

Mathematical Logic (MATH6/70132;P65)  
Problem Sheet 2

[1] Suppose  $\phi$  is a formula of  $L$ .

(a) By giving a deduction in  $L$ , show that

$$(\neg(\neg\phi)) \vdash_L \phi.$$

(Hint: Let  $\chi$  be an axiom. Start the deduction off with  $((\neg(\neg\phi)) \rightarrow ((\neg(\neg\chi)) \rightarrow (\neg(\neg\phi))))$ .)

(b) Show that  $((\neg(\neg\phi)) \rightarrow \phi)$  is a theorem of  $L$ .

(c) Use (b) to show that  $(\phi \rightarrow (\neg(\neg\phi)))$  is a theorem of  $L$ .

[2] Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a set of formulas of  $L$  and  $\phi$  is a formula. Suppose that  $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$  and  $v$  is a valuation with  $v(\psi) = T$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma$ . Show that  $v(\phi) = T$ .

[3] Give a careful proof of the following facts, which we have been using a lot.

(a) (Unique reading lemma) Suppose  $\phi$  is an  $L$ -formula. Then exactly one of the following occurs:

- (i)  $\phi$  is a propositional variable;
- (ii) there exists a unique  $L$ -formula  $\psi$  such that  $\phi$  is  $(\neg\psi)$ ;
- (iii) there exist unique  $L$ -formulas  $\theta, \chi$  such that  $\phi$  is  $(\theta \rightarrow \chi)$ .

(b) Using (a), prove that if  $v$  is any function from the set of propositional variables of  $L$  to  $\{T, F\}$ , then there is a unique function  $w$  from the set of  $L$ -formulas to  $\{T, F\}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $w(p_i) = v(p_i)$  for each propositional variable  $p_i$ ;
- (ii) for every  $L$ -formula  $\phi$  we have  $w(\phi) \neq w(\neg\phi)$ ;
- (iii) for all  $L$ -formulas  $\theta, \chi$  we have  $w((\theta \rightarrow \chi)) = F$  iff  $w(\theta) = T$  and  $w(\chi) = F$ .

[4] A *ternary valuation* is a function  $f$  from the set of formulas of  $L$  to the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  which satisfies the following 'truth table' rules:

$$f((\neg\phi)) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } f(\phi) = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } f(\phi) = 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f((\phi \rightarrow \psi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(\phi) \geq f(\psi) \\ f(\psi) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A formula  $\phi$  is called a *ternary tautology* if  $f(\phi) = 0$  for all ternary valuations  $f$ .

(a) Let  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = T$  and  $\alpha(2) = F$ . Show that if  $f$  is a ternary valuation, then the composition  $\alpha \circ f$  is an (ordinary) valuation.

(b) Show that the axioms of  $L$  of type A1 are ternary tautologies.

(c) Show that axioms of type A2 are ternary tautologies.

(d) Show that if  $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$  and  $\phi$  are ternary tautologies then so is  $\psi$ .

(e) Show that the formula  $((\neg p) \rightarrow (\neg q)) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$  is not a ternary tautology.

(f) Show that any formula of the form  $((\psi \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow (\neg\psi)))$  is a ternary tautology.

(g) The formal system  $\tilde{L}$  has the same formulas as  $L$  and deduction rule Modus Ponens, but has as axioms formulas of types A1 and A2 and all formulas as in (f). Explain why the formula in (e) is not a theorem of  $\tilde{L}$ .