# Linear Models Extended Project Feedback



#### Well done

- I was generally pretty impressed by the work that has been done
- Cleary a lot of time was put in and it appeared as though students learnt a lot
- The average grade was 85/100
- I will return individual feedback this afternoon



## Main areas for Grading

- 1. Data Preparation
  - a. Exploratory data analysis
  - b. Missing data
  - c. Feature Transformations
  - d. Dummy Variables
  - e. Standardisation
  - f. Training/Testing matching
  - 2. Modelling
    - a. LinearRegression()
    - b. LASSO()
    - c. Hyperparameter selection
  - 3. 'Topics not seen in class'



## Data Preparation: Exploratory data analysis

- Quick look at the data
- Do you have missing data?
- What types of columns do you have? Will you need dummy variables for categorical columns?
- Some simple plots
  - Marginal summaries
  - Correlations
  - Marginal distributions
  - Interactions with the response

Note: In machine learning we often have a large number of columns and therefore inspecting each one, and checking every model assumption is satisfied, is often not feasible.



## Data Preparation: Missing data I

- The instructions said to remove columns with a high proportion of missing data, then remove rows
- Importantly you can't remove test set observations (not a problem here but would need to impute)



## Data Preparation: Missing data II

- Some people played around with removing fewer/more columns and more/fewer observations.
- Others imputed data using summaries means/median for continuous variables and mode for continuous variables - Important: you should estimate the summaries on the training data to impute the testing data (just like standardisation)
- Many noticed what we call 'structural missingness' 'poolnum' and 'garagenum' were either 1 or NaN so it was reasonable to impute NaNs as 0's
- Lastly, people tried to use other variables to impute the neighbourhood code using some type of nearest neighbour algorithm - there were some lovely plots here



## Data Preparation: Feature transformations

- Many noticed the y's were skewed, so took either log or sqrt transform (remember to transform back when making predictions)
- Often the exploratory data analysis uncovered non-linear relationships between features and response, so polynomial features were added these not only raise the variables to a power they also consider interactions
- Power functions only relevant for continuous variables, but for interactions you may want to also consider the categorical variable (after transforming the dummies)
- Again rather than bespoke transforming each variable, this is slightly more about trying out as many things as possible in a Machine Learning perspective



### Data Preparation: Dummy variables

- Most students correctly worked out which variables were ordinal (and could be left, i.e. 'numrooms', and which variables needed to be turned into dummies
- Working with the 'regioncode' was difficult as you had many variables
- The more variables you have the slower things will take to run if you also do polynomial feature engineering you could have thousands of features
- Can remove dummies by simply grouping any category with below a certain threshold of observations into an 'other' category - therefore you preserve the non-ordinal structure, but don't have too many categories
- Having an 'other' category can also be helpful when matching training and testing columns
- Others tried to group categories together I would urge caution here.
  Grouping close categories together (i.e. 'regioncode') is assuming locally an ordinal structure. Better to group in terms of the response y.



#### Data Preparation: Standardisation

- Most people correctly used StandardScalar(), '.fit' to the training data and then '.transform' to the training and testing data.
- You use the training means and variances to 'scale' the test set
- Be careful using the scl() function
- Important for LASSO, not essential but useful for LinearRegression my advice is always scale your X's (for a linear regression it changes nothing in the modelling but makes computation and interpretation easier)
- Scaling of the y's is slightly less standard but can be helpful in setting the range for the beta's and lambda's (remember if you scale y's you need to undo the scaling to predict - using the training values).
- If you scale y to have mean 0 then you don't need an intercept beta0, if you don't then you either need fit\_intercept=True or a column of 1's in the features (given by poly for example)



## Data Preparation: Training/Testing matching

- Everybody was able to make sure their testing and training sets had the same columns.
- How I would think about this is as follows
  - Everything I do to my training set I also do to my test set in the same way
  - Rather than independently processing both testing and training sets then matching
- Having an other category for dummies can really help here leads to less information being lost



## Modelling: LinearRegression() and LASSO()

- Generally this was understood well
- After creating dummies and polynomially transforming things there could be hundreds of variables
- Fitting a LinearRegression() did okay in-sample
- But evaluating out-of-sample either in Kaggle or Cross-validating we saw the performance drop
- LASSO() helps to fit the model in a way that guarantees more 'stable' out-of-sample performance



## Modelling: Hyperparameter selection I

- In class I showed you how to do this with GridSearchCV applicable for any model.
- Specify a sensible grid for alpha = lambda easier if everything is standardised
- This was time consuming if you had many columns
- LassoCV does the same thing but is faster bespoke for LASSO, warm start optimisation
- Others uses LassoLarsCV automatically chooses the grid over alpha -<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Least-angle-regression">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Least-angle-regression</a>
- HalvingGridSearchCV general and faster



## Modelling: Hyperparameter selection II

- Some students considered AIC and/or BIC selection.
- These are 'penalised likelihood' methods that are alternatives to cross-validation
- Only fit the model once (for each hyperparameter) rather than for each cv-fold
- Looks at MSE but applied a bigger penalty for more parameters in the model



## Topics not seen in class

- As discussed already different ways to select alpha/lambda in LASSO
- I gave marks for any 'bespoke' imputing of missing data
- Some students tried dimension reduction techniques before doing the LASSO/GridSearchCV e.g SVD, variance thresholding
- Encoding different types other than dummies.
- Outlier removal



## Any Questions?

