y_{\hat{j}+1}, \dots, \sqrt{c^2 - \sum y_k}, \dots, y_n) & j < i \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We conclude  $\{U_i^\pm, \phi_i^\pm\}$  is a smooth atlas.

**Example** (Example 4: Level sets). Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. Fix  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that the set  $\Phi^{-1}(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \Phi(x) = c\}$  is called a **level set** of value  $c$ . **Suppose** that,  $\forall p \in \Phi^{-1}(c) : D \underbrace{\Phi(p)}_{=(\partial_{x_0} \Phi(p), \dots, \partial_{x_n} \Phi(p))} \neq 0$ . This means that  $\exists 0 \leq i \leq n$  s.t.  $\partial_{x_i} \Phi(p) \neq 0$ . By the

**implicit function theorem** (Lee, Theorem C.40, Course website), there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $U \cap \Phi^{-1}(p) = \{(x_0, \dots, f(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n), x_n)\}$ .




Figure 2.2: Sketch 2.02

Let  $M = \phi^{-1}(c)$ . We define  $\hat{\pi}_i : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$ .

$$\{(U, \hat{\pi}_i) \mid U \subset M, \hat{\pi}_i|_U \text{ homeomorphism}, \partial_{x_i} \Phi \neq 0 \text{ on } U\}$$

Remains to check the formula:

$$\hat{\pi}_j \circ \hat{\pi}_i^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} (y_1, \dots, f, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) & j > i \\ (y_1, \dots, y_{j+1}, \dots, f, \dots, y_n) & i < j \\ (y_1, \dots, y_n) & i = j \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** The condition  $D\Phi \neq 0$  is very explicit! It is very easy to generate lots of manifolds. For example:  $\Phi(x) = \sum \lambda_i x_i^2$

**Example** (Example 5: Subset of smooth manifold). Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Then  $U \subset M$  open, is also a smooth manifold. (Take charts of  $M$  and intersect / restrict each chart)

**Example** (Example 6: Product of manifolds). Let  $M, N$  be smooth manifolds. Then  $M \times N$  is also a smooth manifold. Take as charts

$$\{(U \times V, (\phi, \psi)) \mid (U, \phi), (V, \psi) \text{ charts of } M, N \text{ respectively}\}$$

**Example** (Example 7: ). Let's consider  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define a chart  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^3$ . Observe that

$$M = (U = \mathbb{R}, U \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$N = (U = \mathbb{R}, U \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^3} \mathbb{R})$$

are smooth manifolds, which are different! Since the transition functions between them are not smooth:

Indeed  $id \circ (x \mapsto x^3)^{-1} = (x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{3}})$ , which is not smooth!

This takes care of the torus!

This is one to pay attention to!

### 2.1.3 Smooth maps

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. A map  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be smooth, if for all  $p \in M$ , there exists a chart  $(U, \phi)$  containing  $p$ , such that

$$f \circ \phi^{-1} : \underbrace{\phi(U)}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

is smooth.

**Definition.** Let  $M, N$  be manifolds. We say  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is **smooth** if, for all  $p \in M$  there exists charts  $(U, \phi)$  with  $p \in U \subset M$  and  $(V, \psi)$  with  $V \subset N$  such that:

- $V \supset f(U)$
- $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} : \underbrace{\phi(U)}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth

manifolds = smooth manifolds as always (unless otherwise stated)

Reality check.

**Lemma 2.1.** Smooth maps are continuous.

*Proof.* Enough to show that  $\forall p \in M$ , there exists a neighborhood of  $p$  on which  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is continuous, for  $f$  smooth. By definition  $\exists (U, \phi), p \in U, (V, \psi), V \subset N$  s.t.

$\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  smooth.

Observe  $f = \psi^{-1} \circ (\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ \phi$  on  $U$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.**  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is smooth if and only if each  $p \in M$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $f|_U$  is smooth.

*Proof.* Sheet 03. □

**Lemma 2.3** (Properties of smooth maps). (i) Any constant map  $c : M \rightarrow N$  is smooth<sup>a</sup>

(ii) The identity map  $\text{id} : M \rightarrow M$  is smooth

(iii) If  $U \subset M$  open, then the inclusion  $i : U \hookrightarrow M$  is smooth

(iv) Compositions of smooth functions are smooth

<sup>a</sup>Since it sends  $M$  to a point in  $N$

*Proof.* Sheet 03. □

**Definition.** Let  $M, N$  be manifolds. A **diffeomorphism**  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a smooth map, which is bijective and admits a smooth inverse.

In particular, diffeomorphisms are homeomorphism!

**Example.**  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x + 3$  is a diffeomorphism with inverse  $x \mapsto x - 3$ .

**Example.** Let  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Define a map

$$f_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, x \mapsto Ax.$$

This is a diffeomorphism (smooth, since linear) with inverse  $f_A^{-1} = f_{A^{-1}}$ .

**Example.** Let  $S_c^n := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2 = c^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Given  $d > c > 0$ , we define a diffeomorphism.

$$S_c^n \rightarrow S_d^n, (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \frac{d}{c}(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

**Example.**  $M = (\mathbb{R}, \text{id}), N = (\mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^3)$ . The map  $M \rightarrow N, x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  is a diffeomorphism. Indeed,

$$(x \mapsto x^3) \circ (x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{3}}) \circ \text{id}^{-1} = \text{id}$$

## 2.1.4 The category of smooth manifolds

**Definition.** Let  $\text{Man}^\infty$  be the category of smooth manifolds. The objects are the smooth manifolds. The morphisms are the smooth maps.

**Exercise:**  $M, N$  objects in  $\text{Man}^\infty$  are isomorphic if and only if they are diffeomorphic.

Observe that there is a forgetful functor:  $\text{Man}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Man}^0$  by  $(M, [\mathcal{A}]) \rightarrow M$  and  $f : M \rightarrow N \mapsto f$ .

In general:

- not full
- not essentially surjective

**Remark** (Hierarchy of categories). • for  $k = 0, \dots, \infty$ , we can consider the category  $\text{Man}^k$  with objects  $C^k$ -Manifolds, and morphisms  $C^k$ -maps. for  $k \leq l$  there is a forgetful functor  $\text{Man}^l \rightarrow \text{Man}^k$

- if  $k \geq 1$ , then the forgetful functor  $\text{Man}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Man}^k$  is essentially surjective. This is different from the  $C^0$  case. For this reason, we mainly focus on  $\text{Man}^0, \text{Man}^\infty$ . This is a theorem by Whitney
- there are other interesting categories:  $\text{Man}^{\text{Real-analytic}}, \text{Man}^{\text{Cplx-analytic}}, \dots$ , which both come with a forgetful functor to  $\text{Man}^\infty$

**Remark** (Classification of manifolds (not examinable)). • all topological manifolds of dimension  $\leq 3$  admit a unique smooth structure

- $S^7$ , as a topological manifold, admits 15 pairwise non-diffeomorphic smooth structures. These are called **exotic spheres**. They also exist in higher dimensions (Milan-Kervaire?)
- $\mathbb{R}^4$  admits uncountably many pairwise non-diffeomorphic smooth structures (Taubes 1980s)
- Open problem (**Smooth 4 dimensional Poincaré conjecture**): Prove or disprove: any smooth 4-manifold, which is homeomorphic to  $S^4$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^4$ . Most experts believe this is false!

Start of lecture 05  
(22.10.2024)

### 2.1.5 Smooth manifolds with boundary

**Definition.** A function  $f : \mathbb{H}^n \supset U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is **smooth** if every  $p \in U$  admits an open neighborhood  $p \in U_p \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  on which  $f$  extends to a smooth function. (i.e. there exists  $\tilde{f}_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k, \tilde{f}_p$  smooth and  $\tilde{f}_p|_{\mathbb{H}^n \cap U} = f$ )




Figure 2.3: Sketch 2.03

**Example.**  $n = 1, \mathbb{H}^1 = [0, \infty), f(x) = x^2$

**Example** (Non-Example).  $n = 1, \mathbb{H}^1 = [0, \infty), f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  has no smooth extension to 0, since the derivative goes to  $\infty$ .

Give a topological manifold with boundary, we can define unproblematically the notions of

- smoothly compatible charts:  $(U, \phi) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n, \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1} : \phi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$
- smooth atlases

**Definition.** A smooth manifold with boundary  $M = (M, [\mathcal{A}])$  is the data of

- a topological manifold with boundary

- an equivalence class of atlases

**Remark.** Every smooth manifold is a smooth manifold with boundary. This is an enlargement of  $\text{Man}^\infty$ .

Similarly we can generalise even more to manifolds with corners ...

## 2.2 Partitions of unity

### 2.2.1 Preparatory lemmas

**Lemma 2.4.** The function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{t}} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

is smooth.




Figure 2.4: Sketch 2.04

*Proof.* It is enough to prove, that  $f$  has well defined derivatives of all orders, since  $f$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$f^0 = f$ , for  $k \geq 1$ , assume

1.  $f^{(k-1)}$  exists
2.  $f^{(k-1)}|_{(-\infty, 0]} = 0$
3.  $f^{(k-1)}|_{(0, \infty)}(t) = P_{k-1}(\frac{1}{t})e^{-\frac{1}{t}}$  for some polynomial  $P_{(k-1)}$ .

Clearly this holds for  $k = 1$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f^{(k-1)}(t) - f^{(k-1)}(0)}{t} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f^{(k-1)}(t)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_{(k-1)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{1}{t} e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P_{(k-1)}(x) \cdot x \cdot e^{-x} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f^{(k-1)}$  is differentiable at the origin, the derivative  $f^{(k-1)'}(0) = 0$ . and  $f^{(k-1)}|_{(-\infty, 0]} = 0$ . Therefore  $f^{(k-1)}$  is differentiable. Therefore we only have to check 3., which only takes place on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ !

Finally

$$f^{(k-1)}|_{(0, \infty)}(t) = P_{(k-1)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \implies P'_{(k-1)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{t^2}e^{-\frac{1}{t}} + P_{(k-1)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)e^{-\frac{1}{t}}\right) =: P_{(k)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)e^{-\frac{1}{t}}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Fix real numbers  $r_1 < r_2$ . Then there exists a smooth function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

1.  $h \equiv 1$  on  $(-\infty, r_1]$

2.  $0 < h < 1$  on  $r_1, r_2$
3.  $h \equiv 0$  on  $[r_2, \infty)$

*Proof.*  $h(t) := \frac{f(s_2-t)}{f(s_2-t)+f(t-s_1)}$ , since the denominator never goes to 0.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6** (Existence of cutoff functions). *Given  $0 < r_1 < r_2$ , there exists a smooth function  $H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

1.  $H \equiv 1$  on  $\overline{B_{r_1}}$
2.  $0 < H < 1$  on  $B_{r_2} \setminus \overline{B_{r_1}}$
3.  $H \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{r_2}$

*Proof.* Set  $H(x) := h(|x|)$ , where  $h$  is defined as in lemma 2.5. (Recall:  $|x| := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ ). Then  $H$  is smooth, since it is a composition of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_{r_1}}$  and constant on  $\overline{B_{r_1}}$ .  $\square$

### 2.2.2 Partitions of unity

**Definition.** *Given a topological space  $X$  and a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the support of  $f$  is the set*

$$\text{supp}(f) := \overline{\{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}} \subset X$$

**Example.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the form  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots, a_nx^n \implies \text{supp}(f) = \mathbb{R}$ . In fact, by Taylor's theorem, if  $f$  analytic, then  $\text{supp}(f)$  either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\emptyset$ . In contrast, the function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined in lemma 2.5 has support  $(-\infty, r_2] \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Definition.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover. A partition of unity subordinate to the cover is the data of a collection of smooth functions  $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}, \psi_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

- (1)  $0 < \psi_\alpha < 1$
- (2)  $\text{supp}(\psi_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha$
- (3)  $\{\text{supp}(\psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is locally finite
- (4)  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \psi_\alpha \equiv 1$

**Remark.** *There is an analogous notion in the category  $\text{Top}, \text{Man}^0, \text{Man}^k$ , etc.,...*

**Example.**  $M = \mathbb{R}, U_1 = (-\infty, r_2 + 1), U_2 = (r_1 - 1, \infty)$ , where  $r_1 < r_2$  as in lemma 2.5. Similarly let  $h$  as in lemma 2.5. and set  $\psi_1 = h, \psi_2 = 1 - h$




Figure 2.5: Sketch 2.05

**Theorem 2.7** (Existence of partitions of unity). *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover. Then there exists a partition of unity subordinate to this cover.*

**Remark.** The same theorem works in  $Top$ ,  $Man^0$ ,  $Man^k$ . It will not work in  $Man^{Analytic}$ ,  $Man^{Cplx-Analytic}$ ,  $Varieties/\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* **Step 1: Construction of the  $V_i$**  An open subset  $U \subset M$  is called a **regular coordinate ball** if there exists  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{U}$ ,  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  a chart such that  $\tilde{\phi}(U) = B_{r_1}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{U}) = B_{r_2}$ .




Figure 2.6: Sketch 2.06

By lemma 1.6  $M$  admits an exhaustion by compact sets. By lemma 1.7, given any basis, any open cover, one can find a locally finite, countable basis refinement of this cover by basis elements.

Claim:  $\{\text{regular coordinate balls whose closure is contained in some } U_\alpha\}$  basis of  $M$

These two points imply that  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  admits a countable, locally finite refinement by regular coordinate balls  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

By sheet 2, exercise 1 (a)  $\{\bar{V}_i\}$  is still locally finite.

**Step 2: Construction of the  $f_i$**  For each  $V_i \exists \tilde{V}_i \supset \tilde{V}_i$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_i : \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$\tilde{\phi}_i(V_i) = B_{r_1^i}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_i(\tilde{V}_i) = B_{r_2^i}$  with  $0 < r_1^i < r_2^i$ ,  $\tilde{V}_i \subset U_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ . Using lemma 2.6, let

$H_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a cutoff function, i.e.  $H_i|_{B_{r_1^i}} > 0$ ,  $H_i = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{r_2^i}$ . Let us set

$$f_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_i = \begin{cases} H_i \circ \tilde{\phi}_i & \text{on } \tilde{V}_i \\ 0 & M \setminus \bar{V}_i \end{cases}$$

**Step 3: Construction of the  $g_i$**  Let us set  $f = \sum_{i \in I} f_i$ . This is well defined by local finiteness of the  $\bar{V}_i$ . Note also that  $f > 0$ . We set  $g_i = f_i/f$ . Then clearly we have  $0 \leq g_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \equiv 1$

**Step 4: Reindexing and conformation** Since  $\tilde{V}_i \subset U_\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha$ , we can choose for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\alpha(i) \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $V_i \in U_{\alpha(i)}$ . Let us set

$$\psi_\alpha := \sum_{i | \alpha = \alpha(i)} g_i$$

Observe for (2):

$$\text{supp}(\psi_\alpha) = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha(i)=\alpha} V_i} \stackrel{\text{Exercise 2.1}}{=} \bigcup_{\alpha(i)=\alpha} \bar{V}_i \subset U_\alpha$$

We still have  $0 \leq \psi_\alpha \leq 1$ , which is (1)

and  $\text{supp}(\psi_\alpha)$  are locally finite: for each  $p \in M$ , since  $\{\bar{V}_i\}$  locally finite, there exists a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  which only intersects finitely many of the  $\{\bar{V}_i\}$ , call them  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ . Then the only  $\psi_\alpha$  which have a chance of being non-zero must satisfy  $\alpha \in \{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)\}$  (this is (3)). Lastly

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \psi_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha} \left( \sum_{i: \alpha = \alpha(i)} g_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} g_i \equiv 1,$$

which confirms (4). □

The claim is easy to verify

Finding  $\tilde{V}_i$  s.t.  $\tilde{V}_i \subset U_\alpha$  is the reason we considered regular coordinate balls whose **closure is contained in some  $U_\alpha$**

Here the empty sum is 0



### 2.2.3 Applications of partitions of unity

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Let  $A \subset X$  be closed,  $U \subset X$ ,  $A \subset U$  be open. A **bump function for  $A$**  supported in  $U$  is a function

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $\phi|_A \equiv 1$ ,  $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset U$ .




Figure 2.7: Sketch 2.07

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Fix  $A \subset M$  closed,  $U \subset M$ ,  $A \subset U \subset M$  open. Then there exists a smooth bump function for  $A$  supported in  $U$

*Proof.* Let  $V = M \setminus A$ . Then  $\{U, V\}$  is a covering and by theorem 2.7, there exist  $\{\Psi_U, \Psi_V\}$  partitions of unity subordinate to this cover. Now  $\Psi_U$  does the job.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $M, N$  be smooth manifolds. Let  $A \subset M$  be closed. We say that  $f : A \rightarrow N$  is smooth if it admits a smooth extension in a neighborhood of each point  $p \in A$ .

I.e. for any  $p \in A$  there exists  $U_p \ni p$ , a smooth function  $\tilde{f}_p : U_p \rightarrow N$  s.t.  $\tilde{f}_p|_{U_p \cap A} = f|_{U_p \cap A}$

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let  $A \subset M$  be closed and  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $k \geq 0$  be smooth. Then for any open  $U \subset M$ ,  $A \subset U$ , there exists  $\tilde{f} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , such that  $\tilde{f}|_A = f$  and  $\text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset U$

**Remark.** This would be **false** if we replaced  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by an arbitrary smooth manifold  $N$ . E.g. take  $\mathbb{R}^2 \hookrightarrow A = S^1 \xrightarrow{f=id} S^1$

*Proof.* For each  $p \in A$ , choose a neighborhood  $p \in W_p \subset U$ ,  $\tilde{f}_p : W_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  smooth extension of  $f|_{A \cap W_p}$ . Then observe that  $\{W_p\}_{p \in A} \cup (M - A)$  forms an open cover of  $M$ .  $\{\psi_p\}_{p \in A} \cup \psi_0$  be a partition of unity subordinate to the cover. Now we set  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p \tilde{f}_p$ . By local finiteness  $\tilde{f}$  is smooth. Also

We maybe need  $\overline{W_p} \subset U$ ?  
Prob. not?

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}|_A &= \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p|_A \underbrace{\tilde{f}_p|_A}_{=f} \\ &= f \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p|_A = f|_A \cdot 1 = f|_A. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. An **exhaustion function**  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that  $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}(-\infty, C]$  is compact.

If  $X$  is compact every  $f$  is an exhaustion function  
...

**Example.**  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto x^2$

**Example (NON-EXAMPLE).**  $X = \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Every smooth manifold admits a smooth exhaustion function.*

*Proof.* Pick a countable partition of unity  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  by open subsets having compact closure<sup>1</sup>. Let  $\{\Psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  be a subordinate partition of unity. Let  $f := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+} i\psi_i$ . Observe that for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}, c < N \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, c] \subset f^{-1}(-\infty, c] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{U_i}$$

Why  $f^{-1}(-\infty, c] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{U_i}$ ? Let  $q \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{U_i}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(q) &= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N i\psi_i(q)}_{=0} + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} i\psi_i(q) \\ &\geq (N+1) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \psi_i(q) = (N+1) \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i(q)}_{=1} \\ &= N+1 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let  $A \subset M$  be a closed subset. Then there exists a smooth function*

$$f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f^{-1}(0) = A$$

*In fact, the prove shows one can assume  $f \geq 0$*

E.g. take  $M = \mathbb{R}, A = \text{Cantor set}$ , shows that this is non-trivial.

*Proof.* Assume  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  (general case: Sheet 04).

Choose a countable cover of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$  by balls  $\{B_{r_i}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with  $r_i < 1$ . By Lemma 2.6 there exists a cutoff function

$$H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

s.t.  $H \equiv 1$  on  $\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)}$  and  $0 < H < 1$  on  $B_1(0) \setminus \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)}$  and  $H \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1(0)$ . For each  $i \geq 1$  let  $C_i \gg 1$  be large enough so that

$$C_i > \sup\{\partial_x^\alpha H \mid \alpha = \overbrace{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}^{\in \mathbb{N}^n}, |\alpha| \leq i\}$$

Let

$$f := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_i^i}{2^i C_i} H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right).$$

We need to argue that  $f$  is smooth. Observe that, since  $r_i < 1$   $\frac{r_i}{2^i C_i} H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^i}$  It follows from Analysis 2 that  $f$  is continuous. To prove that  $f$  is smooth assume for  $k \geq 1$  that all partial of order  $k < 1$  exist and are continuous. If  $|\alpha| = k$ , then

$$\partial^\alpha \frac{r_i^i}{2^i C_i} H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right) = \frac{r_i^{i-k}}{2^i C_i} \partial^\alpha H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right)$$

If  $i > k$ , then

$$\left| \frac{r_i^{i-k}}{2^i C_i} \partial^\alpha H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right) \right| < \frac{1}{2^i}$$

Again follows by Analysis 2 that  $\partial^\alpha f$  exists and equals  $\sum \partial^\alpha \left( \frac{r_i^i}{2^i C_i} H\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}\right) \right)$ . □

<sup>1</sup>Like in the proof of 2.7

---

# Chapter 3:

## Tangent Vectors

### 3.1 Motivation

Consider the following pictures




Figure 3.1: Sketch 3.01




Figure 3.2: Sketch 3.02

$A_p$  the affine hyperplane tangent to  $S^1(\Pi^2)$  at the point  $p$ . Let  $T_p M := A_p - p \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . This is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is called the **tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$** . Consider

$$TM = \coprod_{p \in M} T_p M,$$

called the **tangent bundle**. Observe that there is a map

$$\pi : TM \rightarrow M$$

by

$$x \in T_p M \mapsto p$$

the data  $TM \xrightarrow{\pi}$  forms a **vector bundle**.

**Problems with this approach:**

- not very intrinsic (depends on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \dots$ )
- need to prove that manifolds can always be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^N$

This is really the picture / intuition we should have, but we will construct it in a different way.

## 3.2 Two (equivalent) theories of tangent vectors

### 3.2.1 Definition via equivalence classes of smooth curves

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Fix  $p \in M$ .

**Definition.** The **tangent space** of  $M$  at  $p$  denoted by  $T_p M$  is the set of equivalence classes of smooth curves  $\gamma : [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow M, \gamma(0) = p$  with  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \iff$  for any smooth function  $f$  defined near<sup>a</sup>  $p$ , we have  $(f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0)$ . Here the  $\epsilon > 0$  is any positive real number, which depends on  $\gamma$ .

<sup>a</sup>in a neighborhood of

Think of  $\pi$  as a map of  $p, T_p M$

I could not quite make out what he called this chapter, so I named it according to [3]




Figure 3.3: Sketch 3.03

**Definition.** Given a smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , let

$$dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$$

be given by

$$[\gamma] \mapsto [F \circ \gamma].$$

This map  $dF_p$  is called the **differential of  $F$  at  $p$** .

*This is clearly well defined*

**Remark.** The map is also called the **tangent map of  $M$  at  $p$**  and the **total derivative**. It is also denoted by

$$DF_p, TF_p, \nabla F_p, F'_p, DF(p), TF(p), \dots$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Fundamentality of the differential). Let  $F^1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $F^2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$  smooth. Then:

$$(i) \quad dF_{F^1(p)}^2 \circ dF_p^1 = d(F^2 \circ F^1)_p$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } F : M \rightarrow M \text{ is the identity, then } dF_p = id$$

*Proof.* Exercise. □

Start of lecture 07  
(29.10.2024)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\gamma : [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\gamma(0) = \sigma(0) = p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\gamma \sim \sigma \iff \underbrace{\gamma'(0)}_{(\gamma'_1(0), \dots, \gamma'_n(0)) \in \mathbb{R}^n} = \sigma'(0)$

*Proof.* By abusive notation, we denote by  $x_i$  the map  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i$ . If  $\gamma \sim \sigma$ , then  $\gamma^{i'}(0) = (x_i \circ \gamma)'(0) \stackrel{\text{Def.}}{=} (x_i \circ \sigma)'(0) = \sigma^{i'}(0) \implies \gamma'(0) = \sigma'(0)$ .

$x_i$  might be better (in the sense of the dual space), but  $x_i$  is used in practice

Conversely, suppose  $\sigma'(0) = \gamma'(0)$ . Given any  $f$  smooth defined near  $p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma)'(0) &= (\partial_{x_1} f(p), \dots, \partial_{x_n} f(p)) \cdot (\gamma^{1'}(0), \dots, \gamma^{n'}(0)) \\ &= (\partial_{x_1} f(p), \dots, \partial_{x_n} f(p)) \cdot (\sigma^{1'}(0), \dots, \sigma^{n'}(0)) \\ &= (f \circ \sigma)'(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$  vector space. Then, for any  $p \in V$ , the canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow T_p V \\ w &\mapsto [t \mapsto p + tw] \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection.*

*Proof.* If  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then this is immediate from lemma 3.2. In general pick a basis to define an isomorphism<sup>1</sup>  $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following diagram commutes:




Figure 3.4: Sketch 3.04

using lemma 3.1.

□

### 3.2.2 Definition via derivations

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. A derivation at  $p \in M$  is a linear map

$$\nu : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the property

$$\nu(fg) = f(p)\nu(g) + \nu(f)g(p), \quad (1)$$

which is also called the Leibniz rule.

**Remark.** Here  $C^\infty(M)$  is the set of smooth functions from  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It is naturally an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. Similarly we have  $C^0(M)$  the space of continuous functions  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $C^k(M)$  the space of  $k$ -times differentiable function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition.** The set of derivations at  $p$  shall be also called the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$ , denoted by  $T_p M$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $T_p M$  is naturally a vector subspace of  $C^\infty(M)^\vee$

$C^\infty(M)^\vee$  denotes the dual space of  $C^\infty(M)$

<sup>1</sup>In particular a diffeomorphism

*Proof.* Given derivations  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in T_p M$  we must show that  $a\nu_1 + \nu_2$  is still an element of  $T_p M \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We compute we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (a\nu_1 + \nu_2)(fg) &= a\nu_1(fg) + \nu_2(fg) = a[\nu_1(f)g(p) + f(p)\nu_1(g)] + [\nu_2(f)g(p) + f(p)\nu_2(g)] \\ &= f(p)[a\nu_1 + \nu_2] + [a\nu_1 + \nu_2](f)g(p) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Definition.** Given a smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , we let  $dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$  be the map

$$\nu \mapsto dF_p(\nu) := C^\infty(N) \ni f \mapsto \nu(f \circ F)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** (i) the previous definition gives a derivation

$$(ii) \quad dF_{F^{-1}(p)}^2 \circ dF_p^1 = d(F^2 \circ F^1)_p$$

(iii) If  $F : M \rightarrow M$  is the identity, then  $dF_p = id$

By (ii) and (iii)  $d$  is a Functor

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\nu$  be a derivation at  $p \in M$ . Then

(a)  $f \equiv C$ , then  $\nu(f) = 0$ . That is  $\nu$  annihilates constant functions.

(b) if  $f(p) = g(p) = 0$ , then  $\nu(fg) = 0$

*Proof.* (a): Since  $\nu$  is linear, it is enough to prove  $\nu(f) = 0$  for  $f \equiv 1$ . But then

$$\nu(f) = \nu(f^2) = f(p)\nu(f) + \nu(f)f(p) = 2\nu(f).$$

(b) is obvious by the Leibniz rule (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A derivation  $\nu \in T_p V$  is entirely determined by its action on any dual basis  $\{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n\}$ .

This should remind us of lemma 3.2

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  to identify  $V \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is enough to show that  $\nu(f) = 0$  if  $\{\partial_{x_1} f(p), \dots, \partial_{x_n} f(p)\}$  all vanish (Indeed, consider  $f \rightarrow f - \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{x_k} f(p) \xi_k$ ). By Taylor's formula (Appendix C.15, Lee), we have

with  $\xi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as before

$$f(x) = \underbrace{f(p)}_{\text{constant}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} f(p)(x_i - p_i)}_{=0} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{\left( \frac{x_i - p_i}{x_j - p_j} \right)}_{\text{constant at } p} \underbrace{\int_0^1 (1-t) \partial_{x_i x_j} f(p + t(x-p)) dt}_{\text{constant at } p}.$$

Then by lemma 3.6  $\nu(f) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** The canonical map  $V \rightarrow T_p V$ ,  $p \in V$  defined by

$$w \mapsto (C^\infty(V) \ni f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(p + tw))$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

This should remind us of corollary 3.3  
These are really canonically equal! No choice needed

*Proof.* We define

$$\begin{aligned} T_p V &\rightarrow V \\ \nu &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(\xi^i) e_i, \xi^i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 3.7 this map is injective and hence  $\dim T_p V \leq \dim V$ . So it is enough to show that  $V \mapsto T_p V$  is also injective. Suppose for contradiction that  $V \ni w \neq 0$ , that maps to the zero derivation.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(p + tw) \forall f \\ \implies 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} w^\vee(p + tw) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} t = 1 \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

### 3.2.3 Both definitions agree

**Temporary notation:** Let  $T_p M^{(1)}, dF_p^{(1)}, \dots$ , be those objects defined in section 3.2.1 and  $T_p M^{(2)}, dF_p^{(2)}, \dots$ , the analogous objects defined in 3.2.2

**Key observation:** There is a **canonical** map, for any  $p \in M$ ,

$$K_p : T_p M^{(1)} \rightarrow T_p M^{(2)}$$

$$\gamma \mapsto (C^\infty(M) \ni f \mapsto (f \circ \gamma)'(0)).$$

Note that this commutes with  $dF^{(i)}$ , i.e.  $dF^{(2)} \circ K_p = K_{F^{(1)}(p)} \circ dF_p^{(1)}$  (exercise).

**Proposition 3.9.**  $K_p$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* Choose a chart  $(U, \varphi), p \in U$ . Then we have a map

$$U \rightarrow \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Figure 3.5: Sketch 3.05

Finally, we have

Figure 3.6: Sketch 3.06



□

### 3.3 Coordinates

**Definition.** (1) Given a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $(\partial_{x_i})_p \in T_p \mathbb{R}^n$  be the vector represented by the curve  $t \mapsto p + t \underbrace{(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)}_{e_i}$ .

(2) Given  $p \in M$ , we shall abusive notation by writing  $(\partial_{x_i})_p := d\varphi_p^{-1}(\partial_{x_i})_p$  for some chart  $((U, \phi))$

**Remark.** 1. Various authors also write  $\partial_{x_i}(p)$

2.  $\{(\partial_{x_1})_p, \dots, (\partial_{x_n})_p\}$  form a basis for  $T_p M$ , by construction

3.  $\{\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}\}$  very much depend on the chart  $(U, \varphi)$

Suppose now that  $F : M \rightarrow N$  smooth map. Let  $(U, \varphi), (V, \psi)$  be charts,  $F(U) \subset V$ . Let  $\hat{p} := \phi(p) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then we have




Figure 3.7: Sketch 3.07

where  $\hat{F} = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ .

Note that  $d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}} : T_{\hat{p}} \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow T_{\hat{F}(\hat{p})} \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear map. We want to find an expression of the matrix

$d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}}$  w.r.t the basis  $\{\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_m}\}$  and  $\{\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}\}$ .

Well, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}}((\partial_{x_i})_{\hat{p}}) &:= [\hat{F}(\hat{p} + (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0))] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} F^j(\hat{p}) (\partial_{y_j})_{\hat{F}(\hat{p})} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \hat{F}^1(\hat{p}) & \dots & \partial_{x_m} \hat{F}^1(\hat{p}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{x_1} \hat{F}^n(\hat{p}) & \dots & \partial_{x_m} \hat{F}^n(\hat{p}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Start of lecture 08  
(05.11.2024)

**Remark.** By abuse of notation we often write  $F \equiv \hat{F}, p \equiv \hat{p}, \partial_{x_i} f \equiv \partial_{x_i} \hat{F}, dF_p \equiv d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}}$




Figure 3.8: Sketch 3.08

**Remark.**  $d\hat{F} : \underbrace{x}_{\in \phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^m} \mapsto d\hat{F}_x \in \text{Mat}(n \times m) \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . This is clearly a smooth map.

### 3.4 The tangent bundle

**Definition.** Given a smooth manifold  $M$ , let  $TM := \coprod_{p \in M} T_p M$ . We write elements of  $TM$  as pairs  $(p, v)$ , where  $v \in T_p M$ . Note that we have a map

$$\pi : TM \rightarrow M, (p, v) \mapsto p.$$

A priori,  $TM$  is just a set. We will exhibit natural smooth manifold structure.

**Special case:**  $M \subset U\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} TU &:= \coprod_{p \in U} T_p U \equiv U \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ (t \mapsto p + tv) &\mapsto (p, v) \end{aligned}$$

**General construction** Given a smooth chart  $(U, \phi)$  for a smooth manifold  $M$ , we have a map  $d\phi$

Remember that this is a canonical identification!




Figure 3.9: Sketch 3.09

where

$$d\phi(p, v) := (\phi(p), d\phi_p(v)).$$

Define a subset  $S \subset TM$  to be open, if, for any chart  $U, \phi$ ,  $d\phi(S \cap TU)$  open in  $T\phi(U) \equiv \phi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is a pullback

**Lemma 3.10.** *This prescription defines a topological space on  $TM$ . Moreover,  $TM$  is a topological manifold.*

*Proof.* Omitted. Check transition maps

$$d\psi \circ d\phi^{-1} : \phi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

is it an elementary, but tedious proof. □




Figure 3.10: Sketch 3.10

**Remark.** Alternatively define the same topology on  $TM$  by taking the basis the union over all charts  $(U, \phi)$  in your atlas of  $\{d\phi^{-1}(V) \mid V \subset T(\psi(U)) \text{ open}\}$ .

To make  $TM$  into a **smooth** manifold, we take as our atlas the set  $\{(TU, d\phi)\}_{(U, \phi)}$ , where  $(U, \phi)$  runs over the smooth charts of  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *this is a smooth atlas.*

*Proof.* Fix charts  $(U, \phi), (V, \psi)$ . Then the transition functions take the form




Figure 3.11: Sketch 3.11

Check if both components are smooth:

- The first component  $x \mapsto \psi \circ \phi^{-1}(x)$  is smooth, since  $M$  is a smooth manifold and  $(U, \phi), (V, \psi)$  are smooth
- For the second component can be fractured as follows:

$$(x, v) \mapsto (d(\underbrace{\psi \circ \phi_x^{-1}}_{\in \text{Mat}(n \times n) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}}, v)) \mapsto d(\psi \circ \phi^{-1})_x v$$

**Exercise:** the map  $\text{Mat}(m \times n) \times \text{Mat}(n \times p) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(m \times p)$  by  $A, B \mapsto AB$  is smooth.  $\square$

**Remark.** We will see later that  $(\phi : TM \rightarrow M)$  forms a vector bundle. It can be shown that given  $F : M \rightarrow N$  the map  $dF : TM \rightarrow TN, (p, v) \mapsto (F(p), dF_p(v))$  is smooth. In fact, we have

Since we can write the second component as a concatenation of maps, it is smooth

This is the exact same computation as in the proof of lemma 3.11




Figure 3.12: Sketch 3.12

*commutes. This can be restated as follows: There is a functor  $\text{Man}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Smooth vector bundles}$  by*

$$M \mapsto (\pi : TM \rightarrow M)$$

$$F : M \rightarrow N \mapsto \text{sketch above}$$

# Chapter 4:

## Submersions, immersions and embeddings

### 4.1 Basic definitions

**Definition.** Let  $F : M \rightarrow N$  be smooth. The rank of  $F$  at  $p \in M$  is the rank of the linear map  $dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$ .

Smooth maps, which have full rank (highest possible rank, i.e.  $\text{rank} F = \max(m, n)$ ) are particularly important:

**Definition.** Let  $F : M^m \rightarrow N^n$  be smooth. We say

- $F$  is a submersion if  $dF_p$  is surjective, for all  $p \in M$  ( $m \geq n$ )
- $F$  is an immersion if  $dF_p$  is injective, for all  $p \in M$  ( $m \leq n$ )

$M^m, N^n$  means  $M, N$  are  $m, n$  dimensional manifolds

**Lemma 4.1.** Given  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_+$ , let  $\text{Mat}(m \times n) \equiv \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . The subset  $\text{Mat}(m \times n)^{\text{full rank}} := \{A \in \text{Mat}(m \times n) \mid A \text{ has full rank}\}$  is open in  $\text{Mat}(m \times n)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $M \in \text{Mat}(m \times n)^{\text{full rank}}$ . Without loss of generality  $m \leq n$ , otherwise apply  $\text{Mat}(m \times n) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(n \times m), A \mapsto A^T$ . By definition there exists a submatrix  $M'$ , obtained by deleting  $n - m$  columns, which is invertible. Now the map

$$f : \text{Mat}(m \times n) \xrightarrow{F: M \mapsto M'} \text{Mat}(m \times m) \xrightarrow{\det(\cdot)} \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous, since both the forgetful  $F$  and  $\det$  is smooth.

$$M \in (\det \circ f)^{-1}(\underbrace{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}_{\text{open}}) \subset \text{Mat}(m \times n)^{\text{full rank}}$$

since  $M$  was arbitrary this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Fix  $F : M^m \rightarrow N^n, p \in M$ .

1. If  $dF_p$  is injective, then there exists a neighborhood of  $p$  on which  $dF$  is injective.
2. If  $dF_p$  is surjective, then there exists a neighborhood of  $p$  on which  $dF$  is surjective.

The property of full rank is stable under small perturbation!

*Proof.* This is a local statement. We can therefore assume that  $M, N$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n$  respectively. Then

$$dF(\cdot) : M \rightarrow \text{Mat}(n \times m)$$

is smooth, hence continuous. By assumption  $dF_p \in \text{Mat}(n \times m)^{\text{full rank}}$ . But  $\text{Mat}(n \times m)^{\text{full rank}}$ , so the preimage is open (by lemma 4.1) and contains  $p$ .  $\square$

**Remark.**

1. If  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is both an immersion and a submersion, then we say that  $F$  is a **local diffeomorphism**. We will see (Rank theorem ) that  $F$  is a local diffeomorphism  $\iff \forall p \in M \exists p \in U : F|_U$  is a diffeomorphism.

2. be warned. local diffeomorphism need not be global:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{R}^2 \equiv \mathbb{C} \supset & S^1 = \{|z| = 1\} \rightarrow S^1 \\ (x, y) \mapsto x + iy & z \mapsto z^2 \end{array}$$

*important: contains both a definition and a counterexample!*

**Definition.** An immersion is an embedding if it is a homeomorphism onto its image with the subspace topology.




Figure 4.1: Sketch 4.01

**Example.** Another example:

$$S^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} \mid \sum x_i^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$$

with

$$i : S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$$

Non-examples




Figure 4.2: Sketch 4.02

parametrized by

$$t \mapsto (\sin t, \sin 2t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\mapsto \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2 & &= S^1 \times S^1 \\ t &\mapsto (t, \alpha t) & &\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$$

Can show<sup>a</sup> that the image is dense. It is an immersion, but not a homeomorphism!

---

<sup>a</sup>not obvious, examinable



---

# List of Lectures

- Lecture 01: Introduction: locally Euclidean, Hausdorff, second countable spaces, their covers and exhaustions by compact sets
- Lecture 02: Local finiteness, refinements, paracompactness, introduction to topological manifolds and examples
- Lecture 03: Topological properties of topological manifolds, classification of topological manifolds, introduction to smooth manifolds
- Lecture 04: Examples of smooth manifolds, smooth maps, the category of smooth manifolds, hierarchy of categories of manifolds
- Lecture 05: Smooth manifolds with boundary, partitions of unity
- Lecture 06: Applications of partitions of unity, motivation of tangent vectors, definition of tangent vectors via equivalence classes of smooth curves, definition of differentials, fundamentality of the differential
- Lecture 07: Definition of tangent vectors via derivations, equivalence of both definitions, coordinates
- Lecture 08: Coordinates (continued), tangent bundles, submersions, immersions and embeddings

---

# Bibliography

- [1] David Gale. “The Classification of 1-Manifolds: A Take-Home Exam”. In: (). URL: [https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/~lcote/1\\_man\\_classification.pdf](https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/~lcote/1_man_classification.pdf).
- [2] John M. Lee. *Smooth Manifolds*. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2012. ISBN: 978-1-4419-9982-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5>.
- [3] Alex Taylor. “Equivalent definitions of the tangent space”. en. In: (). URL: <https://art-math.github.io/files/tangentspace.pdf>.
- [4] Marco Zambon and Gilles Castel. *Differential Geometry*. 2020. URL: <https://castel.dev/notes>.