

## Analysis of Data Structures and Algorithms for our Restaurant Reservation System

For each data structure used, a detailed explanation of the complexity of the structure and the algorithm is provided in the implementation. These details provided here are an overview.

### **1. Table Management: Binary Search Tree (BST)**

#### Data Structure Choice

Binary Search Tree (BST) is chosen to represent table availability based on seating capacity. The reasons behind this choice include:

- **Efficient Search Operations:** BST provides logarithmic time complexity ( $O(\log n)$ ) for search operations. This is crucial for quickly identifying available tables based on their seating capacity.
- **Dynamic Scalability:** As the number of tables increases, the BST structure allows for efficient scaling without significant performance degradation.

#### Operations and Complexity

##### Insertion:

The insert operation in a BST involves recursively traversing the tree to find the appropriate position for the new node. The time complexity is  $O(\log n)$  in the average case, ensuring efficient insertion.

##### Deletion:

Deleting a node from a BST requires finding the node and handling three cases: a node with no children, a node with one child, and a node with two children. The time complexity is  $O(\log n)$  on average.

Search:

Searching in a BST involves recursively navigating the tree based on the comparison of the target value with the values in the nodes. The time complexity is  $O(\log n)$  on average.

## **2. Reservation Bookings: Hash Table**

Data Structure Choice

Hash Table is employed for managing reservations. The reasons for selecting a hash table are as follows:

- Constant Time Complexity: Hash tables offer constant average time complexity ( $O(1)$ ) for insertion and retrieval operations.
- Quick Access by Key: Reservations can be directly accessed using the customer's name as a key, ensuring swift retrieval.

Operations and Complexity

- Insertion:
  - Inserting a reservation into the hash table has an average time complexity of  $O(1)$ .
  - This allows for real-time booking without significant delays.
- Retrieval:
  - Retrieving reservation details based on the customer's name also has an average time complexity of  $O(1)$ .
  - This ensures quick access to reservation information.

### 3. Waitlist Management: Queue

#### Data Structure Choice

Queue is chosen for managing the waitlist. The reasons for selecting a queue include:

- FIFO Order: Queue follows a First-In-First-Out order, which aligns with the principle of managing a waitlist based on the order of customer reservation requests.
- Constant Time Complexity for Enqueue and Dequeue: Enqueuing and dequeuing operations in a queue have a constant time complexity of  $O(1)$ .

#### Operations and Complexity

- Enqueue:
  - Adding a customer to the waitlist is a constant-time operation ( $O(1)$ ).
  - This ensures that customers are efficiently added to the waitlist in real-time.
- Dequeue:
  - Removing a customer from the waitlist is also a constant-time operation ( $O(1)$ ).
  - This facilitates the quick processing of available tables for customers on the waitlist.

#### 4. Occupancy Overview: Data Structures Integration

To provide a comprehensive visual display of the current occupancy status, a combination of data structures is integrated:

- Binary Search Tree (BST): This structure aids in efficiently retrieving tables based on their capacity for display purposes.
- Hash Table: Quick access to reservation details enables the system to display information about reserved tables and customers.
- Queue: The queue is utilized to showcase customers on the waitlist, maintaining the order in which they joined.