# WSOA3028A - Assignment 1 - ASSESSMENT SHEET

- <u></u>	
Name	matipa maryty
Student Number	2344503
Website Link	
GitHub Repository Link	

#### Instructions:

Read the Assignment Instructions
Use Excel or Google Sheets to fill in this sheet, and save it in that format - NOT a pdf or word doc
Fill in all Green Blocks

## **Asignment Weighting:**

Theory	40
Creative	20
Technical	40
TOTAL:	100

### **Student Mark:**

Theory	70%
Creative	79%
Technical	76%
TOTAL:	75%

# **Theoretical Competence:**

In the Green blocks, fill in one word to rate engagement:
Unacceptable / Poor / Acceptable / Good / Very good / Excellent / Exceptional

Week 2 - Critical Writing		1
Information Synthesis (how well are you relating the readings and your own research)	Poor	
Reflection (are you showing critical engagement and bringing your own voice into the discussion)	Excellent	
Evidence of Own Research (have you done more? searched and read more widely?)	Poor	
Layout and Structure (Is it logically laid out? Is it readable? Is your referencing correct? Is all of this	Excellent	63,1.
Week 4 - Critical Writing		
Information Synthesis (how well are you relating the readings and your own research)	Excellent	
Reflection (are you showing critical engagement and bringing your own voice into the discussion)	Poor	] ,,
Evidence of Own Research (have you done more? searched and read more widely?)	Excellent	75
Layout and Structure (Is it logically laid out? Is it readable? Is your referencing correct? Is all of this	Excellent	
Week 6 - Critical Writing		
Information Synthesis (how well are you relating the readings and your own research)	Excellent	
Reflection (are you showing critical engagement and bringing your own voice into the discussion)	Poor	75
Evidence of Own Research (have you done more? searched and read more widely?)	Excellent	
Layout and Structure (Is it logically laid out? Is it readable? Is your referencing correct? Is all of this	Excellent	
Reflection on own Work		
Criticality (reflecting on theoretical, creative, and technical components in a critical way)	Excellent	
Depth of engagement	Poor	15
Understanding of Process (are you reflecting critically on the how, and not only the what)	poor	1 ''
Layout and Structure (Is it logically laid out? Is it readable? Is your referencing correct? Is all of this	Excellent	

### **Assessment**

Which words are there most?	Mark Range for that word
Unacceptable	0-30%
Poor	30-49%
Acceptable	50-60%
Good	60-69%
Very good	70-74%
Excellent	75-80%
Exceptional	80-100%

## Your Theory Mark:

Mark	72%
Comments  I think that in week 1, I did put a lot more of my opinions into Alan Turing's work than I did with the other readings, however I did not really do my own research/cite other sources. Whereas with week 4 and 6 I used multiple resources and combined them together in order to provide a really informed answer. However I feel that I did not add in enough of my own thoughts because I felt that the topic was challenging to reflect on as it seemed very fact based hence my use of multiple sources instead.	

## **Creative Competence:**

In the Green blocks, fill in one word to rate engagement:
Unacceptable / Poor / Acceptable / Good / Very good / Excellent / Exceptional

The website displays good UI and UX practices	Excellent
The wireframe is well designed, clear, and appropriatly annotated	Excellent
The style guide reflects on colour, layout, font, and reference material and says WHY	Very good

### **Assessment**

Which words are there most?	Mark Range for that word
Unacceptable	0-30%
Poor	30-49%
Acceptable	50-60%
Good	60-69%
Very good	70-74%
Excellent	75-80%
Exceptional	80-100%

## **Your Creative Mark:**

Mark		79%
Comments	I am really happy with the way my wireframes turned out and progressed to the actual pages. I think the colour scheme worked out well and the pixel art I added made the site more visually aesthetic. I also think the site is simply to use and easy to navigate around which creates a satisfying user experience.	

# **Technical Competence:**

In the Green blocks, fill in one word to rate engagement:
Unacceptable / Poor / Acceptable / Good / Very good / Excellent / Exceptional

Fundamentals:	
Semantic Markup	Excellent
Metadata tags	Excellent
Headers and Microformatting	Excellent
Structure of the website any use of hyperlinks	Good

### Assessment

Which words are there most?	Mark Range for that word
Unacceptable	0-30%
Poor	30-49%
Acceptable	50-60%
Good	60-69%
Very good	70-74%
Excellent	75-80%
Exceptional	80-100%

#### Your Technical Mark:

Mark		76%
Comments	I think the technical aspect is one of the strongest aspects of my website. I added semantic markup wherever I thought it worked and metadata tags on each page. I also tagged all my data and made sure all my links were working.	