Infinite lists

An ordinal is either 0 or an infinite list of ordinals written right to left, which is all 0 after some point $\gamma = (\dots, 0, 0, \gamma_n, \dots, \gamma_0)$, so $\gamma_k = 0$ for all k > nFor all i (including n and larger), $\gamma_i < (\dots, \gamma_{i+3}, \gamma_{i+2}, \gamma_{i+1} + 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ Should match the behavior of finitary Veblen functions while retaining lexicographical order

 $(\ldots,0)=1,\,(\ldots,0,1)=2,\,(\ldots,0,1,0)=\omega$

Finite lists

Leading 0s may be removed from infinite list and length of remaining list put in front: $(n: \gamma_{n-1}, \dots, \gamma_0)$ Requires construction of naturals first, unlike infinite lists

Still lexicographic since lengths are compared first

No need for base case since list may be empty: could set (0:) = 0, (1:(0:)) = (1:0) = 1, (1:1) = 2, etc. This would offset naturals by 1 from infinite list representation, but otherwise identical

Ordinal-indexed lists

"Redundant" finite lists: place index of each element before it: $(n:\gamma_n,\ldots,\gamma_0) \to (n:\gamma_n,\ldots,0:\gamma_0)$ "Sparse" finite lists: remove all 0 entries from list (along with their indices), turn all entries $\alpha \to -1 + \alpha$ to fill gap: $(2:1,1:0,0:\omega) \to (2:0,0:\omega)$ Ordinal-indexed lists: allow indices to be ordinals themselves: $(\omega:0) = \sup(\{(0:0),(1:0),(2:0),\ldots\})$ No longer requires naturals to be constructed first

Formal definition

Ordinal is a finite list of pairs $(\beta_n: \gamma_n, \beta_{n-1}: \gamma_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_0: \gamma_0)$, ordered lexicographically Indices are strictly decreasing: $\beta_n > \beta_{n-1} > \dots > \beta_0$ For all i, if i < n and $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i + 1$, then $\gamma_i < (\beta_n: \gamma_n, \dots, \beta_{i+1}: \gamma_{i+1} + 1)$ Otherwise, $\gamma_i < (\beta_n: \gamma_n, \dots, \beta_{i+1}: \gamma_{i+1}, \beta_i + 1: 0)$

Examples

$$\begin{array}{c} () = 0 \\ (0:0) = 1 \\ (0:1) = 2 \\ (0:n) = 1+n, & n < (1:0) \\ (1:0) = \omega \\ (1:0,0:\gamma) = \omega + (1+\gamma), & \gamma < (1:1) \\ (1:1) = \omega^2 \\ (1:\gamma) = \omega^{1+\gamma}, & \gamma < (2:0) \\ (1:\gamma_1,0:\gamma_0) = \omega^{1+\gamma_1} + (1+\gamma_0), & \gamma_1 < (2:0), \gamma_0 < (1:\gamma_1+1) = \omega^{1+\gamma_1+1} \\ (2:0) = \varepsilon_0 \\ (2:0,1:\gamma_1) = \varepsilon_0 \omega^{1+\gamma_1} & \gamma_1 < (2:1) \\ (2:0,1:\gamma_1,0:\gamma_0) = \varepsilon_0 \omega^{1+\gamma_1} + (1+\gamma_0) & \gamma_1 < (2:1), \gamma_0 < (2:0,1:\gamma_1+1) = \varepsilon_0 \omega^{1+\gamma_1+1} \\ (3:0) = \zeta_0 \\ (\beta:\gamma) = \varphi_{-1+\beta}(\gamma) & \beta \geq 2 \end{array}$$

Relation to Veblen functions

The notation goes up to $\Gamma_0 = \varphi(1,0,0)$, since each lower- β term essentially applies another two-variable Veblen function, except for the last, which is added to the result. It is closely related to Veblen normal form, but restricted to two arguments and preserving lexicographical order.