Infinite lists

An ordinal is either 0 or an infinite list of ordinals written right to left, which is all 0 after some point  $\gamma = (\dots, 0, 0, \gamma_n, \dots, \gamma_0)$ , so  $\gamma_k = 0$  for all k > nFor all i (including n and larger),  $\gamma_i < (\dots, \gamma_{i+3}, \gamma_{i+2}, \gamma_{i+1} + 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ 

## Finite lists

Leading 0s may be removed from infinite list and length of remaining list put in front:  $(n: \gamma_{n-1}, \ldots, \gamma_0)$ Requires construction of naturals first, unlike infinite lists

Still lexicographic since lengths are compared first

No need for base case since list may be empty: could set (0:) = 0, (1:(0:)) = (1:0) = 1, (1:1) = 2, etc. This would offset naturals by 1 from infinite list representation, but otherwise identical

## Ordinal-indexed lists

```
"Redundant" finite lists: place index of each element before it: (n:\gamma_n,\ldots,\gamma_0) \to (n:\gamma_n,\ldots,0:\gamma_0) "Sparse" finite lists: remove all 0 entries from list (along with their indices), turn all entries \alpha \to -1 + \alpha to fill gap: (2:1,1:0,0:\omega) \to (2:0,0:\omega) Ordinal-indexed lists: allow indices to be ordinals themselves: (\omega:0) = \sup(\{(0:0),(1:0),(2:0),\ldots\}) No longer requires naturals to be constructed first
```

## Formal definition

Ordinal is a finite list of pairs  $(\beta_n : \gamma_n, \beta_{n-1} : \gamma_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_0 : \gamma_0)$ , ordered lexicographically Indices are strictly decreasing:  $\beta_n > \beta_{n-1} > \dots > \beta_0$ For all i, if i < n and  $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i + 1$ , then  $\gamma_i < (\beta_n : \gamma_n, \dots, \beta_{i+1} : \gamma_{i+1} + 1)$ Otherwise,  $\gamma_i < (\beta_n : \gamma_n, \dots, \beta_{i+1} : \gamma_{i+1}, \beta_i + 1 : 0)$ , specifically  $(\beta_n + 1 : 0)$  if i = n

In general:

$$() = 0$$

$$(0:n) = 1 + n$$

$$(1:\gamma) = \varphi_0(1+\gamma)$$

$$(2+\beta:\gamma) = \varphi_{1+\beta}(\gamma)$$

$$(\beta_n:\gamma_n,\dots,\beta_1:\gamma_1,0:\gamma_0) = (\beta_n:\gamma_n,\dots,\beta_1:\gamma_1) + 1 + \gamma_0$$

$$(\beta_n:\gamma_n,\dots,\beta_1:\gamma_1,1+\beta_0:\gamma_0) = \varphi_{\beta_0}((\beta_n:\gamma_n,\dots,\beta_1:\gamma_1) + 1 + \gamma_0)$$

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} (0:0) &= 1 \\ (0:1) &= 2 \\ (1:0) &= \omega \\ (1:0,0:\gamma) &= \omega + 1 + \gamma, & \gamma < (1:1) \\ (1:1) &= \omega^2 \\ (1:\gamma_1,0:\gamma_0) &= \omega^{1+\gamma_1} + 1 + \gamma_0, & \gamma_1 < (2:0), \gamma_0 < (1:\gamma_1+1) \\ (2:0) &= \varepsilon_0 \\ (2:0,1:\gamma_1) &= \varepsilon_0 \omega^{1+\gamma_1} & \gamma_1 < (2:1) \\ (2:0,1:\gamma_1,0:\gamma_0) &= \varepsilon_0 \omega^{1+\gamma_1} + 1 + \gamma_0 & \gamma_1 < (2:1), \gamma_0 < (2:0,1:\gamma_1+1) \\ (\omega:0) &= \varphi_\omega(0) \end{aligned}$$

The notation goes up to  $\Gamma_0$ , and as seen above, it is easily converted to two-argument Veblen normal form. However, it has the advantage of lexicographic comparison.

## Further extensions

Allow colons to separate more entries than pairs

Veblen functions discard initial 0 arguments, but we want the restrictions on values to be only from above As such,  $(0:0:0) = \Gamma_0$ 

Lengths of lists within list representing a given ordinal should be in non-strictly decreasing order Should also allow 1- and 0-entry colon-separated lists

$$1+\beta:\gamma\rightarrow\beta:\gamma,\,0:1+\gamma\rightarrow\gamma,\,0:0\rightarrow$$