

Prev: dedicated algo.

Now: generic. [DL'22']

$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  samples,  $f^*(x) = g(\underbrace{Ux}_{\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r})$ . assume  $\deg(f^*) = p$ .  
( $d \gg r$ ).

$$y_i = f^*(x_i) + \varepsilon_i.$$

$$\varepsilon_i \sim \{-1, 1\}.$$

$f_\theta(x)$ : shallow NN.  $f_\theta(x) = a^T \sigma(Wx + b) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \sigma(w_j \cdot x + b)$ .

squared loss:  $L_D(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_\theta(x_i) - f^*(x_i))^2$ ,  $a_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ .  
 $w_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d} I_d)$ .

kernel regime:  $n \times d^p$ . [GMM19']

This paper:  $n \lesssim d^{2r} + d r^p$ ,  
(Feature learning).

Idea: First grad. step learn feature  $W$ .

$$n \gtrsim O(d^2),$$

$r$  directions  $d^{2r}$ .

Remaining: learn  $a$ .

$w^{(1)}$  depends on  $(x, y)$ .

$a$  and  $w^{(1)}$  not independent.

$d r^p$ ,  $d$  can be removed by resampling. transfer learn setting.  
subspace

(similar to exhaustiveness of PhD estimator).

Assumptions: ① Non-degeneracy of  $H = \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2 f^*(x)]$  ( $\mathcal{L}^* \varepsilon \geq$ )  
 $\text{rank}(H) = r$ ,  $\text{span}(H) = \mathbb{R}^r$ , denote  $k = \frac{\|H^+\|}{\sqrt{r}}$ .  
condition #.

Cross L.B. argument shown necessary.

② symmetric.

$$a_j = -a_{m-j}, w_j = w_{m-j}, b_j = b_{m-j}.$$

$$\text{s.t. } f_{\theta_0}(x) = 0,$$

study gradient:

$$\nabla_{w_j} \ell_0(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [2(\underbrace{f_0(x) - f^*(x)}_{=0 \text{ by symmetric init.}}) \nabla_{w_j} f_0(x)]$$

$$= -2 \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [f^*(x) \nabla_{w_j} f_0(x)] \quad \text{Recall } \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \phi(w_j \cdot x + b)$$

$$= -2 a_j \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [f^*(x) x \phi'(w_j \cdot x)],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [\underbrace{\nabla f^*(x)}_{\text{Stein's lemma}} \phi'(w \cdot x) + w f^*(x) \phi''(w \cdot x)],$$

Stein's lemma

Take Hermite expansion over  $w$ .

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{k=0}^P \frac{\langle G_k, \text{He}_k(x) \rangle}{k!} \quad \text{define } G_k = \mathbb{E}_x [\nabla^k f^*(x)] \quad G_0 = H.$$

$$\phi'(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{G_k}{k!} \text{He}_k(x) \quad G_k: \text{Hermite coeff. of } \phi'(x) = 1_{x \geq 0}.$$

$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \frac{c_{k+1} \mathbb{E}_x [\nabla_x^{k+1} f^*(x)] (w^{\otimes k})}{k!} + w \sum_{k=0}^P \frac{c_{k+2} \mathbb{E}_x [\nabla_x^k f^*(x)] (w^{\otimes k})}{k!}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \frac{1}{k!} [c_{k+1} c_{k+1} (w^{\otimes k}) + c_{k+2} w (w^{\otimes k})].$$

$$= \frac{Hw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} [c_3 c_3 (w, w) + c_4 w c_2 (w, w)] + \frac{1}{6} [\dots] + \dots$$

$O(d^{-1/2}) \quad O(d^{-1}) \quad O(d^{-3/2}) \quad \uparrow$   
higher-order.

Note:  $\|c_{k+1} w^{\otimes k}\|, \|c_k w^{\otimes k}\| = O(d^{-k/2})$ ,

$$= O(d^{-1/2}),$$

$$\|\nabla_{w_j} \hat{\ell}_0(\theta) - \nabla_{w_j} \ell_0(\theta)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}},$$

$$d^{-1/2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \Rightarrow n \geq d^2,$$

---

**Algorithm 1:** Gradient-based training

---

**Input :** Learning rates  $\eta_t$ , weight decay  $\lambda_t$ , number of steps  $T$

**preprocess data**

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \beta \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i && \text{Normalizing } y, \\ y_i &\leftarrow y_i - \alpha - \beta \cdot x_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

**end**

$$W^{(1)} \leftarrow W^{(0)} - \eta_1 [\nabla_W \mathcal{L}(\theta) + \lambda_1 W]$$

**re-initialize**  $b_j \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{b_1}.$$

**for**  $t = 2$  **to**  $T$  **do**

$$a^{(t)} \leftarrow a^{(t-1)} - \eta_t [\nabla_a \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(t-1)}) + \lambda_t a^{(t-1)}]$$

**end**

**return** Prediction function  $x \rightarrow \alpha + \beta \cdot x + a^T \sigma(Wx + b)$ 

---

$$w^{(1)} = -y_1 \nabla_{w_0} \mathcal{L}(\theta).$$

dominated by first order  $\frac{Hw}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ .

dominated by  $Hw$ . ( $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ ).

$$C \mathbb{R}^*, \quad C := \text{span}(C^*) = \mathbb{R}^*.$$

In  $\mathbb{R}^*$  where  $\text{rank}(C \mathbb{R}^*) = r$ ,

hide parameters with  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .

repeat (incohered regime)  $n \geq r^2$ , width  $m \geq r^2$ .

Remaining steps (learn  $a$ ).

build ReLU to approx.  $\chi^k$

$\Rightarrow$  approx. high-dim.

make use of  $w^{(1)}$ .

1. Construct  $a^*$  s.t.  $\mathcal{L}(a^*, w^{(1)}, \delta) \ll 1$ .  $\|a^*\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r^2 k^2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$ .

2.  $\exists \lambda > 0$  s.t.  $\mathcal{L}(a^{(T)}, w^{(1)}, \delta) \ll 1$ .  $\|a^{(T)}\| \leq \|a^*\|$  where  $T = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(y^{-1} \chi^{-1})$

3. Randomness Gen. Bound, (Standard),  $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{dr^2 k^2}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{r^2 k^2}{m}} + \frac{1}{n^{1/4}}\right)$ ,

$$n \geq dr^2$$

$$m \geq r^2.$$

uniform conv.

$r$  directions.

$$n \geq \underbrace{d^2 r^2}_T + \underbrace{dr^2}_{\text{after 1 step}}.$$

first grad. step

$$n \geq d^2 r. \text{ (first iteration).}$$

$$m \geq r^2.$$

Lower bound: (necessity of  $\text{span}(H) = \mathbb{R}^d$ ).

Construct  $F_p$  of poly. with deg  $p$ . Each function depends on single relevant direction.

not satisfying assumption 2.  $q$  queries,

( $r=1$ ).

$$\text{tolerance } \tau \in \frac{\log^{p/4}(qd)}{d^{p/4}}.$$

to output  $f \in F_p$  with  $\text{err} \leq \tau$ .

$$\tau \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow n \geq d^{p/2}, \quad \text{QED.}$$

Pf: Recall  $\text{SD } q(x,y) \rightarrow \text{output } \hat{q}$  with  $|\hat{q} - \mathbb{E}_{x,y} q(x,y)| \leq \tau$ .

$$\text{CRQ } q(x,y) = \underbrace{y}_{\text{correlation}} \underbrace{h(x)}.$$

$$\text{Def } \phi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [yh(x)] \text{ where } h(x) = -2\alpha_j \times \sigma'(\omega_j \cdot x),$$

Idea: Construct function class with small pairwise correlations.

$$\text{Lemma: } \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [f(x)^2] = 1. \quad \left| \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [f(x)g(x)] \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad \forall f, g \in F, f \neq g.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Require } q \geq \frac{|F|(\tau^2 - \varepsilon)}{2} \text{ queries to have } \text{err} \leq 2 \cdot 2\varepsilon.$$

How to find such  $F$ ?

Fact:  $\exists e^{c\varepsilon^2 d}$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  s.t. their inner product  $\leq \varepsilon$ .

$$f_u(x) = \frac{\text{He}^p(u \cdot x)}{\sqrt{p!}}. \quad \text{Construct}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [f_u(x) \cdot f_v(x)] = (u, v).$$

$$\therefore |u \cdot v| \leq \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \mathbb{E} [f_u(x) \cdot f_v(x)] \right| \leq \varepsilon^p.$$

$$\text{By lemma, } q \geq \frac{e^{c\varepsilon^2 d} (\tau^2 - \varepsilon^p)}{2}.$$

$$e^{c\epsilon^2 d} \leq \frac{2a}{\tau^2 - \epsilon^p} ,$$

$$\text{take } \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\log(2a(\epsilon d)^{p/2})}{cd}} ,$$

$$\tau^2 \leq \frac{\log^{p/2}(qd)}{d^{p/2}} ,$$

Last time, kernel  $n \times d^p$ . ( $f^*(x) = g(Lx)$  ,  $\deg(f^*) = p$  ,  
 $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$  ( $d \gg r$ )  
 [DW22] One grid. step + learn second layer.  
 $n \times d^{2r} + dr^p$ .

Today [BEJ+22]. how one grid. step improves rep. [Based on observation  
 "non-kernel" behavior often occurs  
 in early phase,  
 especially in large  
 lr  
 High-dim Regime. (Follow not - from [BEJ+22]).  
 FJSF+20, FDP+20  
 J

Asymptotic: data size  $n$ , input dimension  $d$ . NN width  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .  
 $n/d \rightarrow \psi_1$ ,  $N/d \rightarrow \psi_2$ .  $\psi_1 \uparrow \rightarrow$  variance size  $T$   $n, N$  comparable.  
 $\psi_2 \uparrow \rightarrow$  NN width  $T$ .  $\psi_2 \gg n$ .  
 in NTK.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sigma(\langle x, w_i \rangle),$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \underbrace{a^T}_{1 \times n} \underbrace{\sigma(\underbrace{W^T}_{n \times d} x)}_{d \times 1}.$$

with required bias.

Limitations of prev method:

Build kernel on  $x \mapsto \sigma(W_0^T x)$ : conjugate kernel.

KF method (Cik, NTK). Rotation invariant kernel.

[EK10, HC20, MZ20, Burk21].

$$\inf_{\lambda > 0} R_{\text{KF}}(\lambda) \geq \|P_{\geq 1} f^*\|_{L^2}^2 + o_d(1),$$

projection to  $\deg \geq 1$  poly, cannot perform better than linear method.

This paper: Small lr  $\eta = \Theta(1)$ . Better than KF. Still linear regime.

$$\text{Large lr } \eta = \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \quad \text{NNTK} < \|P_{\geq 1} f^*\|_{L^2}^2,$$

Assumption: Recall  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\omega} a^T \sigma(\omega^T x)$ .

① Init:  $\sqrt{d} \cdot [\omega_0]_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ ,  
 $\sqrt{N} \cdot [a]_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}$  Mean-field scaling.

②  $y_i = f^*(x) + \varepsilon_i$ .  $\varepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ .

$f^*$ : Lipschitz.  $\|f^*\|_{C^2} = \Theta_d(1)$ .

In particular, we study single-index.

$f^*(x) = \sigma^*(\langle x, \beta_* \rangle)$ .  $\beta_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(where  $\mathbb{E}[z \sigma^*(z)] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma^* \neq 1$ )

③  $\sigma: \mathbb{E}[\sigma(z)] = 0$ .  $\mathbb{E}[z \sigma(z)] \neq 0$ .  
 first three deriv. bounded a.s.

This paper:

First step: learn  $\omega$ . (Goal: Gradient matrix is  $\approx$  rank-1, which contains info. of labels  $y$ ).

$\omega_{t+1} = \omega_t - \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \omega_t} = \omega_t + \eta \sqrt{N} G_t$ ,

where  $G_t = \frac{1}{n} X^T \left[ \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{\omega} (y - \frac{1}{\omega} \sigma(X \omega_t a)) a^T \right)}_{n \times 1} \circ \underbrace{\sigma'(X \omega_t)}_{n \times N} \right]$

(neglect derivations).

Orthogonal decomposition of  $\sigma$ :

$\sigma(z) = \mu_1 z + \sigma_\perp(z)$ , where  $\mu_1 = \mathbb{E}[z \sigma(z)] \neq 0$ ,

$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_\perp(z)] = \mathbb{E}[z \sigma_\perp(z)] = 0$ ,

$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_\perp(z)^2] = \mu_2^2$ , where  $\mu_2 = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\sigma(z)^2] - \mu_1^2}$ ,

Denote  $G_1 = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{N}} (w_1 - w_0)$ , rank-1 matrix  $A = \frac{\mu_1}{n\sqrt{N}} X^T y \underline{a}^T$ .

w.h.p.,  $\|G_0 - A\| \leq \frac{C\alpha^2 n}{\sqrt{n}} \|G_0\|$ .

(Depends on the decomposition above. App. B.1.1).

Expect:  $\text{best } y, w_1$  should have alignment with  $f^*$ ,

$$f^*(x) = \mu_0^* + \mu_1^* \langle x, \beta^* \rangle + P_{\perp} f^*(x)$$

denote  $\|P_{\perp} f^*\|_{L_2} \rightarrow \mu_2^*$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

Learning rate regime:

Small  $\eta$ :  $\eta = \theta(1) \Rightarrow \|w_1 - w_0\| \asymp \|w_0\|$

Large  $\eta$ :  $\eta = \theta(\sqrt{N}) \Rightarrow \|w_1 - w_0\|_F \asymp \|w_0\|_F$ .

(Adhere to  $\mu P$ -scaling),

$N$  is the width.

$\sqrt{d} \cdot [w_0]_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0,1)$ ,

$\|w_0\| = \theta_d(1)$ ,  $\|w_0\|_F = \theta(\sqrt{d})$ .

Thm 3: Can derive asymptotic limit of leading singular value  $\lambda_1(w_1) \propto \frac{\eta}{\eta_{\text{cr}}}$ .  
(taken  $\eta = \theta(1)$ )

Corr. left sing. vector  $u_1$

$$|\langle u_1, \beta^* \rangle|^2,$$

$$\forall i > 1, |\lambda_i(w_1) - \lambda_i(w_0)| = o_d(1).$$

spiked model, (Figure 3. of paper) . Bulk doesn't change.

Book: KMT & ML, Liao et al. Ch 2.5, 2.6.



~ After first step, obtain feature map  $x \mapsto \phi(w_1^T x)$ .

train conjugate kernel on top.

similar to 12.22.

Remaining step on  $a$ :

Do ridge regression on  $a$  with fresh samples  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{y})$ ,  
∵  $w_1$  correlated with  $(X, y)$ .

$$\hat{a} = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{\Phi} a\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{N} \|a\|^2 \right\}.$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{N} \phi(\tilde{X} w_1),$$

$n \times N$ .

[12.20, 12.22]

- Gaussian Equivalence property, ( $\eta = \theta(\cdot)$ ) precise asymptotics,

$$R_{CK}(N) \asymp R_{GE}(N)$$

$$\text{for } \phi_{GE}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (\mu_1 w_1^T x + \mu_2 \underline{z}),$$

$$\underline{z} \sim N(0, 1),$$

nonlinear kernel behaves like noisy linear method.

can be computed explicitly.

Conclusion: Can improve over initial ck.

$$\text{but } R_{GE}(N) \geq \|P_{\mathcal{H}} f^*\|_{L^2}^2.$$

$\eta = \theta(\sqrt{N})$ , (Cannot derive asymptotic behavior).

Given  $w_1$ , construct second layer  $\tilde{a}$  s.t. (Exist good  $\tilde{a}$ ),

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \tilde{a}^T \phi(w_1^T x) \text{ has risk } \leq K^*.$$

$$\text{where } K^* \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \inf_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ \underbrace{\sigma^*(z_1)}_{\text{teacher}} - \mathbb{E}_{\underline{z}_2} \underbrace{\sigma(k z_1 + z_2)}_{\text{student}} \right]^2$$

$$z_1, z_2 \sim N(0, 1).$$

(?)

For diff. case  $\psi_1 \triangleq \frac{n}{d}$ .  $R_1(\lambda) \leq 10k^* + C(\sqrt{k^*} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \frac{d}{n})$ .

$\hat{\gamma}$   
ridge estimator

w.p. 1 when n.d.  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

ridge penalty  $n^{\varepsilon-1} < N^{-1}\lambda < n^{-\varepsilon}$   
for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If  $\|P_{\gamma_1} f^*\|_{\ell_2} > 10k^*$ , then it outperforms linear method.

e.g.:  $\sigma = \sigma^* = \tanh$ .