## Assignment 4 — PDEs: The Schrodinger Equation, again.

This assignment problem builds upon the code you developed in Workshop X to investigate quantum tunnelling. Consider the Schrodinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\psi(x,t) \qquad \qquad \hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)$$
 (1)

for a particle in a double well potential

$$V(x) = -V_0 \left\{ \exp \left[ -\frac{(x-a)^2}{\sigma^2} \right] + \exp \left[ -\frac{(x+a)^2}{\sigma^2} \right] \right\}.$$
 (2)

.....

- † Questions are optional:  $\dagger = [+1 \text{ bonus mark}]$
- +1 bonus mark for: code formatting / program structure
- +1 bonus mark for: clear and concise answers / report layout / figure quality.

## Part A

1. [4 marks] Defining appropriate parameters for length  $x_0$ , and time  $t_0$ , show the equation of motion takes the form

$$i\partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 - V_0 \left( e^{-(x-a)^2} + e^{-(x+a)^2} \right) \right] \psi,$$
 (3)

where all quantities are now dimensionless. Give an alternative example that would be suitable for the potential Eq. (2) (there are several), and briefly explain how the form would change.

- 2. [4 marks] Use imaginary time evolution,  $t \to it$ , to calculate the groundstate of the potential numerically, and plot  $|\psi(x)|^2$  and V(x) on the same graph. Do this for
  - a)  $\tilde{a} = 2$ ,  $\tilde{V}_0 = 1.5$
  - b)  $\tilde{a} = 2$ ,  $\tilde{V}_0 = 1.5$ , but when only the left well is active.
- 3. [4 marks] The split operator method you applied in the workshop can be improved by a simple modification of splitting one of the operators\*:

$$\exp\left[-i(\hat{T}+\hat{V})\delta t\right] \approx \exp\left[-i\hat{V}\delta t/2\right] \exp\left[-i\hat{T}\delta t\right] \exp\left[-i\hat{V}\delta t/2\right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta t)^{3}. \tag{4}$$

- a) In our implementation, why is it more computationally efficient to split  $\hat{V}$  instead of  $\hat{T}$ ? Could the program have been constructed in a way that it would be better to do the opposite?
- b) Can you see a way Eq. (4) could be further optimized for computational speed? Explain. [Hint: consider two successive time steps applied to the wavefunction]

<sup>\*</sup>This can be shown from the Baker-Cambell-Hausdorf formula: for any two non-commuting operators X and Y the product of their exponentials is  $e^{X\delta t}e^{Y\delta t}=e^{(X+Y)\delta t+[X,Y]\delta t^2/2+\dots}$ .

## Part B

- 4. [8 marks] Suppose initially only the left well is active and a particle sits in the groundstate of the well at x = -a, with  $a \ge 1$ . The right well is then instantly turned on at t = 0.
- a) Upgrade the algorithm to use Eq. (4).
- <sup>†</sup>b) Implement the optimization in you identified in Q3. b) above.
- c) Simulate the dynamics of this system, and plot the probability of finding the particle in the left well vs. time

$$P_L(t) = \int_{x<0} dx \ |\psi(x,t)|^2, \tag{5}$$

for a few combinations of a and  $V_0$ . Comment.

- d) Compare how well Eq. (4) conserves energy  $\langle \hat{H} \rangle$  against the ordinary split operator method for a few values of  $\Delta t$ . Tabulate or plot the result.
- <sup>††</sup>e) Use  $P_L(t)$  to calculate the tunnelling frequency vs. a (for fixed  $V_0$ ).
  - f) Investigate the same tunneling scenario for the nonlinear schrodinger equation\*

$$i\partial_t \psi = [-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + U(x)]\psi,$$
  $U(x) = V(x) + g|\psi(x,t)|^2.$  (6)

Explore the effect of nonlinear interactions on the tunnelling for  $V_0 = 1.5$ , a = 2, and  $0 \le g \le 1$ , and report your findings.

<sup>\*</sup>This equation describes (under certain assumptions) a gas of interacting atoms collectively described by the wavefunction  $\psi(x,t)$ ; the particle interaction strength g depends on the type of atom and the number of particles, and the effective potential U(x) depends on the density of particles through  $|\psi(x,t)|^2$ . Eq. (6) is already dimensionless (you do not need to work out the dimensionless form).