- 1. [True/False, 25 pts]. Indicate whether the following statements are true or false? Justify your answer for full credit, prove/show if true and give a counterexample if false.
 - (a) [5 pts] The purpose of pivoting in Gaussian elimination is to reduce the operation count.

FALSE, PIVOTING IS EMPLOYED
WHEN THE CEADING DIAGONAL
ENTRY OF A MATRIX IS ZERO.
GAUSTIAN ELIMINATION FAILS
IN THIS CASE, PIVOTING
REMEDIES THE SITUATION

(b) [5 pts] The polynomial $p_6(x)$ of degree ≤ 6 that interpolates the function $f(x) = 4x^3 - 3x^2 + 2.5x - \pi$ at the points x = -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3 is the function f(x) itself, i.e., $p_6(x) = f(x)$.

| . 01 | 5 7 Parameter | | | |
|------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Insul | | | |
| | Bonns/Math 329/Fbys 528 | | | |

(c) [5 pts] Let f(x) be a continuous function on the interval [0,1] and let $p_n(x)$ be the polynomial of degree $\leq n$ that interpolates f(x) at the n+1 distinct points $x_i = i/n$, i = 0, ..., n. Then $p_n(x)$ converges to f(x) as $n \to \infty$ for every $x \in [0,1]$.

Lim $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[f(x) + \frac{f(x)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_n) \cdots (x - x_n) \right]$ $= f(x) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{f(x)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_n) \cdots (x - x_n) \right]$ $= f(x) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{f(x)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_n) \cdots (x - x_n) \right]$

= f(x) + 0 (d) [5 pts] Given <math>n+1 distinct points $\{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$ and n+1 data values $\{f_0, \ldots, f_n\}$, there is a unique cubic spline S(x) which interpolates the data, i.e. such that $S(x_i) = f_i$, $i = 0, \ldots n$.

SMITH TO BE SAFE THE

(e) [5 pts] Power method can be used to approximate any eigenvalue-eigenvector pair with eigenvectors converging faster than eigenvalues.

FALSE, POWER METHOD WILL FAIL IF THE EIGENVECTOR IS CONPLEX & THE MATRIX & STARTING VECTOR ARE REAL.

STARTING VECTOR ARE REAL.

APOITIONALLY, EIGENVELTORS WILL CONVERGE
FASTER THAN EIGENVELTORS.

- 2. [Fixed Point Iterations, 15 pts]. Let $g(x) = -x^2 + 3ax + a 2a^2$, where
 - (a) Show that a is a fixed point of g(x).
 - (b) For what values of a does the iteration scheme $x_{n+1} = g(x_n)$ converge linearly to the fixed point a (provided x_0 is chosen sufficiently close to
- (c) Is there a value of a for which convergence is quadratic?

$$g(\alpha) = -\alpha^2 + 3\alpha^2 + \alpha - 2\alpha^2$$

b) q'(x) = -2x + 3a

g'(a) = a 1

FOR ON < 1 THE

CONVERGES LINEARLY

C) FOR a=0, THE SCHEME CONVERGES QUADRATICALLY

XISTAM BAT & XBUNGO BI HOTOSYUB

ROOFT SHOUTH ET CENTAL-TES MILLEON

FASTER THAN ELLIENVELTOIS.

3. [Iterative methods for linear systems, 10 pts] Consider the system of linear equations.

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 1 \ x_1 + 2x_2 = -1 \$$

Starting from the initial guess $(x_1, x_2)_0 = (0, 0)$, perform one step of Gauss-Seidel iteration.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\chi'(1) &= & \left(D + L \right)^{-1} \left(b - U \times^{(\circ)} \right) \\
&= & \left[2 & 0 & 7 & \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= & \left[1 & 2 & 7 & \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= & \left[1/2 & 0 & 7 & \left[1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4$$

4. [Newton's Method, 10 pts] Consider the system of two nonlinear equations

$$2x - \cos y = 0 \qquad \qquad 2y - \sin x = 0.$$

(a) Write down the vector-valued function $\mathbf{F}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x,y) \\ g(x,y) \end{pmatrix}$ whose zero

$$F(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x - \cos y \\ 2y - \sin y \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Calculate the Jacobian of F.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x^{1},x^{2})} = \left[\frac{-\cos x}{5} + \frac{5}{3} + \frac{5}{$$

(c) Apply one step of Newton's Method to initial iterate $\mathbf{p}_0 = [0\ 0]^T$ to obtain the next iterate \mathbf{p}_1 .

$$F(P_0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \int_{F} (P_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_0 = -\int_{F}^{1} (P_0) F(P_0) = -\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_1 = P_0 + S_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

6. [Numerical Integration, 10 pts]. The following data is known to lie on a cubic curve. Evaluate $\int_{-4}^{4} f(x)dx$ exactly and explain how you are sure that your answer is correct.

| x | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|
| f(x) | -9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -3 | -4 | 0 | 12 | 35 |

SIMPSON'S RULE IS EXACT
FOR POLYNOMIALS OF

DEGREE
$$\leq 3$$
, SO,

 $f(x) dx = 1 \cdot (\frac{1}{3}f(-4) + \frac{11}{3}f(-3) + \frac{2}{3}f(-2) + \cdots + \frac{4}{3}f(4))$
 $+\frac{1}{3}f(4)$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(-9) + \frac{4}{3}(0) + \frac{2}{3}(2) + \frac{4}{3}(0) + \frac{2}{3}(3) + ...$$

$$... + \frac{4}{3}(-4) + \frac{2}{3}(0) + \frac{4}{3}(12) + \frac{1}{3}(35)$$

7. [Numerical Methods for Solving ODEs, 10 pts].

Consider the initial value problem,

$$y' = -y, \quad y(0) = 1.$$

Find an approximation to y(2) with a step size h=1 by using modified Euler's method.

(a) where the two estimates
$$\mathcal{D}(x)$$
 as the two estimates $\mathcal{D}(x)$ as the two estimates $\mathcal{D}(x)$ as the two estimates $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ as the two estimates $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{$

benietdo seulez ada no notaloganza mostradal la mona ono macha (a)
$$= \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c}$$

8. [Richardson Extrapolation, 10 pts]. Let f be a function with four continuous derivatives, and fix a point x. Recall the central difference formula

$$D(h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h},$$

which satisfies an error estimate of the form

$$f'(x) = D(h) + Ch^2 + O(h^4)$$

where C is an (unknown) constant.

- (a) Show how to use the two estimates D(h) and D(h/3) to obtain a new estimate $R_1(h)$ for f'(x) that has error $O(h^4)$.
- (b) Use the central difference approximation D(h) to estimate f'(0) for $f(x) = \ln(1+x)$ with h = 0.3 and h = 0.1.
- (c) Perform one step of Richardson extrapolation on the values obtained in part (b) to get a new estimate R_1 .

a)
$$D(h) = f'(x) - Ch^2 - O(h^4)$$

 $D(h/3) = f'(x) - C\frac{h^2}{9} - O(h^4)$
 $-\frac{D(h) - 9D(h/3)}{8} = f'(x) - O(h^4) = R_1(h)$