

# Game Notes

Aresh Pourkavoos

May 11, 2022

Position within square stored as an odd signed integer in half-pixels, e.g.

101	011	001	011
-3	-1	1	3

Requires entities to have odd pixel dims to be centered

Edge/vertex states are not possible

Updating position requires doubling velocity first

Store position as  $x$  and  $y$  seen on screen or relative to a square's axes?

Screen position:

- Graphics and movement are easier
- Collision would be most convenient by loading the current square rotated

Relative position:

- Collision is easier, just check against stored square
- Need to ensure that rendering is done correctly

View splitting is decided by determinant sign: will always give edge to cell further (counter?)clockwise

Vertices/edges are on the border between pixels (even position): do not require a special case



Shaded pixel: camera

Lines: region boundaries

Inlined pixels: edge cases (given to clockwise region in this case)

Going through a singularity and back is a holonomy loop

Entity gravity is ambiguous when not in the same square as the player:

- Freeze when player leaves the square: unintuitive, esp for flat regions
- Based on last player interaction: better, but initial direction must be set: could be none

Have “naked” singularities or cover them up?

Naked is easier to implement if accounted for at the cost of real physics:

an object of finite size can’t actually pass through one

Not checking self-collisions would obviate this but may result in graphical glitches

Covering singularities would prevent glitches and restore accuracy but might hurt level design

Larger squares → fewer singularities → less harm in covering them

Also, must be regions accessible in only one orientation: side longer than 2x jump height

However, smaller squares → more convenient to travel/execute holonomy

Leaning towards covering, especially since multi- entity collisions near them can get hairy

Collisions near edges are inevitable, but behavior near edges is well-defined

Need to distinguish between singularities and non-singular vertices: 4 squares meeting at a point

To resolve collisions at edges/non-singular vertices, may need “intermediate” frame of reference

If these are necessary, may not need to bother with half-pixel positions: allow entities centered on edge/vertex

But if this is the case, need to ensure that behavior is the same regardless of if in one face or the other

Render method (pixel shader):

Build a tree of regions, starting from current square

Region info: left and right boundary points, square rendered, position, and orientation of square

Region is split if strictly contains singularity (i.e. not on edge)

4 quadrants constructed separately, contains current square 4x and squares in same row/col 2x

Build tree using an array/queue for BFS

Regions in the same position are contiguous in the queue

Store index of first region in array

Given a pixel, find position, access array for queue index, iterate through queue until pixel is in bounds

Apply orientation of region to find color

“Raycasting” each pixel is slower than this, but the function will be useful later for collision resolution

Art style between pixel and vector: each “pixel” is not a solid color but one of a few predefined shapes, e.g. solid color, 2 colors split diagonally, split by circular arc

## Physics

Single entity moving in static background: all collisions are with axis-aligned surfaces

Collision multiplies perpendicular velocity by integer  $\leq 0$ , keeps parallel velocity constant

Intersection point could be any rational number, so can’t store intermediate positions

Instead, reflect both beginning and end points across plane of collision (adjusted for size of player), recursively/iteratively resolve collision with “mask,” i.e. ignoring portion of trajectory “before” hitting wall

Collision state consists of beginning/end points and rectangle to check

Initialized to previous frame position and rectangle encompassing path

E.g. moving up+right: rectangle starts at lower left corner of starting position, extends to top right of ending position

Collision with vertical surface changes only x-coordinates of beginning, end, and quadrant

But this can cause bugs with large players and irregular walls: maybe rational coords are the easiest

45 degree slopes are possible as well, as long as coefficient of restitution (bounciness) is odd

Doesn’t work with pixel buffer for collisions: need to add “static” entity

## Game loop

Build region tree

Take player input

Update velocity accordingly (also gravity here?)  
Resolve collisions  
Render

#### Types of entities

Environmental: either stationary or on a fixed path (e.g. bouncy walls, moving platforms)  
Collision resolution should not affect their velocities under any(?) circumstances  
Environmental entities may intersect each other  
Interactive: can be moved by the player (e.g. boxes)  
Player  
All entities are 135 degree octagons  
8 numbers representing taxicab distance from center to sides in half-pixels  
Ex:  $3 \times 5$  rectangle has horizontal distances 3, vertical 5, diagonal 8  
Sides are marked as collidable or not  
Collisions are only checked between opposing sides of entities: makes one-way platforms possible  
Simplest case: only need to check player vs. environment  
Create “virtual” entities in adjacent faces to allow for collisions across boundaries

#### How to handle sub-pixel movement for fluidity?

Store sub-pixel position: more “correct,” but may not look good  
Also would require additional programming for collisions to avoid resetting sub-pixel position  
Round sub-pixel velocity before adding: more consistent jumps between frames, position is always an integer

#### Simple collision: 2 entities (A, B), same tile

Any opposite sides (e.g. A left, B right) pointing towards each other both before and after: no collision