Statistics for Computing

MA4413 Lecture 10A

Kevin O'Brien

Kevin.obrien@ul.ie

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics,
University *of* Limerick

Autumn Semester 2013

This Class

- Formulae : Published on SULIS
- Type I and Type II errors.
- The paired t-test.
- Testing using p-value approach.
- Look at some worked examples of hypothesis testing.

Hypothesis Testing

Recall: the inferential step to conclude that the null hypothesis is false goes as follows: The data (or data more extreme) are very unlikely given that the null hypothesis is true. This means that:

(1) a very unlikely event occurred or

(2) the null hypothesis is false.

The inference usually made is that the null hypothesis is false. Importantly it doesnt prove the null hypothesis to be false.

Type I and II errors

There are two kinds of errors that can be made in hypothesis testing:

(1) a true null hypothesis can be incorrectly rejected

(2) a false null hypothesis can fail to be rejected.

The former error is called a ***Type I error*** and the latter error is called a ***Type II error***.

The probability of Type I error is always equal to the level of significance α (alpha) that is used as the standard for rejecting the null hypothesis .

Type II Error

- The probability of a Type II error is designated by the Greek letter beta (β).
- A Type II error is only an error in the sense that an opportunity to reject the null hypothesis correctly was lost.
- It is not an error in the sense that an incorrect conclusion was drawn since no conclusion is drawn when the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Types of Error

- A Type I error, on the other hand, is an error in every sense of the word. A conclusion is drawn that the null hypothesis is false when, in fact, it is true.
- Therefore, Type I errors are generally considered more serious than Type II errors.
- The probability of a Type I error (α) is set by the experimenter.
- There is a trade-off between Type I and Type II errors. The more an experimenter protects himself or herself against Type I errors by choosing a low level, the greater the chance of a Type II error.

Types of Error

- Requiring very strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis makes it very unlikely that a true null hypothesis will be rejected.
- However, it increases the chance that a false null hypothesis will not be rejected, thus lowering the likelihood of Type II error.
- The Type I error rate is almost always set at 0.05 or at 0.01, the latter being more conservative since it requires stronger evidence to reject the null hypothesis at the 0.01 level then at the 0.05 level.
- **Important**In this module, the significance level α can be assumed to be 0.05, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Type I and II errors

These two types of errors are defined in the table below.

	True State: H0 True	True State: H0 False
Decision: Reject H0	Type I error	Correct
Decision: Do not Reject H0	Correct	Type II error

Type I and Type II errors - Die Example

- Recall our die throw experiment example.
- Suppose we perform the experiment twice with two different dice.
- We don't not know for sure whether or not either of the dice is fair or crooked (favouring high values).
- Suppose we get a sum of 401 from one die, and 360 from the other.

Type I and Type II errors - Die Example

- For our first dice (sum 401), we feel that it is likely that the die is crooked.
- A Type I error describes the case when in fact that dice was fair, and what happened was just an unusual result.
- For our second dice (sum 360), we feel that it is likely that the die is fair.
- A Type II error describes the case when in fact that dice was crooked, favouring high values, and what happened was, again, just an unusual result.

The Paired t-test

A paired t-test is used to compare two population means where you have two samples in which observations in one sample can be ***paired*** with observations in the other sample.

Examples of where this might occur are:

- Before-and-after observations on the same subjects (e.g. students diagnostic test results before and after a particular module or course).
- A comparison of two different methods of measurement or two different treatments where the measurements/treatments are applied to the ***same*** subjects.

The difference between two paired measurements is known as a ***case-wise*** difference.

The Paired t-test

- We will often be required to compute the case-wise differences, the average of those differences and the standard deviation of those difference.
- The mean difference for a set of differences between paired observations is

$$\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{n}$$

- The computational formula for the standard deviation of the differences between paired observations is

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2 - n\bar{d}^2}{n-1}}$$

- It is nearly always a small sample test.

The Paired t-test

- μ_d mean value for the population of case-wise differences.
- The null hypothesis is that that $\mu_d = 0$
- Given $\bar{d}$ mean value for the sample of differences, and s_d standard deviation of the differences for the paired sample data, we can compute this test in the same manner as a one-sample test for the mean

Hypothesis Testing: Some Worked Examples

1. Small sample - test of mean
2. Difference of two mean (large samples, using p-value approach)
3. Difference of two mean (large samples, using CV approach)
4. Difference of two mean (small samples)
5. Difference of two proportions
6. Paired t-test

Example 1 (a)

- The standard deviation of the life for a particular brand of ultraviolet tube is known to be $s = 500$ hr,
- Also it is assumed, but not known, that the operating life of the tubes is normally distributed.
- The manufacturer claims that average tube life is at least 9,000hr.
- Test this claim at the 5 percent level of significance against the alternative hypothesis that the mean life is 9,000 hr, and given that for a sample of $n = 10$ tubes the mean operating life was $\bar{x} = 8,800$ hr.
- (Intuitively this would suggest a one-tailed test that the mean is less than 9000 hours)

Example 1 (b)

- $H_0: \ \mu = 9000$ (Average life span is 9000 hours.)
- $H_1: \ \mu \neq 9000$ (Average life span is not 9000 hours.)

- The observed difference is -200 hours. (i.e. 8,800 - 9,000 hours)
- The standard error is determined from formulae.

$$S.E.(\bar{x}) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{500}{\sqrt{10}} = 158.1139$$

Example 1 (c) : Test Statistic and Critical Value

- The test statistic is $(-200 - 0/158.11) = -1.265$
- The CV is determined with $\alpha = 0.05$ and $k = 2$ (column = $\alpha/k = 0.025$).
- The sample is small n = 10 $df = n - 1 = 9$ (i.e. row =9).
- Therefore $CV = 2.262$
- (Remark: If the sample was large, we could use $CV = 1.96$).

Example 1 (d): Decision Rule

- **Decision:**Is $|TS| > CV$? Is $1.265 > 2.262$?
- No. We fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- There is not enough evidence to say that the mean lifespan is not 9000 hours.

Example 2: Paired Difference (a)

- An automobile manufacturer collects mileage data for a sample of $n = 10$ cars in various weight categories using a standard grade of gasoline with and without a particular additive.
- Of course, the engines were tuned to the same specifications before each run, and the same drivers were used for the two gasoline conditions (with the driver in fact being unaware of which gasoline was being used on a particular run).
- Given the mileage data on the next slide, test the hypothesis that there is no difference between the mean mileage obtained with and without the additive, using the 5 percent level of significance

Example 2: Paired Difference (b)

car	with additive	without additive	d_i	d_i^2
1	36.7	36.2	0.5	0.25
2	35.8	35.7	0.1	0.01
3	31.9	32.3	-0.4	0.16
4	29.3	29.6	-0.3	0.09
5	28.4	28.1	0.3	0.09
6	25.7	25.8	-0.1	0.01
7	24.2	23.9	0.3	0.09
8	22.6	22.0	0.6	0.36
9	21.9	21.5	0.4	0.16
10	20.3	20.0	0.3	0.09

Example 2: Paired Difference (c)

- The average of the case wise differences is computed as

$$\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{n}$$

$$\bar{d} = \frac{0.05 + 0.1 - 0.4 + \ldots + 0.30}{10} = 0.17$$

- Also, using last column, $\sum d_i^2 = (0.25 + 0.01 + 0.16 + \ldots + 0.09) = 1.31$

Example 2: Paired Difference (d)

Sample standard deviation of the case-wise differences:

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2 - n\bar{d}^2}{n-1}}$$

We know the following:

- The sample size n which is 10.
- The average of the case-wise differences. $\bar{d} = 0.17$
- $\sum d_i^2 = 1.31$

Example 2: Paired Difference (e)

Sample standard deviation of the case-wise differences://

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2 - n\bar{d}^2}{n-1}}$$

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{1.31 - 10(0.17)^2}{9}} = 0.337$$

The standard error:

$$S.E.(\bar{d}) = s_d/\sqrt{n} = \frac{0.0337}{3.16} = 0.107$$

Example 2: Paired Difference (f)

Null and Alternative Hypotheses:

- That is, the null hypothesis is:
  $H_0 : \mu_d = 0$ Additive makes no difference to performance
  $H_1 : \mu_d \neq 0$ Additive makes a significant difference to performance

Test Statistic:

- TS = 0.17 / 0.107 = 1.59

Example 2: Paired Difference (g)

Critical value:

- $\alpha = 0.05, k = 2$
- small sample , so $df = n - 1 = 9$
- As with an earlier example, CV is computed as follows
  `qt(0.975,df=9) =2.262`

Decision Rule:
Is $|TS| > CV$? No, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.