

# SCAR-DA: A Stable, Congestion-Aware Routing Algorithm with Deadlock-Avoidance

## An Algorithm Description

### 1 Objective

The **SCAR-DA (Stable, Congestion-Aware Routing with Deadlock-Avoidance)** algorithm is a robust pathfinding algorithm designed for networks where path quality depends on dynamic congestion, and where network safety (deadlock-freedom) is a hard constraint. This is particularly relevant for Network-on-Chip (NoC) architectures.

It addresses three primary challenges:

- **Congestion:** Naive shortest-path routing (e.g., standard Dijkstra) creates bottlenecks by overloading the same shortest-hop paths.
- **Instability (Oscillation):** Simple load-aware routing often suffers from "route flapping," where all traffic rushes to a newly free path, congesting it, and then rushing away again.
- **Deadlock:** Adaptive or load-aware routing paths can easily violate the network's deadlock-avoidance (DA) turn model, leading to catastrophic network failure.

SCAR-DA integrates three concepts into a modified Dijkstra's shortest-path search:

1. A multi-factor cost function that considers both link and node congestion.
2. An Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (EWMA) for stable load metrics.
3. A constraint check to prune any path that violates the network's DA ruleset.

### 2 Algorithm Components

#### 2.1 Input Parameters

$G = (V, E)$  The network graph, where  $V$  are routers and  $E$  are links.

$s, t$  The source and target nodes for the path.

$R_{DA}$  The Deadlock-Avoidance Ruleset (e.g., an XY, West-First, or Odd-Even turn model).

$\alpha, \beta$  Weighting coefficients that define the "penalty" for link and node congestion, respectively.

$\gamma_L, \gamma_N$  Smoothing factors for the EWMA of link and node loads. A smaller  $\gamma$  results in more smoothing (slower reaction).

#### 2.2 Network State: EWMA Load Smoothing

To prevent route flapping, the algorithm does not use instantaneous load metrics. Instead, it relies on a smoothed load value for each link  $e$  and node  $v$ , which is updated periodically by a separate network monitoring process (see Algorithm 2).

Let  $L_{inst}(t)$  be the instantaneous load (e.g., buffer occupancy, bandwidth utilization) at time  $t$ . The EWMA load,  $L_{ewma}(t)$ , is calculated as:

$$L_{ewma}(t) = (\gamma \times L_{inst}(t)) + ((1 - \gamma) \times L_{ewma}(t - 1))$$

Where  $\gamma$  is the smoothing factor ( $\gamma_L$  for links,  $\gamma_N$  for nodes). The pathfinding algorithm (Algorithm 1) reads these stored  $L_{ewma}$  values.

## 2.3 Multi-Factor Dynamic Cost Function

The core of the algorithm is its cost function. The "cost" of traversing from node  $u$  to a neighbor  $v$  is a combination of static latency and dynamic, smoothed congestion costs for both the link  $(u, v)$  and the destination node  $v$ .

### 2.3.1 Node Cost

The cost associated with the destination router  $v$ :

$$C_{node}(v) = Lat_{node}(v) \times (1 + \beta \times L_{node}^{ewma}(v))$$

Where  $Lat_{node}(v)$  is the static processing latency of router  $v$  and  $L_{node}^{ewma}(v)$  is its smoothed buffer load (a value from 0.0 to 1.0).

### 2.3.2 Link Cost

The cost associated with traversing the link  $(u, v)$ :

$$C_{link}(u, v) = Lat_{link}(u, v) \times (1 + \alpha \times L_{link}^{ewma}(u, v))$$

Where  $Lat_{link}(u, v)$  is the static latency of the link (e.g., hop count, wire delay) and  $L_{link}^{ewma}(u, v)$  is its smoothed bandwidth utilization.

### 2.3.3 Total Dynamic Cost

The total cost added to the path when moving from  $u$  to  $v$  is the sum of these two components:

$$C_{dyn}(u, v) = C_{link}(u, v) + C_{node}(v)$$

## 2.4 Deadlock-Avoidance Constraint

The algorithm enforces safety by pruning any path segment that violates the network's turn model,  $R_{DA}$ . This is managed by a helper function:

$$IsLegalTurn(prev\_node, current\_node, next\_node, R_{DA})$$

This function returns **false** if the turn from  $prev \rightarrow curr \rightarrow next$  is forbidden (e.g., a Y-to-X turn in an XY routing scheme), and **true** otherwise. For the first hop from the source,  $prev\_node$  is null, and the function always returns **true**.

## 3 SCAR-DA Pathfinding Algorithm

The core algorithm is a modified Dijkstra's, shown in Algorithm 1. It finds the lowest-cost path that is also deadlock-free.

---

**Algorithm 1** SCAR-DA Pathfinding Algorithm

---

**Require:** Graph  $G = (V, E)$ , source  $s$ , target  $t$ , ruleset  $R_{DA}$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$

```
1: Let  $dist[v] \leftarrow \infty$  for all  $v \in V$ 
2: Let  $prev[v] \leftarrow \text{null}$  for all  $v \in V$ 
3:  $dist[s] \leftarrow 0$ 
4:  $pq \leftarrow \text{PriorityQueue}(V)$  ▷ Min-priority queue, stores  $(v, dist[v])$ 
5:  $pq.add(s, 0)$ 

6: while  $pq$  is not empty do
7:    $u \leftarrow pq.pop\_min()$ 
8:   if  $u = t$  then ▷ Found the best path
9:     return  $\text{BUILDPATH}(prev, t)$ 
10:  end if
11:   $prev\_node \leftarrow prev[u]$ 
12:  for each neighbor  $v$  of  $u$  do ▷ — 1. Deadlock-Avoidance Constraint Check —
13:    if  $\text{ISLEGALTURN}(prev\_node, u, v, R_{DA}) = \text{false}$  then
14:      continue ▷ Prune this path; it's an illegal turn
15:    end if ▷ — 2. Multi-Factor Cost Calculation —
16:     $L_{link} \leftarrow G.get\_link\_load(u, v)$  ▷ Returns  $L_{link}^{ewma}$ 
17:     $L_{node} \leftarrow G.get\_node\_load(v)$  ▷ Returns  $L_{node}^{ewma}$ 
18:     $Lat_{link} \leftarrow G.get\_link\_latency(u, v)$ 
19:     $Lat_{node} \leftarrow G.get\_node\_latency(v)$ 
20:     $C_{link} \leftarrow Lat_{link} \times (1 + \alpha \times L_{link})$ 
21:     $C_{node} \leftarrow Lat_{node} \times (1 + \beta \times L_{node})$ 
22:     $dynamic\_cost \leftarrow C_{link} + C_{node}$  ▷ — 3. Standard Dijkstra Relaxation Step —
23:     $new\_dist \leftarrow dist[u] + dynamic\_cost$ 
24:    if  $new\_dist < dist[v]$  then
25:       $dist[v] \leftarrow new\_dist$ 
26:       $prev[v] \leftarrow u$ 
27:       $pq.add\_or\_update(v, new\_dist)$ 
28:    end if
29:  end for
30: end while

31: return null ▷ No deadlock-free path found
```

---

## 4 Network State Update Process

This process (Algorithm 2) is not part of the pathfinding call. It is a separate, concurrent process that runs periodically (e.g., every  $N$  clock cycles) to update the smoothed load values that Algorithm 1 relies on.

---

**Algorithm 2** Periodic EWMA State Update Process

---

**Require:** Graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_L$ ,  $\gamma_N$

```
1: procedure UPDATENETWORKEWMA ▷ Run this procedure at a fixed interval
2:   for each link  $e = (u, v)$  in  $G.E$  do
3:      $L_{inst} \leftarrow G.get\_instantaneous\_link\_load(e)$ 
4:      $L_{old} \leftarrow e.L_{ewma}$ 
5:      $L_{new} \leftarrow (\gamma_L \times L_{inst}) + (1 - \gamma_L) \times L_{old}$ 
6:      $e.L_{ewma} \leftarrow L_{new}$  ▷ Store the new smoothed value
7:   end for

8:   for each node  $v$  in  $G.V$  do
9:      $L_{inst} \leftarrow G.get\_instantaneous\_node\_load(v)$ 
10:     $L_{old} \leftarrow v.L_{ewma}$ 
11:     $L_{new} \leftarrow (\gamma_N \times L_{inst}) + (1 - \gamma_N) \times L_{old}$ 
12:     $v.L_{ewma} \leftarrow L_{new}$  ▷ Store the new smoothed value
13:   end for
14: end procedure
```

---