A Comprehensive Analysis of the Partition Function: From Euler's Identities to the Hardy-Ramanujan Asymptotic Formula

Matheus Sales dos Santos

September 15, 2025

Abstract

This paper offers a detailed exploration of the integer partition function, $p(n)$, one of the most fascinating objects at the intersection of combinatorics and number theory. We begin with fundamental definitions and visual representations, such as Ferrers diagrams, to build an intuitive foundation. We then delve into the pioneering work of Leonhard Euler, who introduced the powerful tool of generating functions, transforming a discrete counting problem into a question of analyzing infinite products. We investigate Euler's celebrated Pentagonal Number Theorem and demonstrate how it leads to an elegant and efficient recurrence relation for $p(n)$. The analysis deepens with the study of restricted partitions, exploring how modifications to the generating function allow for the enumeration of partitions with specific properties. The climax of the paper is the presentation of the Hardy-Ramanujan asymptotic formula, a landmark of analytic number theory that describes the growth of $p(n)$ with remarkable precision and reveals the profound connection between number theory and complex analysis. We conclude with a reflection on the legacy and impact of these discoveries, which continue to inspire contemporary mathematical research.

Contents

1 Introduction: The Deceptive Simplicity of Partitions

Mathematics is replete with problems whose formulation is so simple they can be explained to a child, yet whose solution requires tools of extraordinary sophistication. The integer partition function, $p(n)$, is a paradigmatic example of this phenomenon.

Definition 1.1 (Partition of an Integer)**.** A **partition** of a positive integer n is a way of writing n as a sum of positive integers, called **parts**. The order of the parts does not matter. The partition function, $p(n)$, counts the number of distinct partitions of n.

Example 1.2. Let us find the partitions of $n = 5$:

- 5
- $4 + 1$
- $3 + 2$
- $3 + 1 + 1$
- $2 + 2 + 1$
- $2 + 1 + 1 + 1$
- $1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$

We count 7 partitions; therefore, $p(5) = 7$. By convention, we define $p(0) = 1$, representing the "empty partition" of zero.

The apparent simplicity of the sequence of values of $p(n)$ ($p(1) = 1, p(2) = 2, p(3) = 3, p(4) = 5, p(5) = 7, \dots$) quickly unravels. The function's growth is superpolynomial, and its behavior is not captured by a simple closed-form formula. For instance, $p(10) = 42$, while $p(100) = 190,569,292$. This combinatorial explosion makes direct enumeration an impractical method for even moderate values of n.

This paper aims to trace the historical and conceptual journey to unravel the mysteries of $p(n)$. Our investigation will follow the footsteps of the great mathematicians who tackled the problem, revealing a tapestry of unexpected connections between different areas of mathematics.

2 Visualizing Partitions: Ferrers Diagrams

Before diving into analytical tools, it is useful to have a visual representation for partitions. Ferrers diagrams (or Ferrers graphs) provide this intuition.

Definition 2.1 (Ferrers Diagram)**.** A **Ferrers diagram** represents a partition of n as a pattern of n dots (or squares) arranged in rows, where the length of each row corresponds to a part of the partition. The rows are left-aligned and arranged in non-increasing order of length.

Example 2.2. The partition $10 = 4 + 3 + 3 + 1$ is represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \bullet & & & \end{array}$$

This visual representation is surprisingly powerful. A simple operation on the diagram, **conjugation**, gives us a combinatorial theorem for free. The conjugation of a diagram consists of transposing its rows and columns.

Definition 2.3 (Conjugate Partition)**.** The **conjugate partition** of a partition λ, denoted by λ', is the partition corresponding to the conjugated Ferrers diagram of λ.

Theorem 2.4. *The number of partitions of n into at most k parts is equal to the number of partitions of n where no part is larger than k.*

Proof. Let λ be a partition of n into at most k parts. Its Ferrers diagram will have at most k rows. The conjugate partition, λ', will have its largest part equal to the number of rows of λ, which is at most k. Therefore, the largest part of λ' is at most k. The mapping is an involution, thus proving the bijection. $\square$

3 The Revolutionary Tool: Euler's Generating Function

The first great conceptual leap in the study of $p(n)$ was made by Leonhard Euler in the 18th century. He introduced the idea of encapsulating the entire infinite sequence $p(0), p(1), p(2), \ldots$ into the coefficients of a single power series, a **generating function**.

Theorem 3.1 (Generating Function for $p(n)$)**.** *The generating function for the partition sequence $p(n)$ is given by the infinite product:*

$$P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x^k} \tag{1}$$

Derivation of the Identity. The proof of this identity is one of the most elegant arguments in combinatorics. We begin by expanding each factor of the product as a geometric series:

$$\frac{1}{1-x^k} = 1 + x^k + x^{2k} + x^{3k} + \dots$$

This infinite polynomial represents the choices for the part k: we can either not use it (term $1 = x^{0k}$), use it once (term x^k), twice (term x^{2k}), and so on.

The complete product is therefore:

$$P(x) = (1 + x^1 + x^2 + \dots)(1 + x^2 + x^4 + \dots)(1 + x^3 + x^6 + \dots)\cdots$$

To find the coefficient of x^n in the expansion of this product, we must choose one term from each factor, say $x^{m_1 \cdot 1}$ from the first, $x^{m_2 \cdot 2}$ from the second, and so on, such that their product is x^n. This requires the sum of the exponents to be n:

$$m_1 \cdot 1 + m_2 \cdot 2 + m_3 \cdot 3 + \cdots = n$$

This last equation is precisely the definition of a partition of n, where m_k is the number of times the part k appears in the sum. Each distinct set of solutions $\{m_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ in non-negative integers corresponds to a unique partition of n. Therefore, the coefficient of x^n in the expansion of $P(x)$ is exactly the number of such solutions, which is $p(n)$. □

4 Restricted Partitions

The beauty of the generating function approach is its flexibility. By modifying Euler's product, we can find generating functions for partitions with various restrictions.

Example 4.1 (Odd Parts)**.** The number of partitions of n into parts that are all odd is generated by:

$$P_{\text{odd}}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{2k-1}} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^3)(1-x^5)\cdots}$$

Example 4.2 (Distinct Parts)**.** The number of partitions of n into distinct parts is generated by:

$$P_{\text{distinct}}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + x^k)$$

Theorem 4.3 (Euler's Partition Identity)**.** *The number of partitions of n into odd parts is equal to the number of partitions of n into distinct parts.*

Proof. We prove this by showing that their generating functions are identical.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\text{distinct}}(x) &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1+x^k) \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1-x^{2k}}{1-x^k} \\
&= \frac{(1-x^2)(1-x^4)(1-x^6)\cdots}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)(1-x^4)\cdots} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^3)(1-x^5)\cdots} \\
&= P_{\text{odd}}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the generating functions are equal, their coefficients must also be equal for all n. □

5 The Pentagonal Number Theorem and Euler's Recurrence

Euler also investigated the reciprocal of $P(x)$ and discovered a beautiful identity.

Theorem 5.1 (Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorem)**.** *The reciprocal of the partition generating function is given by the series:*

$$\frac{1}{P(x)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-x^k) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^j x^{j(3j-1)/2} \tag{2}$$

where $g_j = \frac{j(3j-1)}{2}$ *are the* ***generalized pentagonal numbers****.*

This identity's most important consequence is a recurrence relation for $p(n)$. From the identity $P(x)\cdot\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-x^k)\right)=1$, we have:

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}p(n)x^n\right)\left(1-x-x^2+x^5+x^7-\dots\right)=1$$

For $n>0$, the coefficient of x^n on the left-hand side must be zero. This leads to:

$$p(n)-p(n-1)-p(n-2)+p(n-5)+p(n-7)-\cdots=0$$

Isolating $p(n)$ gives Euler's celebrated recurrence.

Proposition 5.2 (Euler's Recurrence for $p(n)$)**.** *For* $n\geq 1$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
p(n) &= p(n-1)+p(n-2)-p(n-5)-p(n-7)+\cdots \\
&= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z},j\neq 0}(-1)^{j-1}p(n-g_j)
\end{aligned}$$

The sum is finite, as we consider $p(k)=0$ *for* $k<0$*.*

6 The Asymptotic Analysis of Hardy and Ramanujan

In 1918, G.H. Hardy and Srinivasa Ramanujan developed the **circle method** to find an asymptotic formula for $p(n)$. The central idea is to use Cauchy's integral formula to extract the coefficients:

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{P(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

By choosing a contour C that passes very close to the singularities of $P(z)$ on the unit circle, they derived a formula for the growth rate of $p(n)$.

Theorem 6.1 (Hardy-Ramanujan Asymptotic Formula). *For large values of n, the partition function $p(n)$ is asymptotically equivalent to:*

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} \tag{3}$$

This formula reveals that the growth of $p(n)$ is dominated by an exponential term in $\sqrt{n}$. Its accuracy is remarkable and improves as n increases.

7 Conclusion and Legacy

The journey to understand the partition function $p(n)$ is an emblematic story of mathematical progress, leading from visual combinatorics to formal algebra and finally to complex analysis. The study of $p(n)$ teaches us that behind a simple question, there can be a universe of mathematical structure waiting to be discovered—a universe that unifies the discrete and the continuous in profound and beautiful ways.

References

[1] Andrews, G. E. (1976). *The Theory of Partitions*. Addison-Wesley.

[2] Apostol, T. M. (1976). *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer.

[3] Hardy, G. H., & Wright, E. M. (2008). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[4] Hardy, G. H., & Ramanujan, S. (1918). Asymptotic Formulae in Combinatory Analysis. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-17(1), 75–115.