My Favorite Olympiad Problems!

Anay Aggarwal

July 29, 2021

§1 Introduction

These are my favorite olympiad problems! I have only done a few, and they are all "beginner" problems.

§2 Algebra

Algebra is the best.

Example 2.1 (IMO SL, 1967)

If x, y, z are real numbers satisfying relations

$$x + y + z = 1$$
 and $\arctan x + \arctan y + \arctan z = \frac{\pi}{4}$,

prove that $x^{2n+1} + y^{2n+1} + z^{2n+1} = 1$ holds for all positive integers n.

Solution. Summing the arctans with the formula,

$$\arctan\left(\frac{x+y+z-xyz}{1-(xy+yz+xz)}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$x+y+z-xyz = 1-(xy+yz+xz)$$

$$xyz = xy+yz+xz$$

So x, y, z are roots of $t^3 - t^2 + kt - k = 0$, where k = xyz = xy + yz + xz. This factors as $(t^2 + k)(t - 1) = 0$. So the roots are $1, \sqrt{-k}, -\sqrt{-k}$, from which the result comes immediately.

Example 2.2 (Iran 2007, Round 3)

Let a, b be two complex numbers. Prove that roots of $z^4 + az^2 + b$ form a rhombus with origin as center, if and only if $\frac{a^2}{b}$ is a non-positive real number.

Solution. First of all, the vertices are of the form t, -t, p, -p. It's a rhombus if and only if p = rit for some real r. Notice that there must exist some complex numbers t, r such that

$$z^4 + az^2 + b = (z^2 - t^2)(z^2 + r^2t^2)$$

And then $a = t^2(r^2 - 1), b = -r^2t^4$. Hence $\frac{a^2}{b} = -\left(\frac{r^2 - 1}{r}\right)^2$, which is non-positive real if and only if $r \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. p = rit, done.

Example 2.3 (Putnam 1971)

Find all polynomials f(x) such that $f(0) \equiv 0$ and $f(x^2 + 1) = [f(x)]^2 + 1$

Solution. The answer is only $f \equiv \text{id}$. Let S be the set of numbers x such that f(x) = x. It suffices to show that the cardinality of S is infinite.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that S has finite cardinality. Due to the fact that f(0) = 0, $S \neq \emptyset$. Then it is valid to let k be the maximum element found in S. Notice that $f(k^2 + 1) = f(k)^2 + 1 = k^2 + 1$, thus $k^2 + 1 \in S$, contradiction.

Example 2.4 (USAMO 1975)

If P(x) denotes a polynomial of degree n such that $P(k) = \frac{k}{k+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, determine P(n+1).

Solution. Let Q(k) = (k+1)P(k) - k. Hence for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, Q(k) = 0. Therefore, we can let

$$Q(x) = c \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - i)$$

For a constant c. Notice that Q(-1) = 1, hence

$$-1 = c \prod_{i=0}^{n} (-i - 1) = c(-1)^{n+1} (n+1)!$$

$$c = \frac{1}{(-1)^{n+1}(n+1)!}$$

And hence $Q(n+1) = \frac{1}{(-1)^{n+1}} = (n+2)P(n+1) - (n+1)$ thus

$$P(n+1) = \frac{n+1+(-1)^{1-n}}{n+2},$$

or if you desire a piecewise representation:

$$P(n+1) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{n}{n+2} & n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Example 2.5 (IMO 1963)

Prove that $\cos \frac{\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{2\pi}{7} + \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Solution. Let $\omega = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{14}\right)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\omega + \omega^{-1} - \omega^2 - \omega^{-2} + \omega^3 + \omega^{-3} = 1$. Now using the fact that $\omega^k = \omega^{14+k}$ and $-\omega^2 = \omega^9$, this is equivalent to

$$\omega + \omega^3 + \omega^5 + \omega^7 + \omega^9 + \omega^{11} + \omega^{13} - \omega^7$$
$$\omega \left(\frac{\omega^{14} - 1}{\omega^2 - 1}\right) - \omega^7$$

But since ω is a 14th root of unity, $\omega^{14} = 1$. The answer is then $-\omega^7 = 1$, as desired. \square

Example 2.6 (JMMO)

Let x, y and z be positive real numbers such that x+y+z=1. Prove the inequality:

$$\frac{x^2}{1+y} + \frac{y^2}{1+z} + \frac{z^2}{1+x} \le 1$$

Solution. Notice that x < 1 - y < 1 + y, hence $\frac{x}{1+y} \le 1 \to \frac{x^2}{1+y} \le x$. Summing cyclically yields the desired result.

Example 2.7 (IMO 1984)

Prove that $0 \le yz + zx + xy - 2xyz \le \frac{7}{27}$, where x, y and z are non-negative real numbers satisfying x + y + z = 1.

Solution. For the lower bound, $xy+yz+xz-2xyz=(xy+yz+xz)(x+y+z)-2xyz\geq 0$ upon expansion. For the upper bound,

$$2\left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - z\right) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(x + y + z) + xy + yz + xz - 2xyz$$
$$xy + yz + xz - 2xyz = \frac{1}{4} + 2\prod_{cyc}\left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)$$

Simple AM-GM on the $\frac{1}{2} - x$ terms gives

$$\frac{1}{6} \ge \sqrt[3]{\prod_{cyc} \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)}$$

$$\prod_{cyc} \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right) \le \frac{1}{216}$$

$$xy + yz + xz - 2xyz \le \frac{7}{27}$$

As desired. AM-GM is allowed, unless suppose that say $x > \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, $xy + yz + xz - 2xyz \le \frac{1}{4}$, which we don't care about.

Example 2.8 (Kyrgyzstan)

Find all functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(f(x)^2 + f(y)) = xf(x) + y$$

Solution. Asserting P(0,0), there must be some a such that f(a) = 0. Hence assert P(a,0) to get

$$f(f(y)) = y$$

Thus f is an involution and thus a bijection (well-known, easy to prove). Assert P(f(b), y). We then get

$$f(b^2 + f(y)) = bf(b) + y$$

Assert P(b, y). We then get

$$f(f(b)^2 + f(y)) = bf(b) + y = f(b^2 + f(y))$$

Remembering that f is a bijection, $[f(b)]^2 = b^2$. Hence $f(b) = \pm b$. Unfortunately, we now run into the pointwise trap. Suppose that f(x) = x and f(y) = -y. Thus

$$f(x^2 - y) = x^2 + y$$

Since $f(b) = \pm b$, then $y = 0 \to f(y) = 0 = y$, or $x = 0 \to f(x) = 0 = -x$. The alternative case is isomorphic.

Example 2.9 (Titu Andreescu)

Let $0 \le a, b, c, d \le 1$. Prove that

$$(1-a)(1-b)(1-c)(1-d) + a + b + c + d \ge 1$$

Solution. Fix b, c, d. Then the left-hand side is a convex function in a, specifically linear. Hence the minimum is attained at one of the end points. Repeating this argument for b, c, d we deduce that in order for the minimum to occur, $a, b, c, d \in \{0, 1\}$ must hold. If at least one of the numbers is $1, LHS = a + b + c + d \ge 1$.

If all are zero, it clearly holds true, as desired.

The technique used brushes up on *smoothing*.

§3 Combinatorics

Combinatorics is both the life and death of me.

Example 3.1 (Canada)

Let there be a fixed positive integer n. Find the sum of all integers such that, when represented in base 2, has 2n digits, consisting of n ones, and n zeroes.

Solution. If n = 1 we can easily get that the sum is 2. For $n \ge 2$, the first digit is one, so there are $\binom{2n-1}{n-1}$ ways to put the 1's in the empty slots. Then $\binom{2n-2}{n-2}$, etc. So

 $\binom{2n-1}{n-1}+\binom{2n-2}{n-2}+\ldots=\binom{2n-1}{n}+\binom{2n-2}{n}+\ldots=\binom{2n-1}{n}2^{2n-1}.$ Then there is $\binom{2n-2}{n}$ of other powers of 2. The requested result is

$$\binom{2n-2}{n}(1+2+2^2+\ldots+2^{2n-2}) + \binom{2n-1}{n}2^{2n-1} = \boxed{\binom{2n-2}{n}(2^{2n-1}-1) + \binom{2n-1}{n}2^{2n-1}}$$

Example 3.2 (USAJMO 2010)

Two permutations $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2010}$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2010}$ of the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, 2010$ are said to intersect if $a_k = b_k$ for some value of k in the range $1 \le k \le 2010$. Show that there exist 1006 permutations of the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, 2010$ such that any other such permutation is guaranteed to intersect at least one of these 1006 permutations.

Solution. Construction: Pick $S \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., 2010\}$ with |S| = 1004. Let Q be the set of elements in $\{1, 2, ..., 2010\} \notin S$. Clearly |Q| = 1006.

Suppose the said permutations are $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{1006}$. For each set A_i , pick A_{in} to be the *n*th element in A_i . Pick $b_{ij} = S_{j-1006} \forall 1007 \leq j \leq 2010$. Define the *k*th *loop* of a permutation of $\{1, ..., n\}$ to be some $\{k, ..., n, 1, ..., k-1\}$ with $n \geq k \geq 1$. Set the first 1006 elements of b_i to be the *i*th *loop* of Q.

Proof that the construction is valid: From pigeonhole, there exists an element ϵ of Q such that ϵ is in one of b_{i_j} with $1 \leq j \leq 1006$.

But with our construction, in each of the first 1006 columns of some b_i , each of the numbers in Q exists. Since ϵ is also an element of Q, there must be an intersection, so we're done.

Example 3.3 (2020 ISL)

Let n be a positive integer. Find the number of permutations $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ of the sequence $1, 2, \ldots, n$ satisfying

$$a_1 \le 2a_2 \le 3a_3 \le \cdots \le na_n$$

Solution. The answer is the n+1th Fibonacci number. I prove this with strong induction. Let there be P(n) ways for a sequence of n numbers. The base cases are easy since

$$P(1) = |\{1\}| = 1 = F_2$$

 $P(2) = |\{1, 2\}, \{2, 1\}| = 2 = F_3$

We do casework on the index of n. If n is at the last position, there are P(n-1) ways. If n is at the second-to-last position, n-1 must be at the last position, hence there are P(n-2) ways. I now prove that n cannot be at any other position, effectively solving the problem.

Suppose the contrary. Clearly, n cannot be at the first position. Hence there is a valid sequence of the first k numbers, then n, then a jumble of the other numbers. Consider the number k+1. Let's say its at index r. Then

$$n(k+1) \le (k+1)r$$

$$n \le r$$

$$r = n$$

Hence k+1 is the last number. Suppose that the number at index n-1 is r. Thus

$$(n-1)r < (k+1)n$$

But $k+2 \leq r$, hence

$$(k+2)(n-1) \le (k+1)n$$
$$nk + 2n - k - 2 \le nk + n$$
$$n < k+2$$

Meaning that there are at least n-2 numbers before the number n, i.e. n is at one of the last two spots, as desired.

Example 3.4 (IMO 2021 P5)

Two squirrels, Bushy and Jumpy, have collected 2021 walnuts for the winter. Jumpy numbers the walnuts from 1 through 2021, and digs 2021 little holes in a circular pattern in the ground around their favourite tree. The next morning Jumpy notices that Bushy had placed one walnut into each hole, but had paid no attention to the numbering. Unhappy, Jumpy decides to reorder the walnuts by performing a sequence of 2021 moves. In the k-th move, Jumpy swaps the positions of the two walnuts adjacent to walnut k. Prove that there exists a value of k such that, on the k-th move, Jumpy swaps some walnuts k and k such that k-th that k-th move, Jumpy swaps some walnuts k-th move, Jumpy swaps some walnuts k-th move, Jumpy swaps some walnuts k-th move.

Solution. Let's suppose that Jumpy is hungry and eats every walnut they swap the neighbors of before they swap them. Notice that we want to show that Jumpy swaps some uneaten walnut with some eaten walnut at some point in time (assume an eaten walnut is just the crumbs). Assume the contrary, i.e. they always swaps some two walnuts of the same status.

Lemma 3.5

Swapping two walnuts of the same status preserves the number of pairs of adjacent uneaten walnuts.

This is obvious since swapping two walnuts of the same status doesn't change anything about the statuses at each index at all.

Now, the number of pairs of adjacent uneaten walnuts can't remain the same throughout, so we must have swapped an eaten one with an uneaten one. \Box

§4 Number Theory

Number theory is not my favorite due to the casework, but there are some nice ones here and there.

Example 4.1 (IMO 2006)

Determine all pairs (x, y) of integers such that

$$1 + 2^x + 2^{2x+1} = y^2.$$

Solution. Factoring,

$$2^{x}(1+2^{x+1}) = (y+1)(y-1)$$

This implies that one of y+1, y-1 has ν_2 less than or equal to 1. Hence $y=2^{x-1}a+b$ for odd a and $b^2=1$. Hence

$$2^{x-2}(a^2 - 8) = 1 - ab$$

Clearly b = -1, so

$$2^{x-2}(a^2 - 8) = a + 1$$

This is a finite check since the LHS grows much faster in a than the RHS. The solutions then are $(0, \pm 2)$ and $(4, \pm 23)$.

Example 4.2 (Putnam 1969)

Let n be a positive integer such that 24|(n+1). Prove that the sum of all divisors of n is also divisible by 24

Solution. Note that $n \equiv -1 \pmod{24}$, n can't be a square. So any d|n satisfies $d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $d \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$. In $d, \frac{n}{d}$ one is 1 and the other is 2 mod 3. Hence the possibilities are

$$d \equiv 1, \frac{n}{d} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$d \equiv 1, \frac{n}{d} \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$$

$$d \equiv 3, \frac{n}{d} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$$

Hence the sum is always 0 (mod 3) and 0 (mod 8), i.e. 0 (mod 24).

Example 4.3 (IMO 1989)

Prove that for each positive integer n there exist n consecutive positive integers none of which is an integral power of a prime number.

Solution. I present three solutions. The first is the beautiful one. The second is the more obvious one after doing high-level math. The third is a construction, suggested by the user dblues on AoPS, and proven by the user ComplexPhi

 \bullet Take some x such that

$$x \equiv -i \pmod{p_i q_i}$$

For $1 \le i \le n$ and distinct primes p_i, q_i . There exists a solution by CRT. So take $x + 1, x + 2, \dots, x + n$. Each is divisible by two distinct primes, so it can't be a perfect power of a prime.

- It suffices to prove that the density of p^k for prime p in \mathbb{Z} is 0. This is a very weak statement, since by the prime density theorem, the density of the primes themselves is 0 in \mathbb{Z} , done.
- Consider the set of n integers $\{[(n+1)!]^2+2, [(n+1)!]^2+3, \ldots, [(n+1)!]^2+(n+1)\}$. Let's assume that one of the numbers is the power of a prime. Let it be $[(n+1)!]^2+i=p^k$ with p prime and $2 \le i \le n+1$. From this we get that i divides p^k so $i=p^l$ with $l \ge 1$. So $p \le i \le n+1$. Obviously $k=v_p([(n+1)!]^2+i)=\min(v_p([(n+1)!]^2),v_p(i))=v_p(i)=l$ $i=p^l=p^k=[(n+1)!]^2+i$ a contradiction.

Example 4.4 (USAMO 2003)

Prove that for every positive integer n there exists an n-digit number divisible by 5^n all of whose digits are odd.

Solution. I claim that the possible m for n+1 is just the m for n with a new odd digit at the beginning. This sufficiently solves the problem (obviously 5^n is $\leq n$ digits otherwise 5 is larger than 9). To prove this, we use induction. The base case is easy, for n=1, we can use m=5. Then the new number is $(2k+1)\cdot 10^{n-1}+a\cdot 5^{n-1}=5^{n-1}\cdot ((2k+1)2^{n-1}+a)$, so we just need to show that there exists some k such that $(2k+1)2^{n-1}+a\equiv 0\pmod 5$ for some fixed a. Or rephrased, $(2k+1)2^r\equiv t\pmod 5$ has solutions for k for any t,r. This is true since the odd digits are complete $\pmod 5$, so we're done

Example 4.5 (IMO 1979)

If p and q are natural numbers so that

$$\frac{p}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots - \frac{1}{1318} + \frac{1}{1319},$$

prove that p is divisible with 1979.

Solution. Notice that

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots - \frac{1}{1318} + \frac{1}{1319}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{1319} \frac{1}{k}\right) - 2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{659} \frac{1}{2k}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=660}^{1319} \frac{1}{k}$$

Grouping all terms $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{1979-k} = \frac{1979}{k(1979-k)}$, we see that the numerator must be divisible by 1979 due to the fact that 1979 is prime.

Stronger version (Titu Andreescu):

For prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $q = \lfloor \frac{2p}{3} \rfloor$, with

$$\frac{m}{n} = \frac{1}{1*2} + \frac{1}{3*4} + \dots + \frac{1}{(q-1)q}$$

then p|m.

The proof is identical, with partial fraction decomposition required at the very beginning.

Example 4.6 (USAMO 1972)

Prove that $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\frac{\gcd(a,b,c)^2}{\gcd(a,b)\gcd(b,c)\gcd(a,c)} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b,c)^2}{\operatorname{lcm}(a,b)\operatorname{lcm}(a,c)\operatorname{lcm}(b,c)}$$

Solution. We use p-adics. It's clear that if $\nu_p(LHS) = \nu_p(RHS)$ for all primes p, LHS = RHS. Pick an arbitrary prime p. Suppose that $\nu_p(a) \le \nu_p(b) \le \nu_p(c)$. Hence we get

$$\nu_p(LHS) = \frac{\nu_p(a)^2}{\nu_p(a)\nu_p(b)\nu_p(a)} = \frac{1}{\nu_p(b)}$$

$$u_p(RHS) = \frac{\nu_p(c)^2}{\nu_p(b)\nu_p(c)\nu_p(c)} = \frac{1}{\nu_p(b)}$$

As desired.

Example 4.7 (Classic)

Prove that

$$\mu^{2}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) 2^{\omega(\frac{n}{d})}$$

holds $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Lemma 4.8

$$\sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| = 2^{\omega(n)}$$

Let $n = \prod p_i^{a_i}$. In order for the mobius function to be non-zero, if $d = \prod p_i^{d_i}$, all $d_i < 2$. Hence there are two options, 0 and 1, to choose each d_i . There are $\omega(n)$ such d_i , completing the proof.

Back to the main problem. Note that $\mu^2(n) = |\mu(n)|$. By symmetry, the summation on the RHS is

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) 2^{\omega(d)}$$

Definition 4.9. For two arithmetic functions f and g, (f * g)(n) is the convolution of f and g, i.e.

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

Using this definition, we want to prove that

$$|\mu(n)| = (\mu * 2^{\omega})(n)$$

Using the lemma, this is

$$|\mu(n)| = (\mu * (|\mu| * 1))(n)$$

Since the convolution function is commutative and associative,

$$|\mu(n)| = ((\mu * 1) * |\mu(n)|)(n)$$

But the function

$$\varepsilon_0(n) = (\mu * 1)(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(\varepsilon_0(n) * |\mu(n)|)(n) = \sum_{d|n} \varepsilon_0(d) |\mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)|$$

Using the piecewise definition of ε_0 , all terms cancel except the d=1 term. This is simply $|\mu(n)|$, as desired.

Example 4.10 (Henry John Stephen Smith)

Define the matrix

$$A := [a_{i,j}]_{n \times n} | a_{i,j} = \gcd(i,j)$$

Find a closed-form for det(A).

This is quite a difficult problem. The idea is to reduce the matrix using elementary row operations.

Lemma 4.11 (The Algorithm)

Consider the algorithm as follows: For each $1 \le i \le n$, subtract each row R_{ik} by R_i with 1 < k and $ik \le n$. When this algorithm is run on the matrix A to become A', A' is an upper triangular matrix.

To prove this lemma, we simply need to show that $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever j < i in the new matrix. Notice that for each d|j, d < i we are subtracting a_{id} from a_{ij} . Using this, it's easy to see that the lemma is true.

Lemma 4.12

Each $a_{k,k} = \varphi(k)$ after the algorithm has been run.

Notice that gcd(k, k) = k, so the element was originally just k. It's easy to see that the algorithm spits out what is essentially the definition of the totient function.

Using the two lemmas, the determinant is simply $\varphi(1)\varphi(2)\cdots\varphi(n)$.

Example 4.13

Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n+1}$ be a set of integers such that if any one of them is removed, the remaining ones can be divided into two sets of n integers with equal sums. Prove that $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{2n+1}$.

Solution. Solution. I will use a (questionable) version of infinite descent. All sums in this solution are from 1 to 2n + 1.

Notice that for all $1 \le i \le 2n + 1$,

$$2\left|\left(\sum a_k\right) - a_i\right|$$

Thus a_i remains constant modulo 2. If $a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then let $a_i = 2b_i$ for all i. Using the fact that the sum of any set of numbers is now even, we get that

$$4|2\left(\sum b_k\right) - 2b_i$$

$$2|\left(\sum b_k\right)-b_i$$

Which is identical to our equation for a_n . Thus it suffices to deal with the odd numbers. I will prove that we can find an infinitely large t such that a_i is constant mod t. In the even case, we just add a factor of 2 to t. So it suffices to show that the odd case lets us add some factor onto t (this is the questionable part I'm pretty sure it works but is hard to explain).

Onto the odd case. Let $a_i = 2b_i + 1$ for all i. If the sum is S,

$$2S = 2\sum b_k + 2n + 1 - 2b_i - 1 = 2\left(\sum b_k + n - b_i\right)$$

Hence

$$S = \sum b_k + n - b_i$$

For all *i*. Suppose *S* is the sum of *r* elements of the *a* sequence. If *r* is odd, *S* is odd. If *r* is even, *S* is even (since a_i is always odd). But $\sum b_k$ is odd, b_i is odd, hence $S \equiv r \equiv n \pmod{2}$. If *n* is even, then $\sum b_k - b_i$ is even, hence we get back to square one, which is a good thing since we're descending. If *n* is odd, then $\sum b_k - b_i$ is even, and we're again back to square one!

Conclusion: At each stage of this simplification, we add on a factor of two. Hence for any positive integer k, a_i is constant mod 2^k . Choose some $k > \max(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n+1})$, then $a_i \pmod{2^k} = a_i$, thus a_i itself is constant, done.

§5 Geometry

I'm not great at geometry, but here we go.

Example 5.1 (Baltic Way 2000)

Prove that for all positive real numbers a, b, c we have

$$\sqrt{a^2-ab+b^2}+\sqrt{b^2-bc+c^2} \geq \sqrt{a^2+ac+c^2}$$

Solution. Let ABCD be a convex quadrilateral. Construct ABCD such that $\angle ADB = 60, \angle BDC = 60, AD = a, BD = b, CD = c$. By the Law of Cosines:

$$\triangle ADC \to AC = \sqrt{a^2 + ac + c^2}$$

$$\triangle BDC \to BC = \sqrt{b^2 - bc + c^2}$$

$$\triangle ADB \to AB = \sqrt{a^2 - ab + c^2}$$

And by the triangle inequality in $\triangle ABC$,

$$\sqrt{a^2 - ab + b^2} + \sqrt{b^2 - bc + c^2} > \sqrt{a^2 + ac + c^2}$$

We are done because the quadrilateral is clearly always constructible for any a, b, c > 0. \square

Example 5.2 (JBMO 2019)

Triangle ABC is such that AB < AC. The perpendicular bisector of side BC intersects lines AB and AC at points P and Q, respectively. Let H be the orthocentre of triangle ABC, and let M and N be the midpoints of segments BC and PQ, respectively. Prove that lines HM and AN meet on the circumcircle of ABC.

Solution. There is a spiral similarity sending $\triangle BMH$ to $\triangle QNA$, so $\angle BMH = \angle QNA$ and $\angle HMC = 90 - \angle BMH = 90 - \angle QNA$, thus $HM \perp NA$ and so AN is a tangent to the circumcircle of ABC at which it meets MA, as desired.

Example 5.3 (USA TST 2010)

Let h_a, h_b, h_c be the lengths of the altitudes of a triangle ABC from A, B, C respectively. Let P be any point inside the triangle. Show that

$$\sum_{cyc} \frac{PA}{h_b + h_c} \ge 1$$

Solution. Notice that $h_b = \frac{2[ABC]}{b}$, etc. Hence the inequality is

$$\sum_{cuc} \frac{PA}{\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}} \ge 2[ABC]$$

$$\sum_{cyc} PA \frac{bc}{b+c} \ge 2[ABC]$$

Let x = d(P, AC), y = d(P, AB), z = d(P, BC). This implies that

$$2[ABC] = xb + yc + za$$

By the key lemma in the proof of Erdos-Mordell (I think the name is Mordell lemma),

$$PAa \ge xb + yc, xc + yb$$

Adding yields

$$PA \ge \frac{(x+y)(b+c)}{2a}$$

$$\sum_{cuc} PA \frac{bc}{b+c} \ge \sum_{cuc} \frac{(x+y)bc}{2a}$$

But notice that

$$\sum_{cyc} \frac{xbc + ybc}{2a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cyc} xb\frac{c}{a} + yc\frac{b}{a}$$

But

$$\sum_{cuc} xb \left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{a}{c}\right) \ge 2 \sum_{cuc} xb$$

by AM-GM. This directly implies the result.

Example 5.4 (Tied Circles)

Let ABCD be a cyclic quadrilateral and denote P its intersection of diagonals. Let circle ω passing through A and B intersect segments PC, PD at X, Y respectively. Prove that XY is parallel to CD.

Solution. Here is a beautiful, concise solution.

Notice that

$$\angle PYX = \angle PAB = \angle CDP$$

$$\angle PXY = \angle ABY = \angle PCD$$

Which directly implies the result. The equivalences come due to the cyclic ABXY, ABCD.

Example 5.5 (Shargyin 2012)

On side AC of triangle ABC an arbitrary point is selected D. The tangent in D to the circumcircle of triangle BDC meets AB in point C_1 ; point A_1 is defined similarly. Prove that $A_1C_1 \parallel AC$.

Solution. Note that $\angle BAD$ subtends arc BD, and DA_1 is a tangent, so $\angle A = \angle BAD = \angle BDA_1$. Similarly, $\angle BDC = \angle C$. Hence $\angle CA_1D + \angle B = \angle A + \angle B + \angle C = 180$, thus BA_1DC_1 is cyclic. Hence $\angle BCA_1 = A$ and $\angle BA_1C = \angle C$, implying the result exactly the same way as the previous problem.

Example 5.6

In $\triangle ABC$, BCHI is cyclic. Prove that O lies on the circle containing B, C, H, I.

Solution. The cyclic condition implies $\angle BHC = \angle BIC$. Thus $90 + \frac{A}{2} = 180 - A \rightarrow A = 60$. But then $\angle BOC = 2 \cdot 60 = 120$, and $\angle BHC = \angle BIC = 120$ as well, so they all lie on the same circle.

Example 5.7 (USAMO)

Let the diagonals of a convex quadrilateral ABCD intersect at P. If $AC \perp BD$, prove that the reflections of P in AB, BC, CD, DA lie on a circle.

Solution. Notice that the quadrilateral $P_1P_2P_3P_4$ is similar to the quadrilateral formed by the perpendiculars from P to AB, BC, CD, DA (the real reason behind this is that a homothety sends the quadrilaterals to each other). Hence we must prove that this new quadrilateral, say XYZW is also cyclic. Since AXPY etc. are cyclic,

$$\angle XWP + \angle XYP = \angle XBE + \angle XAE = 90$$

and likewise $\angle EYZ + \angle EWZ = 90$. This implies that XYZW is cyclic, as desired. \square

Example 5.8 (IMO 2020)

In convex quadrilateral ABCD,

$$\angle PAD : \angle PBA : \angle DPA = 1 : 2 : 3 = \angle CBP : \angle BAP : \angle BPC$$

Prove that angle bisector of $\angle PDA$, angle bisector of $\angle PCB$, and perpendicular bisector of AB all concur.

Solution. Let $\angle PAD = \alpha$. Let $\angle CBP = \beta$. Draw P to AD at x such that $\angle XPA = \alpha$. Hence $\angle PXD = 2\alpha$. Thus $\triangle PXA$, $\triangle PXD$ are isosceles. Thus $\triangle PAD$ is isosceles. Thus the angle bisector is the perpendicular bisector of PX. Also note that AXPB is cyclic. Hence the intersection of the bisector and perpendicular bisector of AB is the center of the circle around ABPX. By symmetry, we can say the same about the angle bisector of $\angle PCB$ – that is, it contains the center of the circumcircle of APB, as desired. \Box