## Assignment 5 : Naive Bayes

In this exercise you will be writing a spam detector with Naive Bayes. Download emails.zip below. This dataset consists of four sets of data: nonspam-test, spam-test, nonspam-train, and spam-train. You should use the *training emails* to build a Naive Bayes model, and the *testing emails* to test the accuracy of your model. What percentage of emails in nonspam-test does your model predict to be non-spam, and what percentage of emails in spam-test does your model predict to be spam? (these two numbers tell the accuracy of your model).

- 1. Represent each email by a *set* of unique words (use *set* in python).
- 2. Exclude <u>default English stop words</u> from each email (If A and B are *python* sets you can exclude members of B from A by subtraction: A-B).
- 3. Create two dictionaries **nonspam\_counts** and **spam\_counts**. These two dictionaries keep counts of each word in spam and nonspam emails. Initially for any possible word in the training data do:

```
nonspam_counts[word] = alpha
spam_counts[word] = alpha
```

where alpha is a number very close to zero (you can initially set alpha to 0.001).

- 4. For each word in each email of the training data update the counts in **spam\_counts** and **nonspam\_counts**. (Note that since each email is considered a set, if a word happened a couple of times it is counted only once)
- 5. Define a function called *classify*. This function takes an email and classify the email as spam or no spam. To avoid dealing with underflow use *logs* and additions in place of multiplication. For example instead of a\*b use log(a)+log(b).

Note that

```
P(spam | email) = P(email | spam)*P(spam)/P(email)
```

therefore

```
log(P(spam|email) = log(P(email|spam)) + log(P(spam)) - log(P(email))
similarly
```

log(P(nonspam | email) = log(P(email | nonspam)) + log(P(nonspam)) - log(P(email))

On the other hand you should classify the email as spam if

P(spam | email) > P(nonspam | email)

or equivalently if

log(P(spam | email) > log(P(nonspam | email)

or equivalently if

log(P(email|spam)) + log(P(spam)) - log(P(email)) > log(P(email|nonspam)) +
log(P(nonspam)) - log(P(email))

or equivalently if

log(P(email|spam)) + log(P(spam)) > log(P(email|nonspam)) + log(P(nonspam))

- 6. Compute the accuracy of the model by calling classify on the test data (what percentage of your calls return the right answer).
- 7. Now change alpha (make it smaller), does the accuracy change? try it with a couple of different alphas, what is the best accuracy.

8 (**Optional**). Install and use <u>nltk</u> package for <u>lemmatization</u> and <u>stemming</u>. Lemmatization and stemming should improve your model's accuracy.

## Theoretical questions

1. Let X be a random variable for coin that comes up heads with probability  $\phi$ , i.e.  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi)$  or  $P(X=1) = \phi$ . Furthermore assume there is a prior on  $\phi$  that follows a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\phi \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ . We flip the coin n times and observe m heads and n-m tails. What is the posterior of X, i.e.  $P(\phi \mid X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ ? Assume that  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  all follow Bernoulli distributions and are iid.

2. Prove that if  $x \mid y=0 \sim N(\mu_0, \Sigma)$  and  $x \mid y=1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma)$  and  $y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi)$  then  $P(y=1 \mid x) = 1/1 + e^{\{-w, x\}}$  for a w.