

## MATH6/70132; P65: NOTES ON LEMMA 2.3.7

(2.3.7) LEMMA: Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a first-order language and  $\phi(x_1)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula (possibly with other free variables). Let  $t$  be a term free for  $x_1$  in  $\phi(x_1)$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and  $v$  is a valuation in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $v'$  be the valuation in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is  $x_1$ -equivalent to  $v$  with  $v'(x_1) = v(t)$ . Then

$$v'[\phi(x_1)] = T \Leftrightarrow v[\phi(t)] = T.$$

*Proof:* This is by induction on the number of connectives and quantifiers in  $\phi$ .

*Base case:*  $\phi$  is an atomic formula  $R(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  where  $R$  is an  $m$ -ary relation symbol and  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  are terms.

Let  $u_i^*$  be the result of substituting  $t$  for  $x_1$  in  $u_i$ . Then, by induction on the length of the terms, each  $u_i^*$  is a term and  $v'(u_i) = v(u_i^*)$ . Moreover,  $\phi(t)$  is  $R(u_1^*, \dots, u_m^*)$ . Then:

$$v'[\phi(x_1)] = T \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models R(v'(u_1), \dots, v'(u_m)) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models R(v(u_1^*), \dots, v(u_m^*)) \Leftrightarrow v[\phi(t)] = T.$$

*Inductive step:* There are 3 cases:

Case 1:  $\phi$  is  $(\neg\psi)$ ;

Case 2:  $\phi$  is  $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ ;

Case 3:  $\phi$  is  $(\forall x_i)\psi$ .

We leave the first two cases as exercises and do the third.

We can assume that  $i \neq 1$ . Otherwise  $x_1$  is not free in  $\phi$  and  $\phi(t)$  is just  $\phi$ . The lemma then follows from 2.3.3.

Note also that as  $t$  is free for  $x_1$  in  $(\forall x_i)\psi$ , it follows that  $t$  is free for  $x_1$  in  $\psi$  and  $x_i$  is not a variable in  $t$ .

Suppose first that  $v'[\phi(x_1)] = F$ . We show that  $v[\phi(t)] = F$ .

By Definition 2.2.9, there is a valuation  $w'$  which is  $x_i$ -equivalent to  $v'$  with  $w'[\psi(x_1)] = F$ .

Note that as  $i \neq 1$ :

$$w'(x_1) = v'(x_1) = v(t). \tag{1}$$

Define a valuation  $w$  by:

$$w(x_j) = \begin{cases} v(x_j) & \text{if } j \neq 1, i \\ w'(x_i) & \text{if } j = i \\ v(x_1) & \text{if } j = 1 \end{cases}.$$

So  $w$  is  $x_1$ -equivalent to  $w'$  and  $x_i$ -equivalent to  $v$  (noting that  $v, v'$  are  $x_i$ -equivalent and  $w, w'$  are  $x_i$ -equivalent).

As  $x_i$  does not occur in  $t$  we have, by (1),

$$w(t) = v(t) = w'(x_1).$$

We can now apply the induction hypothesis to  $w, w'$  and  $\psi$ . We obtain that  $w[\psi(t)] = w'[\psi(x_1)] = F$ .

As  $w, v$  are  $x_i$ -equivalent, it follows that

$$v[(\forall x_i)\psi(t)] = F.$$

So  $v[\phi(t)] = F$ , as required.

We now prove the converse direction (we cannot argue by symmetry here). So suppose  $v[\phi(t)] = F$ . There is a valuation  $w$  which is  $x_i$ -equivalent to  $v$  with  $w[\psi(t)] = F$ . Let  $w'$  be the valuation  $x_1$ -equivalent to  $w$  with

$$w'(x_1) = w(t) = v(t) = v'(x_1).$$

(The fact that  $w(t) = v(t)$  is as before.)

By the inductive hypothesis,  $w'[\psi(x_1)] = w[\psi(t)] = F$ . As  $w'$  is  $x_i$ -equivalent to  $v'$  we have

$$v'[(\forall x_i)\psi(x_1)] = F.$$

So  $v'[\phi(x_1)] = F$ . This completes the inductive step.  $\square$