

Fast Calculation of Count of Divisor Sums ≥ 2

Nathan McKenzie

September 24 2009

Preliminaries

Just to clear up some notation, through out this document, I am going to refer frequently to the following function:

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2} \tau_k'(n) \quad (0.1)$$

where

$$\tau_k'(n) = |\{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 2; n_1 \dots n_k = n\}|.$$

According to Linnik's Identity, this means that

$$\pi^*(n) = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n) \quad (0.2)$$

where π^* is the prime power counting function. Thus, the problem of prime counting turns into the problem of calculating these various $D'(n,k)$ values.

The fundamental relationships for D' are

$$\begin{aligned} D_1'(n) &= n - 1 \\ D_0'(n) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2} D_{k-1}'\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \right\rfloor\right) \quad (0.3)$$

Fast-ish Counting Method

The key to this method of counting is to consider the slightly more general function

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(n, a) &= \sum_{j=a} D_{k-1}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \right\rfloor, a\right) \\ D_1(n, a) &= n - a + 1 \\ D_0(n, a) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

So essentially, this function is a version of (0.3) with iteration starting at a specified integer rather than always at 2. Thus, rewriting (0.2) above, we can say we are looking for

$$\pi^*(n) = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k(n, 2) \quad (1.2)$$

Although I won't list here where this relationship comes from, one key feature of the main function in (1.1) is

$$D_k(n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} D_j\left(\frac{n}{a^{k-j}}, a+1\right) \quad (1.3)$$

So, as an example,

$$D_4(900, 2) = D_4(900, 3) + 4 D_3\left(\frac{900}{2}, 3\right) + 6 D_2\left(\frac{900}{4}, 3\right) + 4 D_1\left(\frac{900}{8}, 3\right) + D_0\left(\frac{900}{16}, 3\right) \quad (1.4)$$

Pretty obviously, we can reapply identity (1.3) to the leading term on the right side of the equation again, getting

$$D_4(900, 3) = D_4(900, 4) + 4 D_3\left(\frac{900}{3}, 4\right) + 6 D_2\left(\frac{900}{9}, 4\right) + 4 D_1\left(\frac{900}{27}, 4\right) + D_0\left(\frac{900}{27}, 4\right) \quad (1.5)$$

Now, because $D_4(900, k)$ represents the count of integer solutions to $a*b*c*d \leq 900$ where $a, b, c, d \geq k$, it should be trivially obvious that $D_4(900, k) = 0$ when $k > 900^{1/4}$. So, more generally, we see that

$$D_k(n, a) = 0 \text{ when } a > n^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (1.6)$$

So, if we continue the process started in (1.3) and (1.4), once $k > 900^{1/4}$, we will have removed the leading terms, leaving us with

$$D_4(900, 2) = \sum_{j=2}^{900^{\frac{1}{4}}} 4 D_3\left(\frac{900}{j}, j+1\right) + 6 D_2\left(\frac{900}{j^2}, j+1\right) + 4 D_1\left(\frac{900}{j^3}, 3\right) + D_0\left(\frac{900}{j^4}, j+1\right)$$

In more general terms, this relationship can be written as

$$D_k(n, a) = \sum_{m=a}^{n^{1/k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} D_j\left(\frac{n}{m^{k-j}}, m+1\right) \quad (1.7)$$

So, applied recursively, and taking into account the two trivial identities from (1.1), (1.7) can be used to calculate the prime power counting function from (1.1).

In my C++ implementation of this function, I made aggressive use of a wheel, rejecting all numbers divisible by primes less than 29. This massively speeds calculations up. I have not, in general, been able to find any way to use caching or pre-calculation generally to speed this up any more. Even with a large wheel, the nested loops become slow fairly quickly.

For the sake of redundancy, here is my C# code for (1.7):

```
static int D( int n, int k, int a ){
    if( k == 0 )return 1;
    if( k == 1 ) return n - a + 1;
    int total = 0;
    for( int m = a; m <= (int)Math.Pow( n, 1.0/k ); m++ ){
        for( int j = 0; j < k; j++ ){
            total += D( n/(int)Math.Pow(m, k-j), j, m+1 ) * (int)binom( k, j );
        }
    }
    return total;
}
static double fact( double val ){
    double total = 1.0;
    for( var i = 1; i <= val; i++){
        total*=i;
    }
    return total;
}
static double binom( int val, int div ){
    return fact( val ) / ( fact(div ) * fact( val - div ) );
}
```