SALES ANALYSIS REPORT

Part 1: Sales and Profitability Analysis

Task 1- Merge the List of Orders and Order Details datasets based on Order ID. Calculate the total sales (Amount) for each category across all orders.

| | Amount |
|-------------|--------|
| Category | |
| Clothing | 139054 |
| Electronics | 165267 |
| Furniture | 127181 |
| | |

These are the total sales for each category across all orders.

Task 2- For each category, calculate the average profit per order and total profit margin. (profit as a percentage of Amount).

| | Category | Average Profit per Order | Total Profit Margin(%) |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 0 | Clothing | 28.40 | 8.03 |
| 1 | Electronics | 51.44 | 6.35 |
| 2 | Furniture | 12.35 | 1.81 |
| | | | |

These are the average profit per order and total profit margin for each category and the profit margin values are in percentage.

Task 3- Identify the top-performing and underperforming categories based on these metrics. Also, suggest reasons for their performance differences.

| | Category | Positive Orders | Positive Profit | Negative Orders | Negative Profit | Positive % | Negative % |
|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0 | Clothing | 631 | 23156 | 279 | -11993 | 66.491043 | 29.399368 |
| 1 | Electronics | 180 | 27216 | 122 | -16722 | 58.441558 | 39.610390 |
| 2 | Furniture | 139 | 17819 | 102 | -15521 | 57.201646 | 41.975309 |
| | | | | | | | |

In these, the top-performing category is Clothing which has 631 profitable orders with an 8.03% profit margin and the low-performing category is Furniture which has only 139 profitable orders with a 1.81% profit margin.

- Here we can see that clothing has 2/3 of positive profit where only 1/4 of negative profit can be manageable and In furniture 3/5 positive profit but 5/12 of negative profit which is almost 50/50 chances.
- You can also note that there is only 241 orders were placed where 102 orders gave negative profit but clothing holds a total of 631 successful orders out of 910.
- Focus on product pricing and plan strategies to attract more customers.

Part 2: Target Achievement Analysis

Task 1- Using the Sales Target dataset, calculate the percentage change in target sales for the Furniture category month-over-month.

| | Month of Order Da | te Category | Target | Percentage Chang | ge(%) |
|----|-------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|-------|
| 0 | 2018-04- | 01 Furniture | 10400.0 | | 0.00 |
| 1 | 2018-05- | 01 Furniture | 10500.0 | | 0.96 |
| 2 | 2018-06- | 01 Furniture | 10600.0 | | 0.95 |
| 3 | 2018-07- | 01 Furniture | 10800.0 | | 1.89 |
| 4 | 2018-08- | 01 Furniture | 10900.0 | | 0.93 |
| 5 | 2018-09- | 01 Furniture | 11000.0 | | 0.92 |
| 6 | 2018-10- | 01 Furniture | 11100.0 | | 0.91 |
| 7 | 2018-11- | 01 Furniture | 11300.0 | | 1.80 |
| 8 | 2018-12- | 01 Furniture | 11400.0 | | 0.88 |
| 9 | 2019-01- | 01 Furniture | 11500.0 | | 0.88 |
| 10 | 2019-02- | 01 Furniture | 11600.0 | | 0.87 |
| 11 | 2019-03- | 01 Furniture | 11800.0 | | 1.72 |

These are percentage changes in target sales for the furniture category and have an average of 1.059%

Task 2- Analyse the trends to identify months with significant target fluctuations. Suggest strategies for aligning target expectations with actual performance trends.

| | Category | High Increase | Low Increase | Static |
|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 0 | Clothing | July 2018,October 2018 | | April 2018,May 2018,June 2018,August 2018,Sept |
| 1 | Electronics | January 2019 | | July لر 2018, 2018, 2018, 2018, April 2018, May 2018, August |
| 2 | Furniture | July 2018,November 2018,March 2019 | December 2018,January 2019,February 2019 | April 2018 |
| | | | | |

The furniture category shows a single static value with target sales increasing gradually each month, which is good. Other categories have multiple static values, indicating a lack of predictive and historical data analysis.

- Targets were not adjusted based on previous performance or market trends. Analyzing
 historical performance and making predictions to set target sales will lead to achievable
 targets.
- A significant jump in the target does not yield a positive result. Gradually increasing the target will lead to realistic sales growth.
- Conducting regular performance reviews helps you set realistic and achievable targets.

Part 3: Regional Performance Insights

Task 1- From the List of Orders dataset, identify the top 5 states with the highest order count. For each of these states, calculate the total sales and average profit.

```
Index(['Madhya Pradesh',
'Maharashtra', 'Gujarat',
'Rajasthan', 'Delhi'],
dtype='object', name='State')
```

These are the top 5 states that have the highest order count.

Task 2- Highlight any regional disparities in sales or profitability. Suggest regions or cities

that should be prioritized for improvement.

| | State | City | Totalsales | Totalprofit | Avgprofit | Totalorder |
|----|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| 22 | Tamil Nadu | Chennai | 6087 | -2216 | -88.640000 | 25 |
| 18 | Punjab | Chandigarh | 12279 | -1153 | -25.622222 | 45 |
| 4 | Gujarat | Ahmedabad | 14230 | -880 | -14.193548 | 62 |
| 19 | Rajasthan | Jaipur | 10076 | -753 | -17.113636 | 44 |
| 0 | Andhra Pradesh | Hyderabad | 13256 | -496 | -11.809524 | 42 |
| 1 | Bihar | Patna | 12943 | -321 | -5.177419 | 62 |
| 8 | Jammu and Kashmir | Kashmir | 10829 | 8 | 0.163265 | 49 |
| 16 | Nagaland | Kohima | 11903 | 148 | 3.288889 | 45 |
| 24 | Uttar Pradesh | Lucknow | 5502 | 156 | 4.105263 | 38 |
| 3 | Goa | Goa | 6705 | 370 | 8.604651 | 43 |
| 21 | Sikkim | Gangtok | 5276 | 401 | 16.708333 | 24 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | Delhi | 2488 | 521 | 74.428571 | 7 |
| 17 | Punjab | Amritsar | 4507 | 544 | 36.266667 | 15 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | Simla | 8666 | 656 | 22.620690 | 29 |
| 6 | Haryana | Chandigarh | 8863 | 1325 | 50.961538 | 26 |
| 5 | Gujarat | Surat | 6828 | 1345 | 53.800000 | 25 |
| 20 | Rajasthan | Udaipur | 11073 | 2010 | 67.000000 | 30 |
| | | | | | | |

Regional Disparities in Sales and Profitability

- 1. Chennai from Tamil Nadu
- 2. Chandigarh from Punjab
- 3. Ahmedabad from Gujarat
- 4. Jaipur from Rajasthan
- 5. Hyderabad from Andhra Pradesh
- 6. Patna from Bihar

These city's sales face a lot of loss in the total sales orders and profit whereas in Chennai only 25 orders were placed and its total profit is negative(-2216) which means there is no profit margin in that region so we have to cross-check operational costs, heavy discounting, or low pricing strategies responsible for the losses. Focus on product pricing and higher-margin product lines.

| <u>-</u> | | State | City | Totalsales | Totalprofit | Avgprofit | Totalorder |
|----------|----|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | 12 | Madhya Pradesh | Delhi | 2488 | 521 | 74.428571 | 7 |
| | 20 | Rajasthan | Udaipur | 11073 | 2010 | 67.000000 | 30 |
| | 5 | Gujarat | Surat | 6828 | 1345 | 53.800000 | 25 |
| | 6 | Haryana | Chandigarh | 8863 | 1325 | 50.961538 | 26 |
| | 17 | Punjab | Amritsar | 4507 | 544 | 36.266667 | 15 |
| | 7 | Himachal Pradesh | Simla | 8666 | 656 | 22.620690 | 29 |
| | 21 | Sikkim | Gangtok | 5276 | 401 | 16.708333 | 24 |
| | 3 | Goa | Goa | 6705 | 370 | 8.604651 | 43 |
| | 24 | Uttar Pradesh | Lucknow | 5502 | 156 | 4.105263 | 38 |
| | 16 | Nagaland | Kohima | 11903 | 148 | 3.288889 | 45 |
| | 8 | Jammu and Kashmir | Kashmir | 10829 | 8 | 0.163265 | 49 |
| | 1 | Bihar | Patna | 12943 | -321 | -5.177419 | 62 |
| | 0 | Andhra Pradesh | Hyderabad | 13256 | -496 | -11.809524 | 42 |
| | 4 | Gujarat | Ahmedabad | 14230 | -880 | -14.193548 | 62 |
| | 19 | Rajasthan | Jaipur | 10076 | -753 | -17.113636 | 44 |
| | 18 | Punjab | Chandigarh | 12279 | -1153 | -25.622222 | 45 |
| | 22 | Tamil Nadu | Chennai | 6087 | -2216 | -88.640000 | 25 |

Regions Positive in Profitability

- 1. Delhi from Madhya Pradesh
- 2. Udaipur from Rajasthan
- 3. Surat from Gujarat
- 4. Chandigarh from Haryana

These cities have good profitability but have less than 30 orders whereas Delhi has an average profit of '74.42' but only has 7 orders so focus on promoting products in these areas to gain more profits and plan strategies to attract more customers to change underperforming areas into a leading sales figures.

Cities like Udaipur and Jaipur from Rajasthan and Surat and Ahmedabad from Gujarat have different profit stats but in the same state. We also analyzed and find what was the reason for that difference and tried to apply some strategies to improve sales in low-performing regions.