

# DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography

## Lecture 4

Douglas Wikström  
KTH Royal Institute of Technology  
dog@kth.se

March 24, 2020

# Last Lecture: Linear Cryptanalysis Summary

1. Find linear approximation of S-Boxes.
2. Compute bias of each approximation.
3. Find linear trails.
4. Compute bias of linear trails.
5. Compute data and time complexity.
6. Estimate key bits from many plaintext-ciphertexts pairs.

Linear cryptanalysis is a **known plaintext attack**.

# Ideal Block Cipher

# Negligible Functions

**Definition.** A function  $\epsilon(n)$  is negligible if for every constant  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $n_0$ , such that

$$\epsilon(n) < \frac{1}{n^c}$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Motivation.** Events happening with negligible probability can not be exploited by polynomial time algorithms! (they “never” happen)

# Negligible Functions

**Definition.** A function  $\epsilon(n)$  is negligible if for every constant  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $n_0$ , such that

$$\epsilon(n) < \frac{1}{n^c}$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Motivation.** Events happening with negligible probability can not be exploited by polynomial time algorithms! (they “never” happen)

**Caveat!** Theoretic notion. Interpret with care in practice.

# Pseudo-Random Function

**“Definition”.** A function is pseudo-random if no efficient adversary can distinguish between the function and a random function.

# Pseudo-Random Function

**“Definition”.** A function is pseudo-random if no efficient adversary can distinguish between the function and a random function.

**Definition.** A family of functions  $F : \{0, 1\}^k \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  is pseudo-random if for all polynomial time oracle adversaries  $A$

$$\left| \Pr_K \left[ A^{F_K(\cdot)} = 1 \right] - \Pr_{R: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n} \left[ A^{R(\cdot)} = 1 \right] \right|$$

is negligible.

# Pseudo-Random Permutation

**“Definition”**. A permutation and its inverse is pseudo-random if no efficient adversary can distinguish between the permutation and its inverse, and a random permutation and its inverse.



# Pseudo-Random Permutation

**“Definition”.** A permutation and its inverse is pseudo-random if no efficient adversary can distinguish between the permutation and its inverse, and a random permutation and its inverse.

**Definition.** A family of permutations  $P : \{0, 1\}^k \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  are pseudo-random if for all polynomial time oracle adversaries  $A$

$$\left| \Pr_K \left[ A^{P_K(\cdot), P_K^{-1}(\cdot)} = 1 \right] - \Pr_{\Pi \in \mathcal{S}_{2^n}} \left[ A^{\Pi(\cdot), \Pi^{-1}(\cdot)} = 1 \right] \right|$$

is negligible, where  $\mathcal{S}_{2^n}$  is the set of permutations of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ .

# Idealized Four-Round Feistel Network

**Definition.** Feistel round (H for “Horst Feistel”).

$$H_{F_K}(L, R) = (R, L \oplus F(R, K))$$

# Idealized Four-Round Feistel Network

**Definition.** Feistel round (H for “Horst Feistel”).

$$H_{F_K}(L, R) = (R, L \oplus F(R, K))$$

**Theorem.** (Luby and Rackoff) If  $F$  is a pseudo-random family of functions, then

$$H_{F_{k_1}, F_{k_2}, F_{k_3}, F_{k_4}}(x) = H_{F_{k_4}}(H_{F_{k_3}}(H_{F_{k_2}}(H_{F_{k_1}}(x))))$$

(and its inverse) is a pseudo-random family of permutations.

# Idealized Four-Round Feistel Network

**Definition.** Feistel round (H for “Horst Feistel”).

$$H_{F_K}(L, R) = (R, L \oplus F(R, K))$$

**Theorem.** (Luby and Rackoff) If  $F$  is a pseudo-random family of functions, then

$$H_{F_{k_1}, F_{k_2}, F_{k_3}, F_{k_4}}(x) = H_{F_{k_4}}(H_{F_{k_3}}(H_{F_{k_2}}(H_{F_{k_1}}(x))))$$

(and its inverse) is a pseudo-random family of permutations.

Why do we need four rounds?

# Perfect Secrecy

When is a cipher perfectly secure?

When is a cipher perfectly secure?

How should we formalize this?

**Definition.** A cryptosystem has perfect secrecy if guessing the plaintext is as hard to do given the ciphertext as it is without it.



**Definition.** A cryptosystem has perfect secrecy if guessing the plaintext is as hard to do given the ciphertext as it is without it.

**Definition.** A cryptosystem has perfect secrecy if

$$\Pr[M = m | C = c] = \Pr[M = m]$$

for every  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $M$  and  $C$  are random variables taking values over  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Game Based Definition.**  $\text{Exp}_A^b$ , where  $A$  is a strategy:

1.  $k \leftarrow_R \mathcal{K}$
2.  $(m_0, m_1) \leftarrow A$
3.  $c = E_k(m_b)$
4.  $d \leftarrow A(c)$ , with  $d \in \{0, 1\}$
5. Output  $d$ .

**Definition.** A cryptosystem has perfect secrecy if for every **computationally unbounded** strategy  $A$ ,

$$\Pr [\text{Exp}_A^0 = 1] = \Pr [\text{Exp}_A^1 = 1] \quad .$$

## One-Time Pad (OTP).

- ▶ **Key.** Random tuple  $k = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .
- ▶ **Encrypt.** Plaintext  $m = (m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  gives ciphertext  $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$ , where  $c_i = m_i \oplus b_i$ .
- ▶ **Decrypt.** Ciphertext  $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  gives plaintext  $m = (m_0, \dots, m_{n-1})$ , where  $m_i = c_i \oplus b_i$ .

# Bayes' Theorem

**Theorem.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are events and  $\Pr[B] > 0$ , then

$$\Pr[A|B] = \frac{\Pr[A] \Pr[B|A]}{\Pr[B]}$$

## Terminology:

$\Pr[A]$  – prior probability of  $A$

$\Pr[B]$  – prior probability of  $B$

$\Pr[A|B]$  – posterior probability of  $A$  given  $B$

$\Pr[B|A]$  – posterior probability of  $B$  given  $A$

# One-Time Pad Has Perfect Secrecy

- ▶ **Probabilistic Argument.** Bayes implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr[M = m | C = c] &= \frac{\Pr[M = m] \Pr[C = c | M = m]}{\Pr[C = c]} \\ &= \Pr[M = m] \frac{2^{-n}}{2^{-n}} \\ &= \Pr[M = m] \text{ .}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Simulation Argument.** The ciphertext is uniformly and independently distributed from the plaintext. We can **simulate** it on our own!

**Theorem.** “For every cipher with perfect secrecy, the key requires at least as much space to represent as the plaintext.”

**Dangerous in practice to rely on no reuse of, e.g., file containing randomness!**

# Universal Hash Functions

# Universal Hash Function

**Definition.** An ensemble  $f = \{f_\alpha\}$  of hash functions  $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  is (strongly) 2-universal if for every  $x, x' \in X$  and  $y, y' \in Y$  with  $x \neq x'$  and a random  $\alpha$

$$\Pr[f_\alpha(x) = y \wedge f_\alpha(x') = y'] = \frac{1}{|Y|^2} .$$



# Universal Hash Function

**Definition.** An ensemble  $f = \{f_\alpha\}$  of hash functions  $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  is (strongly) 2-universal if for every  $x, x' \in X$  and  $y, y' \in Y$  with  $x \neq x'$  and a random  $\alpha$

$$\Pr[f_\alpha(x) = y \wedge f_\alpha(x') = y'] = \frac{1}{|Y|^2} .$$

I.e., for any fixed  $x' \neq x$ , the outputs  $f_\alpha(x)$  and  $f_\alpha(x')$  are uniformly and independently distributed when  $\alpha$  is chosen randomly.

In particular  $x$  and  $x'$  are both mapped to the same value with probability  $1/|Y|$ .

# Example

**Example.** The function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  for prime  $p$  defined by

$$f(z) = az + b \bmod p$$

is strongly 2-universal.

**Proof.** Let  $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $x \neq x'$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ x' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix}$$

has a unique solution. Random  $(a, b)$  satisfies this solution with probability  $\frac{1}{p^2}$ .

# Universal Hash Function

Universal hash functions are **not** one-way or collision resistant!

# Hash Functions

# Hash Function

A hash function maps arbitrarily long bit strings into bit strings of fixed length.

The output of a hash function should be “unpredictable”.

# Wish List

- ▶ Finding a pre-image of an output should be hard.
- ▶ Finding two inputs giving the same output should be hard.
- ▶ The output of the function should be “random”.

etc

# Ensembles of Functions (1/3)

- ▶ Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a polynomial time computable function.

# Ensembles of Functions (1/3)

- ▶ Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a polynomial time computable function.
- ▶ We can derive an ensemble  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with

$$f_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

by setting  $f_n(x) = f(x)$ .



# Ensembles of Functions (1/3)

- ▶ Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a polynomial time computable function.
- ▶ We can derive an ensemble  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with

$$f_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

by setting  $f_n(x) = f(x)$ .

- ▶ Note that we may recover  $f$  from the ensemble by  $f(x) = f_{|x|}(x)$ .

# Ensembles of Functions (1/3)

- ▶ Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a polynomial time computable function.
- ▶ We can derive an ensemble  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with

$$f_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

by setting  $f_n(x) = f(x)$ .

- ▶ Note that we may recover  $f$  from the ensemble by  $f(x) = f_{|x|}(x)$ .
- ▶ When convenient we give definitions for a function, but it can be turned into a definition for an ensemble.

## Ensembles of Functions (2/3)

- ▶ Consider  $F = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $f_n$  is itself an ensemble  $\{f_{n,\alpha_n}\}_{\alpha_n \in \{0,1\}^n}$ , with

$$f_{n,\alpha_n} : \{0,1\}^{l(n)} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{l'(n)}$$

for some length polynomials  $l(n)$  and  $l'(n)$ .

## Ensembles of Functions (2/3)

- ▶ Consider  $F = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $f_n$  is itself an ensemble  $\{f_{n,\alpha_n}\}_{\alpha_n \in \{0,1\}^n}$ , with

$$f_{n,\alpha_n} : \{0,1\}^{l(n)} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{l'(n)}$$

for some length polynomials  $l(n)$  and  $l'(n)$ .

- ▶ Here  $n$  is the security parameter and  $\alpha_n$  is a “key” that is chosen randomly.

## Ensembles of Functions (2/3)

- ▶ Consider  $F = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $f_n$  is itself an ensemble  $\{f_{n,\alpha_n}\}_{\alpha_n \in \{0,1\}^n}$ , with

$$f_{n,\alpha_n} : \{0,1\}^{l(n)} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{l'(n)}$$

for some length polynomials  $l(n)$  and  $l'(n)$ .

- ▶ Here  $n$  is the security parameter and  $\alpha_n$  is a “key” that is chosen randomly.
- ▶ We may also view  $F$  as an ensemble  $\{f_\alpha\}$ , where  $f_\alpha = \{f_{n,\alpha_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

These conventions allow us to talk about what in everyday language is a “function”  $f$  in several convenient ways.

Now you can forget that and  
assume that everything works!

**Definition.** A function  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is said to be **one-way**<sup>1</sup> if for every polynomial time algorithm  $A$  and a random  $x$

$$\Pr[A(f(x)) = x' \wedge f(x') = f(x)] < \epsilon(n)$$

for a negligible function  $\epsilon$ .

Normally  $f$  is computable in polynomial time in its input size.

---

<sup>1</sup>“Enkelriktad” på svenska **inte** “enväg”.