
Game Theory (CS4187)

Lectures 18

Date: 30/09/2024

Instructor: Gourav Saha

Broad Idea of Today's Lecture

Till now we have discussed the following solutions concepts:

1. Dominant strategy.
2. IRSDS and dominance solvability.
3. PSNE.
4. MSNE.
5. Correlated equilibrium.

The topics of this lecture is:

1. Maxmin strategy (brief discussion only).
2. Computing MSNE of Two Player Zero Sum game.
 - Relation with maxmin strategy.

Maxmin Strategy: Motivation

Player 2			
		<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>
Player 1	<i>A</i>	2, 1	2, -20
	<i>B</i>	3, 0	-10, 1
	<i>C</i>	-100, 2	3, 3

Example 1:

- Consider the SFG captured by the payoff matrix in the left.
Directly adapted from Game Theory by Michael Maschler.
- You can verify that the PSNE of this game is (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{b}) .
- The above PSNE says that player 2 will play action \mathbf{b} . But say due one (or both) of the following reasons, player 2 plays action \mathbf{a} :
 - Player 2 is irrational.
 - Player 2 actually wanted to play \mathbf{b} but due to mistake played \mathbf{a} .
- So if player 2 plays \mathbf{a} and player 1 plays \mathbf{C} , player 1's utility will be the worst possible, i.e. -100!

Maxmin Strategy: Motivation

Player 2		
		a b
Player 1	A	2, 1 2, -20
	B	3, 0 -10, 1
	C	-100, 2 3, 3

Example 1:

- In such situations, players have to think from **security/adversarial** perspective. To put it more precisely:

What action should a player take such that its **minimum payoff** against all possible actions of other players **is maximized**?

- Player 1's action?

- Player 2's action?

Maxmin Strategy: Motivation

Player 2			
		<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>
Player 1	<i>A</i>	2, 1	2, -20
	<i>B</i>	3, 0	-10, 1
	<i>C</i>	-100, 2	3, 3

Example 1:

- Consider the SFG captured by the payoff matrix in the left.
Directly adapted from Game Theory by Michael Maschler.
- You can verify that the PSNE of this game is (C, b) .
- The above PSNE says that player 2 will play action b . But say due one (or both) of the following reasons, player 2 plays action a :
 - Player 2 is irrational.
 - Player 2 actually wanted to play b but due to **mistake** played a .

HOMEWORK: Let's say that the probability of such a mistake is ε . Convert this game into an EFG. Then convert this EFG to and SFG. Finally, compute the PSNE of this SFG.

Maxmin Strategy: Definition

Definition (Maxmin in Pure Strategy): Maxmin strategy of player i in its pure strategy set S_i , is a strategy in S_i that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile s_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$x_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

Definition (Maxmin in Mixed Strategy): Maxmin strategy of player i in its mixed strategy set $\Delta(S_i)$, is a strategy in $\Delta(S_i)$ that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile σ_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$\sigma_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

Maxmin Strategy: Definition

- **Definition (Maxmin in Pure Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its pure strategy set S_i , is a strategy in S_i that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile s_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$x_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

- **Definition (Maxmin in Mixed Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its mixed strategy set $\Delta(S_i)$, is a strategy in $\Delta(S_i)$ that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile σ_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$\sigma_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

Question: Can a strictly dominant strategy of a player be its maxmin strategy?

Maxmin Strategy: Definition

- **Definition (Maxmin in Pure Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its pure strategy set S_i , is a strategy in S_i that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile s_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$x_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

- **Definition (Maxmin in Mixed Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its mixed strategy set $\Delta(S_i)$, is a strategy in $\Delta(S_i)$ that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile σ_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$\sigma_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

Question: Can \underline{v}_i for pure and mixed strategy be different?

Maxmin Strategy: Definition

Definition (Maxmin in Pure Strategy): Maxmin strategy of player i in its pure strategy set S_i , is a strategy in S_i that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile s_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$x_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

Definition (Maxmin in Mixed Strategy): Maxmin strategy of player i in its mixed strategy set $\Delta(S_i)$, is a strategy in $\Delta(S_i)$ that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile σ_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$\sigma_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

$$\underline{v}_i = \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

NOTE: Just like dominant strategy, pure strategy of a player only depend on its own utility function.

Maxmin Strategy: Definition

- **Definition (Maxmin in Pure Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its pure strategy set S_i , is a strategy in S_i that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile s_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$x_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \quad \underline{v}_i = \max_{s_i \in S_i} \min_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$$

- **Definition (Maxmin in Mixed Strategy):** Maxmin strategy of player i in its mixed strategy set $\Delta(S_i)$, is a strategy in $\Delta(S_i)$ that maximizes the worst case/minimum payoff of player i against all possible pure strategy profile σ_{-i} of other players. Mathematically,

$$\sigma_i^{\maxmin} \in \arg \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) \quad \underline{v}_i = \max_{\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)} \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Delta(S_{-i})} U_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$$

NOTE: Maxmin strategy is important in those cases where all the players are not trying to maximize their payoff but rather trying to minimize yours.

Zero-Sum Games

- In a zero-sum game, the sum of utility of all players sums to zero for all strategy profiles.

$$\sum_{i \in N} u_i(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$$

- In a two player zero sum game,

$$u_1(s_1, s_2) + u_2(s_1, s_2) = 0, \forall s_1 \in S_1, \forall s_2 \in S_2$$

Zero-Sum Games

- In a zero-sum game, the sum of utility of all players sums to zero for all strategy profiles.

$$\sum_{i \in N} u_i(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$$

- In a two player zero sum game,

$$u_1(s_1, s_2) + u_2(s_1, s_2) = 0, \forall s_1 \in S_1, \forall s_2 \in S_2$$

Question: A constant sum game is where the sum of utility of all players sums to a constant c for all strategy profiles.

$$\sum_{i \in N} u_i(s) = c, \forall s \in S$$

Can we convert a constant sum game to a zero sum game?

Zero-Sum Games

- In a zero-sum game, the sum of utility of all players sums to zero for all strategy profiles.

$$\sum_{i \in N} u_i(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$$

- In a two player zero sum game,

$$u_1(s_1, s_2) + u_2(s_1, s_2) = 0, \forall s_1 \in S_1, \forall s_2 \in S_2$$

Question: Does the first equation imply the following

$$\sum_{i \in N} U_i(\sigma) = 0, \forall \sigma \in \Delta(S)$$

Matrix Games

Player 2		
		a b
Player 1	A	2, -2 2, -2
	B	3, -3 -10, 10
	C	-100, 100 -3, 3

- Two player zero-sum games are also called matrix games. Why?
- Matrix form of writing equality.
- Notes are not complete. Read chapter 9. Specifically, section 9.1 and 9.4 of the book by Narahari. You can google the phrase “**Row Player’s Linear Program**” and “**Column Player’s Linear Program**” to find the main result.



Thank You!