

STA 310: Homework 1

Matías Pinto

Instructions

- Write all narrative using full sentences. Write all interpretations and conclusions in the context of the data.
- Be sure all analysis code is displayed in the rendered pdf.
- If you are fitting a model, display the model output in a neatly formatted table. (The `tidy` and `kable` functions can help!)
- If you are creating a plot, use clear and informative labels and titles.
- Render and back up your work regularly, such as using Github.
- When you're done, we should be able to render the final version of the Rmd document to fully reproduce your pdf.
- Upload your pdf to Gradescope. Upload your Rmd, pdf (and any data) to Canvas.

Exercises

Exercises 1 - 4 are adapted from exercises in Section 1.8 of @roback2021beyond.

Exercise 1

Consider the following scenario:

Researchers record the number of cricket chirps per minute and temperature during that time. They use linear regression to investigate whether the number of chirps varies with temperature.

- a. Identify the response and predictor variable.

Response variable: Number of chirps per minute Predictor variable: Temperature.

- b. Write the complete specification of the statistical model.

$$\text{Chirps}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{temperature}_i + \epsilon$$

- c. Write the assumptions for linear regression in the context of the problem.

1. Linearity: The mean of the number of chirps per minute has a linear relationship with the mean temperature.
2. Independence: The number of chirps recorded for one specific time period does not depend on the number of chirps recorded for another time period.
3. Normality: The number of chirps per minute follows a normal distribution at each level of temperature.
4. Equal variance: Variance of the number of chirps per minute is the same at all temperatures.

Exercise 2

Consider the following scenario:

A randomized clinical trial investigated postnatal depression and the use of an estrogen patch. Patients were randomly assigned to either use the patch or not. Depression scores were recorded on 6 different visits.

- a. Identify the response and predictor variables.

Response variable: Depression scores. Predictor variable: Use of estrogen patch (categorical).

- b. Identify which model assumption(s) are violated. Briefly explain your choice.

Independence is violated, because each patient has 6 depression scores recorded (for each visit), which means possible repeated values on the same patient, producing correlation and therefore data is not independent.

Exercise 3

Use the Kentucky Derby case study in Chapter 1 of *Beyond Multiple Linear Regression*.

- a. Consider Equation (1.3) in Section 1.6.3. Show why we have to be sure to say “holding year constant”, “after adjusting for year”, or an equivalent statement, when interpreting β_2 .

In this equation, β_2 represent the **partial** change of Y when **Fast** increases by one unit, for horses within the same **Year**. If we do not keep the **Year** value constant (or fixed), then the interpretation of β_2 would confound the effect of **Year** with the effect of **Fast**.

- b. Briefly explain why there is no error (random variation) term ϵ_i in Equation (1.4) in Section 1.6.6?

Equation 1.4 is not the statistical model, therefore there is no error term as it represents the equation produced after fitting the LLSR. It shows the expected value of Y_i , not the full model for the observed response variable.

Exercise 4

The data set `kingCountyHouses.csv` in the `data` folder contains data on over 20,000 houses sold in King County, Washington (@kingcounty).

We will use the following variables:

- `price` = selling price of the house
- `sqft` = interior square footage

See Section 1.8 of *Beyond Multiple Linear Regression* for the full list of variables.

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
##  
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   filter, lag
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##   intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```
Houses <- read.csv("../data/kingCountyHouses.csv")
```

- a. Fit a linear regression model with `price` as the response variable and `sqft` as the predictor variable (Model 1). Interpret the slope coefficient in terms of the expected change in price when `sqft` increases by 100.

```
model1 <- lm(price ~ sqft, data = Houses)
summary(model1)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = price ~ sqft, data = Houses)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1476062  -147486   -24043   106182  4362067
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -43580.743   4402.690  -9.899  <2e-16 ***
## sqft         280.624     1.936  144.920  <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 261500 on 21611 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.4929, Adjusted R-squared:  0.4928
## F-statistic: 2.1e+04 on 1 and 21611 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The model has the following equation

$$\widehat{\text{Price}} = -43,580 + 280.6 \times \widehat{\text{sqft}}$$

This means, an increase of one unit in `sqft` implies an increase in the expected house price by \$280.62 on average. Now, by multiplying the square foot by 100, we get $280 \times 280.624 = 28,062.4$. Therefore, the `price` of a house is expected to increase by \$28,062 for each additional 100 square feet.

- b. Fit Model 2, where `logprice` (the natural log of price) is now the response variable and `sqft` is still the predictor variable. How is the `logprice` expected to change when `sqft` increases by 100?

```
Houses <- Houses |>
  mutate(logprice = log(price))

model2 <- lm(logprice ~ sqft, data = Houses)
summary(model2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = logprice ~ sqft, data = Houses)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -2.97781 -0.28543  0.01472  0.26070  1.27628
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.222e+01  6.374e-03 1916.9   <2e-16 ***
## sqft        3.987e-04  2.803e-06 142.2   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.3785 on 21611 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.4835, Adjusted R-squared:  0.4835
## F-statistic: 2.023e+04 on 1 and 21611 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The model has the following equation

$$\widehat{\log \text{price}} = -12.2 + 0.000399 \times \widehat{\text{sqft}}$$

Here the slope is $\beta_1 = 0.000399$, so for a 100-sqft increase we would get $0.000399 \times 100 = 0.0399$. This means that when **sqft** increases by 100, then the expected log price increases by 0.0399.

- c. Recall that $\log(a) - \log(b) = \log(\frac{a}{b})$. Use this to derive how the **price** is expected to change when **sqft** increases by 100 based on Model 2.

Let x and $x + 100$ be two different **sqft** measurements. Then, according to our model, we will have that

$$\widehat{\log \text{price}}_1 = -12.2 + 0.000399 \times x \tag{1}$$

$$\widehat{\log \text{price}}_2 = -12.2 + 0.000399 \times (x + 100) \tag{2}$$

By doing (2) - (1), we'll get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\log(\text{price}_2)} - \widehat{\log(\text{price}_1)} &= -12.2 + 0.000399(x + 100) - (-12.2 + 0.000399x) \\ \log\left(\frac{\widehat{\text{price}_2}}{\widehat{\text{price}_1}}\right) &= 0.000399(x + 100) - 0.000399x \\ \log\left(\frac{\widehat{\text{price}_2}}{\widehat{\text{price}_1}}\right) &= 0.000399 \times 100 = 0.0399 \\ \frac{\widehat{\text{price}_2}}{\widehat{\text{price}_1}} &= e^{0.0399} \approx 1.041 \end{aligned}$$

With this, we can say that an increase in 100 **sqft** produces an increase in house price of 4.1% on average.

- d. Fit Model 3, where **price** and **logsqft** (the natural log of sqft) are the response and predictor variables, respectively. How does the price expected to change when sqft increases by 10%? *As a hint, this is the same as multiplying sqft by 1.10.*

[Click here for notes on interpreting model effects for log-transformed response and/or predictor variables.](#)

```
Houses <- Houses |>
  mutate(logsqft = log(sqft))

model3 <- lm(price ~ logsqft, data = Houses)
summary(model3)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = price ~ logsqft, data = Houses)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -606252 -170067  -33139   106342  6183772
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -3451377      35169  -98.14  <2e-16 ***
## logsqft      528648       4651   113.67  <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 290400 on 21611 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.3742, Adjusted R-squared:  0.3742
## F-statistic: 1.292e+04 on 1 and 21611 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The model has the following equation

$$\widehat{\text{price}} = -3,451,377 + 528,648 \times \widehat{\log \text{sqft}}$$

Then, an increase in 10% for `sqft` means we now get $1.10 \times \text{sqft}$, therefore, our equations become

$$\text{price}_1 = -3,451,377 + 528,648 \times \log \text{sqft} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{price}_2 = -3,451,377 + 528,648 \times \log 1.1\text{sqft} \quad (4)$$

Calculating (4) - (3) gives us

$$\text{price}_2 - \text{price}_1 = -3,451,377 + 528,648 \times \log 1.1\text{sqft} - (-3,451,377 + 528,648 \times \log \text{sqft}) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{price}_2 - \text{price}_1 = 528,648 \times (\log 1.1\text{sqft} - \log \text{sqft}) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{price}_2 - \text{price}_1 = 528,648 \times \log \left(\frac{1.1\text{sqft}}{\text{sqft}} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{price}_2 - \text{price}_1 = 528,648 \times \log 1.10 \approx 50,400 \quad (8)$$

Therefore, a 10% increase in `logsqft` produces an increase in house price of approximately 50,400 on average.

Exercise 5

The goal of this analysis is to use characteristics of 593 colleges and universities in the United States to understand variability in the early career pay, defined as the median salary for alumni with 0 - 5 years of experience. The data was obtained from TidyTuesday College tuition, diversity, and pay, and was originally collected from the PayScale College Salary Report.

The data set is located in `college-data.csv` in the `data` folder. We will focus on the following variables:

variable	class	description
name	character	Name of school
state_name	character	state name
type	character	Public or private
early_career_pay	double	Median salary for alumni with 0 - 5 years experience (in US dollars)
stem_percent	double	Percent of degrees awarded in science, technology, engineering, or math subjects
out_of_state_total	double	Total cost for in-state residents in USD (sum of room & board + out of state tuition)

- Visualize the distribution of the response variable `early_career_pay`. Write 1 - 2 observations from the plot.
- Visualize the relationship between (i) `early_career_pay` and `type` and (ii) `early_career_pay` and `stem_percent`. Write an observation from each plot.
- Below is the specification of the statistical model for this analysis. Fit the model and neatly display the results using 3 digits. Display the 95% confidence interval for the coefficients.

$$early_career_pay_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 out_of_state_total_i + \beta_2 type \quad (9)$$

$$+ \beta_3 stem_percent_i + \beta_4 type * stem_percent_i \quad (10)$$

$$+ \epsilon_i, \quad \text{where } \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (11)$$

- How many degrees of freedom are there in the estimate of the regression standard error σ ?
- What is the 95% confidence interval for the amount in which the intercept for public institutions differs from private institutions?

Exercise 6

Use the analysis from the previous exercise to write a paragraph (~ 4 - 5 sentences) describing the differences in early career pay based on the institution characteristics. *The summary should be consistent with the results from the previous exercise, comprehensive, answers the primary analysis question, and tells a cohesive story (e.g., a list of interpretations will not receive full credit).*

Grading

Total	50
Ex 1	8

Total	50
Ex 2	4
Ex 3	7
Ex 4	12
Ex 5	12
Ex 6	4
Workflow & formatting	3

The “Workflow & formatting” grade is to based on the organization of the assignment write up along with the reproducible workflow. This includes having an organized write up with neat and readable headers, code, and narrative, including properly rendered mathematical notation. It also includes having a reproducible Rmd/Quarto document that can be rendered to reproduce the submitted PDF.