

Exercise in Estimating Camera Motion and Structure

Henrik Aanæs, haa@imm.dtu.dk

April 8, 2013

This is the second half of exercise nine in 02504

In this exercise you should estimate the camera motion and a sparse 3D structure related to three images. These images, $Im1$, $Im2$ and $Im3$ together with a given internal calibration matrix, K , should be found in the MatLab file `CamMotionData`. Accompanying this exercise you should also find the mex function `EestMex.mexw64`. The exercise runs in the following steps, and you should illustrate the results as you go along.

1. Extract SIFT features from the three images using `vl_feat`, e.g. by using the following command
`[F1, D1] = vl_sift(Im1, 'FirstOctave', 1);`
2. Make a function
`[M12, E, R, t] = MatchImagePair(F1, D1, F2, D2, K, Sigma)`
which from two sets SIFT feature and descriptors ($F1, D1$) and ($F2, D2$) the internal parameters K and a noise estimate Σ , computes:
 - The matches, $M12$, between the two feature sets. $M12$ should be a two by the number of matches matrix, containing pairs of indices for matches.
 - An estimate of the essential matrix, E , the rotation, R , and the translation, t , between the two cameras.

Do this in the following manner:

- (a) Compute initial matches via `vl_ubcmatch` from the `vl_feat` package.
 - (b) Extract the matching 2D feature points for the two images, $q1$ and $q2$. That is that $q1(:, 10)$ should be the match for $q2(:, 10)$ etc. The $q1$ and $q2$ should be in normalized homogeneous coordinates, i.e. put a one beneath them.
 - (c) Use the supplied mex function `EestMex` to estimate the essential matrix, rotation and translation between the cameras, by the following call
`[E, R, t, nIn] = EestMex(K, q1', K, q2', Sigma);`
This function does a robust estimation of the essential matrix via RANSAC, and decomposes the matrix into a rotation and translation.
 - (d) Form the fundamental matrix
`F = inv(K)' * E * inv(K);`
and compute which matches are consistent with the found epipolar geometry using the Sampsons distance.
 - (e) return the consistent matches in $M12$.
3. Match image one and two as well as image two and three via the following calls (Σ should be 3)

```
[M12,E12,R12,t12]=MatchImagePair(F1,D1,F2,D2,K,Sigma)
[M23,E23,R23,t23]=MatchImagePair(F2,D2,F3,D3,K,Sigma)
```

4. Compute the 2D features that are matches over all three images, e.g. using the function call:
`[,Idx12,Idx23] = intersect(M12(2,:),M23(1,:));`
5. Compute the corresponding 2D feature points q_1, q_2 and q_3 , such that $q_1(:,10), q_2(:,10)$ and $q_3(:,10)$ correspond to the same track or 3D feature, etc.
6. Form camera one and two, setting camera ones external parameters equal to the global coordinate system, i.e. $R_1=I$ and $t_1=0$.
7. From the tracked features from image one and two (use only the points tracked through all three images) compute the 3D points, Q .
8. Write a function for camera resectioning. NB: normalize wrt. the 2D feature points.
9. Based on the computed 3D points and the tracks in the third image, q_3 , estimate the third camera, Cam_3 .
10. Based on Q , and Cam_3 compute the projections into the third image, i.e. (remember to normalize)
 $p_3 = Cam_3 * Q$
11. Compute the reprojection error, i.e. the unhomogeneous distance between q_3 and p_3 .
12. Recompute Cam_3 using only the points with a reprojection error of less than 30.
13. Do one more iteration 9. to 12. This should give robustness towards outliers in the 3D points.
14. Ideally a RANSAC approach with the camera resectioning should have been use. Describe how this should be constructed.
15. If a fourth image/camera should be added, how would you do that?