

Let $G=(V,T,P,S)$ be a context free grammar.

Prove by induction on n where $n \geq 1$ that:

$$\forall w \in T^*, \alpha \in (V \cup T)^+, \alpha \Rightarrow^n w \longrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow_{lm}^n w$$

(From a high level point of view the claim says that if some terminal word w can be derived from $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^+$ then there exist a leftmost derivation to derive w from α)

Basis

If $n = 1$ then we must show that $\forall w \in T^*, \alpha \in (V \cup T)^+, \alpha \Rightarrow^1 w \longrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow_{lm}^1 w$:

Let $w \in T^*$ and $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^+$ such that $\alpha \Rightarrow^1 w$.

by definition of the \Rightarrow relation we get that:

$$\exists \psi, \chi, \gamma \in (V \cup T)^*, A \in V, \alpha = \psi A \chi \wedge w = \psi \gamma \chi \wedge (A \longrightarrow \gamma) \in P$$

Now since $w \in T^*$ and since $w = \psi \gamma \chi$ we get that $\psi \in T^*$ and so:

$$\exists \psi \in T^*, \chi, \gamma \in (V \cup T)^*, A \in V, \alpha = \psi A \chi \wedge w = \psi \gamma \chi \wedge (A \longrightarrow \gamma) \in P$$

And we get by definition of \Rightarrow_{lm} relation that $\alpha \Rightarrow_{lm}^1 w$ as was to be shown.

Induction hypothesis

Suppose that for some $n = k \geq 1$ we have:

$$\forall w \in T^*, \alpha \in (V \cup T)^+, \alpha \Rightarrow^k w \longrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow_{lm}^k w$$

Induction step

We must prove that: $\forall w \in T^*, \alpha \in (V \cup T)^+, \alpha \Rightarrow^{k+1} w \longrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow_{lm}^{k+1} w$

Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{T}^*$ and let $\alpha \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^+$ such that $\alpha \Rightarrow^{k+1} \mathbf{w}$

We get that $\exists \beta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow^k \mathbf{w}$

since $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ and since \mathbf{G} is a context-free grammar we get that it must be the case that $\beta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^* \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*$

Also we get by the induction hypothesis that $\beta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}}^k \mathbf{w}$.

Now because $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ we get by definition of the \Rightarrow relation that:

$$\exists \gamma, \delta, \zeta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{V}, \alpha = \gamma \mathbf{A} \delta \wedge \beta = \gamma \zeta \delta \wedge (\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \zeta) \in \mathbf{P}$$

Now there are two possibilities $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}^* \vee \gamma \notin \mathbf{T}^*$

(1) If $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}^*$ we get:

$$\exists \gamma \in \mathbf{T}^*, \delta, \zeta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{V}, \alpha = \gamma \mathbf{A} \delta \wedge \beta = \gamma \zeta \delta \wedge (\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \zeta) \in \mathbf{P}$$

And by definition of the \Rightarrow_{Im} relation we get that $\alpha \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \beta$ and since $\beta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}}^k \mathbf{w}$ we get that $\alpha \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}}^{k+1} \mathbf{w}$ as was to be shown.

(2) If $\gamma \notin \mathbf{T}^*$ we get that it must be the case that $\gamma \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^* \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*$ and so:

$$\exists \gamma_1 \in \mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}, \gamma_2 \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, \gamma = \gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2$$

And we get that $\alpha = \gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta$ and $\beta = \gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \zeta \delta$.

Since $\beta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}}^k \mathbf{w}$ and since $k \geq 1$ we get that:

$$\exists \theta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, \beta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \theta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}}^{k-1} \mathbf{w}$$

Now since $\beta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \theta$ we get that $\gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \zeta \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \theta$

And since $\gamma_1 \in \mathbf{T}^*$ there must be some production rule in \mathbf{P} that was used to (leftmost) derive θ from β of the form $\mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \eta$.

I.e.

$$\exists \eta \in (\mathbf{V} \cup \mathbf{T})^*, (\mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \eta) \in \mathbf{P}$$

By applying this rule we get $\gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \zeta \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \zeta \delta$ and so $\theta = \gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \zeta \delta$.

Now $\alpha = \gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow \gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \zeta \delta = \theta$.

Since $\theta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}^{k-1}} \mathbf{w}$ we get that in particular $\theta \Rightarrow^{k-1} \mathbf{w}$ and so $\gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow^k \mathbf{w}$

Now by the induction hypothesis we get that $\gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}^k} \mathbf{w}$

And we got that $\alpha = \gamma_1 \mathbf{B} \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}} \gamma_1 \eta \gamma_2 \mathbf{A} \delta \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}^k} \mathbf{w}$

And so $\alpha \Rightarrow_{\text{Im}^{k+1}} \mathbf{w}$ as was to be shown.

Q.E.D.