ECON 4360: Empirical Finance

GMM for Linear Factor Models in Discount Factor Form

Sherry Forbes

University of Virginia

Empirics Lecture #08

What are we doing today?

• GMM for Linear Factor Models in Discount Factor Form

A linear discount model can be expressed as

$$p = E(mx)$$

where

$$m =$$

A GMM approach to estimating this model will use

If we have the model

$$E(p) = E(mx)$$

and

$$m = b'f$$

We can just write

$$E(p) =$$

for a vector of asset prices p and payoffs x that are $N \times 1$, a vector of factors f and parameters b that are $K \times 1$.

• If our model is

$$E(p) =$$

how would we implement GMM?

- To implement GMM, we need to pick a set of moments.
- What do we choose?
 - Easy choice: The pricing errors.

$$g_T(b) =$$

• The GMM estimate solves

 \min_{b}

• The FOC of this problem is

$$= 0$$

where

$$d' = \frac{\partial g_T'(b)}{\partial b} =$$

• Note that d' is just the

So the moment condition is

$$= 0$$

- For our first-stage estimates, we just use
- But for the second-state estimates, we can use

- Recall, that when we were using GMM in a previous exercise to estimate the parameters β and γ in the consumption-based asset-pricing model, we solved for the estimated parameters
- In this model, we can solve for the estimates
 - For out first-stage estimates,

$$d'E_{T}(xf'b-p) = 0$$

$$d'E_{T}(xf'b) = d'E_{T}(p)$$

$$E_{T}(fx')E_{T}(xf'b) = d'E_{T}(p)$$

$$\widehat{b_{1}} = (d'd)^{-1}d'E_{T}(p)$$

Interpretation: The first-stage estimate is just

For our second-stage estimates, by the same algebra, we get

$$\widehat{b}_2 = (d'S^{-1}d)^{-1}d'S^{-1}E_T(p)$$

• Interpretation: The second-stage estimate is just a

GMM Methodology: Intuition

- What is this model doing?
- The model

$$E(p) =$$

says that average prices are

- So we
- The regressions operate across assets on sample averages.
 - Data are:
- GMM is finding b to make the model explain the

Standard Errors

- The usual GMM standard error formulas apply:
 - For our first- and second-stage estimates

$$cov\left(\widehat{b}_{1}\right) = cov\left(\widehat{b}_{2}\right) =$$

- What do you notice about these formulas?
 - They are
- Note that OLS standard errors have to be corrected for

What else?

- Standard GMM results for the covariance matrix of the pricing errors $\left(cov\left[g_{T}\left(\widehat{b}\right)\right]\right)$ and for the model test (the chi-square test).
 - (See Cochrane, Ch. 13 for the formulas).

A Note

Look again at

$$\widehat{b_1} = (d'd)^{-1}d'E_T(p)$$

- What if we are using excess returns?
 - We would have $\widehat{b_1} = (d'd)^{-1}d'E_T(p) =$
 - The idea here is that
 - What we've done up to now requires that at least one asset has a
- We want to look at the case of excess returns...

Excess Returns

• If we write

$$m =$$

we cannot identify a and b separately, so we use a

Then we have

$$g_T(b) = -E_T(mR^e)$$

=

with

$$d = \frac{\partial g_T(b)}{\partial b'} =$$

as the second-moment matrix of

Excess Returns

• The GMM estimate again solves

$$\min_{b} g_{T}\left(b\right)' \mathit{W} g_{T}\left(b\right)$$

• And with FOC $-d'W[E_T(R^e) - db] = 0$, we get for the GMM estimates

$$\hat{b}_1 = (d'd)^{-1} d' E_T (R^e)$$

 $\hat{b}_2 = (d'S^{-1}d) d'S^{-1} E_T (R^e)$

 These GMM estimates are just cross-section regressions of mean excess returns on the second moments of returns with factors; the distribution theory is

- We need a normalization to use GMM for Excess Returns, but
 - We can also use
- Why would we want to do that?
 - This normalization allows GMM to run cross-section regressions of mean excess returns on covariances... which gets us really close to betas.
 - This normalization yields

$$m = 1 - b'(f - E(f))$$

with

$$E(m)=1.$$

The derivations proceed the same way as before, but to be concrete

$$g_{T}(b) = E_{T}(mR^{e})$$

$$= E_{T}(R^{e}) - E_{T}(R^{e}(f - E(f))') b$$

with

$$d = \frac{\partial g_T(b)}{\partial b'} =$$

And the moment condition is

$$= 0$$

Note that d is now the covariance matrix,

$$d = \frac{\partial g_T(b)}{\partial b'} =$$

So if we were to write

$$E(R^e) = -cov(R^e, f')b$$

we just have the covariances entering in place of the betas.

- But what's the problem here?
 - We have a
 - We have to take this into account in our distribution theory, just as we did when

- For this case, it's important to note that the normalization does NOT matter for the pricing errors and the chi-square statistics.
 - What does change is the estimate of b.
 - Normalizations therefore only matter for
- The very ambitious may want to try the derivation!

Horse Races

- Can one set of factors drive out another set? How would we test this?
- For

$$m=b_1'f_1+b_2'f_2$$

we just test if

$$b_2 = 0$$

- Note that b_2 can be a scalar (use a t-test) or a vector (use a chi-square test).
- We can also test a restricted v. unrestricted versions of the model (as before, with a chi-square difference test).
 - Remember how these work?

Testing for Priced Factors

- Should we use lambdas λ (the risk factor premia) or b's b (the regression coefficient of m on f)?
 - Note that b's and β 's are not the same! β 's are
- ullet The lambdas λ capture whether a factor is
- The b's b capture whether a factor is

lambdas and b's

- ullet The two are related by $\lambda = E\left(\mathit{ff}' \right) \mathit{b}$
- Here's the derivation

$$E(mR^e) = 0$$

$$E[R^e(1-f'b)] = 0$$

So

$$E(R^{e}) = cov(R^{e}, f') b$$

$$= cov(R^{e}, f') E(ff')^{-1} E(ff') b$$

$$= \beta' \lambda$$

lambdas and b's

- Note that it only matters whether you use b or λ if the factors are correlated.
 - If they are not, E(ff') is diagonal, and each $\lambda_i = 0$ iff the corresponding $b_i = 0$.
- The idea is that λ_i is the single regression coefficient of m of f; while b_i is the multiple regression coefficient of m on f, given the other factors.
 - Asking if $\lambda_i = 0$ asks
 - Asking if $b_i = 0$ asks

A Note on Methodologies

 Today, we've used GMM to estimate linear discount models of the form

$$E(p) = E(mx)$$

 $m = b'f$

- Do we have to use GMM to estimate this model?
- Can GMM only be used on models of this form?
- Estimation methodology is a choice; but it's important to keep in mind that the results you get depend on how you specify your model and which method you use!

End of Today's Lecture.

• That's all for today. Today's material corresponds roughly to Chapter 13 in Cochrane (2005).