Tidy Time Series & Forecasting in R

4. Seasonality and trends



Outline

- 1 Time series decompositions
- 2 Lab Session 8
- 3 Seasonal adjustment

Outline

- 1 Time series decompositions
- 2 Lab Session 8
- 3 Seasonal adjustment

Time series decomposition

Trend-Cycle aperiodic changes in level over time.

Seasonal (almost) periodic changes in level due to seasonal factors (e.g., the quarter of the year, the month, or day of the week).

Additive decomposition

$$y_t = S_t + T_t + R_t$$

where y_t = data at period t

 T_t = trend-cycle component at period t

 S_t = seasonal component at period t


 R_t = remainder component at period t


STL decomposition


- STL: "Seasonal and Trend decomposition using Loess"
- Very versatile and robust.
- Seasonal component allowed to change over time, and rate of change controlled by user.
- Smoothness of trend-cycle also controlled by user.
- Optionally robust to outliers
- Not trading day or calendar adjustments.
- Only additive.
- Take logs to get multiplicative decomposition.
- Use Box-Cox transformations to get other decompositions.


Decomposition dable

```
dcmp <- elecequip %>%
 model(STL(value ~ season(window = 7))) %>%
 components()
dcmp
## # A dable: 195 x 7 [1M]
## # Key: .model [1]
## # : value = trend + season_year + remainder
##
     .model
                   index value trend seaso~1 remai~2 seaso~3
##
   <chr> <mth> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> 
                                                    <dbl>
##
   1 STL(value ~~ 1996 Jan 79.4 78.9 -3.37 3.81
                                                    82.7
   2 STL(value ~~ 1996 Feb 75.8 79.1 -3.87 0.547
                                                    79.7
##
##
   3 STL(value ~~ 1996 Mar 86.3 79.3 6.73 0.301
                                                    79.6
   4 STL(value ~~ 1996 Apr 72.6 79.5 -5.74 -1.15
                                                    78.3
##
##
   5 STL(value ~~ 1996 May 74.9 79.7 -3.53 -1.31
                                                    78.4
##
   6 STL(value ~~ 1996 Jun 83.8 79.9 5.03 -1.14
                                                    78.8
## 7 CTI (...]... 100C J..] 70 0 00 1 0 222 0 110
```











```
elecequip %>%
  autoplot(value) +
  autolayer(dcmp, trend, col='blue')
```




Australian holidays


```
holidays %>% autoplot(Trips) +
  ylab("thousands of trips") + xlab("Year") +
  ggtitle("Australian domestic holiday nights")
```



```
holidays %>%
  model(stl = STL(Trips ~ season(window = "periodic"), robust = TRUE)) %>%
  components() %>%
  autoplot()
```



```
holidays %>%
  model(stl = STL(Trips ~ season(window = 5), robust = TRUE)) %>%
  components() %>%
  autoplot()
```



STL decomposition


```
holidays %>%
  model(stl = STL(Trips ~ trend(window=15) + season(window=13),
    robust = TRUE))
```

- trend(window = ?) controls wiggliness of trend component.
- season(window = ?) controls variation on seasonal component.
- STL() chooses season(window=13) by default
- A large seasonal window is equivalent to setting window="periodic".
- Odd numbers should be used for symmetry.


```
dcmp <- holidays %>% model(stl = STL(Trips)) %>% components()
dcmp
```

```
# A dable: 640 x 8 [10]
         State, .model [8]
##
  # Kev:
        Trips = trend + season year + remainder
##
     State .model Quarter Trips trend season~1 remai~2 seaso~3
##
     <chr> <chr> <qtr> <dbl> <dbl>
                                         <dbl>
                                                 <dbl>
##
                                                         <dbl>
                  1998 Q1 196. 172.
##
   1 ACT
           stl
                                        -8.48 32.6
                                                         205.
   2 ACT
           stl
                  1998 Q2 127. 157.
                                        10.3
                                                -40.6
                                                         116.
##
   3 ACT
           stl
                           111.
                                142.
                                                         128.
##
                  1998 Q3
                                        -16.8
                                                -14.5
##
   4 ACT
           stl
                  1998 04
                           170.
                                 130.
                                        14.6
                                               25.6
                                                         156.
##
   5 ACT
           stl
                  1999 Q1
                           108.
                                 135.
                                         -8.63
                                                -18.3
                                                         116.
   6 ACT
           stl
                           125.
                                         11.0
                                                         114.
##
                  1999 Q2
                                 148.
                                                -34.6
##
   7 ACT
           stl
                  1999 Q3
                           178.
                                 166.
                                        -16.0
                                                28.3
                                                         194.
##
   8 ACT
           stl
                  1999 Q4
                           218.
                                 177.
                                        13.2
                                                27.5
                                                         204.
##
   9 ACT
           stl
                  2000 01
                           158.
                                 169.
                                        -8.75
                                                -1.96
                                                          167.
## 10 ACT
           s+1
                  2000 02 155 151
                                         11.7
                                                 -8.20
                                                          143
```

dcmp %>% gg_subseries(season_year)



```
autoplot(dcmp, trend, scale_bars = FALSE) +
  autolayer(holidays, alpha = 0.4)
```



Outline

- 1 Time series decompositions
- 2 Lab Session 8
- 3 Seasonal adjustment

Lab Session 8


Produce the following decomposition

```
canadian_gas %>%
  model(STL(Volume ~ season(window=7) + trend(window=11))) %>%
  components() %>%
  autoplot()
```

- What happens as you change the values of the two window arguments?
- How does the seasonal shape change over time? [Hint: Try plotting the seasonal component using gg_season.]
- Can you produce a plausible seasonally adjusted series? [Hint: season_adjust is one of the variables returned by STL.]

Multiple seasonality

```
vic_elec %>%
model(STL(Demand)) %>%
components() %>%
autoplot()
```



Outline

- 1 Time series decompositions
- 2 Lab Session 8
- 3 Seasonal adjustment

Seasonal adjustment

- Useful by-product of decomposition: an easy way to calculate seasonally adjusted data.
- Additive decomposition: seasonally adjusted data given by


$$y_t - S_t = T_t + R_t$$

Multiplicative decomposition: seasonally adjusted data given by

$$y_t/S_t = T_t \times R_t$$

```
dcmp <- elecequip %>%
  model(STL(value ~ season(window = 7))) %>%
  components()
elecequip %>% autoplot(value, col = "gray") +
  autolayer(dcmp, season_adjust, col = "blue") +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("New orders index") +
  ggtitle("Electrical equipment manufacturing (Euro area)")
```





Seasonal adjustment

- We use estimates of S based on past values to seasonally adjust a current value.
- Seasonally adjusted series reflect remainders as well as trend. Therefore they are not "smooth" and "downturns" or "upturns" can be misleading.
- It is better to use the trend-cycle component to look for turning points.



Treasurer Joe Hockey calls for answers over Australian Bureau of Statistics jobs data

By Michael Vincent and Simon Frazer
Updated 9 Oct 2014, 12:17pm

Federal Treasurer Joe Hockey says he wants answers to the problems the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) has had with unemployment figures.

Mr Hockey, who is in the US to discuss Australia's G20 agenda, said last month's unemployment figures were "extraordinary".


The rate was 6.1 per cent after jumping to a 12-year high of 6.4 per cent the previous month.

The ABS has now taken the rare step of abandoning seasonal adjustment for its latest employment data.



PHOTO: Joe Hockey says he is unhappy with the volatility of ABS unemployment figures. (AAP: Alan Porritt)

RELATED STORY: ABS abandons seasonal adjustment for



ABS jobs and unemployment figures - key questions answered by an expert

A professor of statistics at Monash University explains exactly what is seasonal adjustment, why it matters and what went wrong in the July and August figures




🗖 School leavers come on to the jobs market at the same time, causing a seasonal fluctuation. Photograph: Brian Snyder/Reuters

The Australian Bureau of Statistics has retracted its seasonally adjusted employment data for July and August, which recorded huge swings in the jobless rate. The ABS is also planning to review the methods it uses for seasonal adjustment to ensure its figures are as accurate as possible. Rob Hyndman, a professor of statistics at Monash University and member of the bureau's methodology advisory board, answers our questions:


employed

```
# A tsibble: 440 x 4 [1M]
          Time Month Year Employed
##
         <mth> <ord> <dbl>
##
                               <dbl>
##
   1 1978 Feb Feb
                      1978
                              5986.
##
    2 1978 Mar Mar
                      1978
                              6041.
##
    3 1978 Apr Apr
                     1978
                              6054.
    4 1978 May May
                     1978
                              6038.
##
##
    5 1978 Jun Jun
                      1978
                              6031.
##
    6 1978 Jul Jul
                      1978
                              6036.
    7 1978 Aug Aug
                      1978
                              6005.
##
##
    8 1978 Sep Sep
                      1978
                              6024.
##
    9 1978 Oct Oct
                      1978
                              6046.
  10 1978 Nov Nov
                      1978
                              6034.
```


```
employed %>%
  autoplot(Employed) +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands") + xlab("Year")
```




```
employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  autoplot(Employed) +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands") + xlab("Year")
```



```
employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  gg_season(Employed, labels = "right") +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands")
```




```
employed %>%
  mutate(diff = difference(Employed)) %>%
  filter(Month == "Sep") %>%
  ggplot(aes(y = diff, x = 1)) +
  geom_boxplot() + coord_flip() +
  ggtitle("Sep - Aug: total employed") +
  xlab("") + ylab("Thousands") +
  scale_x_continuous(breaks = NULL, labels = NULL)
```




100 **-**


```
dcmp <- employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  model(stl = STL(Employed ~ season(window = 11), robust = TRUE))
components(dcmp) %>% autoplot()
     STL decomposition
     Employed = trend + season_year + remainder
11500 -
                                                                                          Employed
11000 -
10500 -
        10000 -
11500 -
11000 -
        10500 -
10000 -
100 -
50 -
0 -
-50 -
-100 -
```

```
components(dcmp) %>%
  filter(year(Time) == 2013) %>%
  gg_season(season_year) +
  ggtitle("Seasonal component") +
  guides(colour = "none")
```





```
components(dcmp) %>%
  as_tsibble() %>%
  autoplot(season_adjust)
```



- August 2014 employment numbers higher than expected.
- Supplementary survey usually conducted in August for employed people.
- Most likely, some employed people were claiming to be unemployed in August to avoid supplementary questions.
- Supplementary survey not run in 2014, so no motivation to lie about employment.
- In previous years, seasonal adjustment fixed the problem.
- The ABS has now adopted a new method to avoid the bias.