

# Ubiquitous over- lay

Universal connec-  
tivity using imper-  
fect hardware

Matouš Skála





# Ubiquitous overlay

Universal connectivity using imperfect  
hardware

by

Matouš Skála

to obtain the degree of Master of Science  
at the Delft University of Technology,  
to be defended publicly on Tuesday January 1, 2013 at 10:00 AM.

Student number:	4893964
Project duration:	November 15, 2019 – June 30, 2020
Thesis committee:	Dr.ir. J.A. Pouwelse, TU Delft, supervisor
	?, TU Delft
	?, TU Delft

An electronic version of this thesis is available at  
<http://repository.tudelft.nl/>.



# Preface

Preface...

*Matouš Skála*  
*Delft, January 2013*



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Problem Description</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Ubiquitous Overlay Network . . . . .	3
2.2	Network Address Translation . . . . .	3
2.2.1	NAT Classification . . . . .	3
2.2.2	Carrier Grade NAT . . . . .	3
2.2.3	Port Forwarding . . . . .	3
2.3	Nearby Communication . . . . .	3
2.4	Peer Discovery . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>State of the Art</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	NAT Traversal . . . . .	5
3.1.1	Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN) . . . . .	5
3.1.2	Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN) . . . . .	5
3.1.3	Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) . . . . .	5
3.1.4	ICMP Hole Punching . . . . .	5
3.1.5	Symmetric NAT Traversal . . . . .	5
3.2	P2P Communication Libraries . . . . .	5
3.2.1	libp2p . . . . .	5
3.2.2	IPv8 . . . . .	5
3.2.3	Nearby Connections API. . . . .	5
3.2.4	Bridgify SDK. . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	NAT Traversal with Peer Introductions . . . . .	7
4.2	Relay Protocol with Bandwidth Accounting . . . . .	7
4.3	Using Bluetooth Low Energy for P2P Communication . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Project Structure. . . . .	9
5.2	System Architecture. . . . .	9
5.2.1	Communities . . . . .	9
5.2.2	Discovery Strategies . . . . .	9
5.2.3	Endpoints . . . . .	9
5.3	Bootstrap Server . . . . .	9
5.4	Maintaining Backward Compatibility . . . . .	9
5.5	TrustChain Explorer. . . . .	9
5.6	Binary Transfer over UDP . . . . .	9
5.7	PeerChat: Distributed Messenger . . . . .	9
5.8	Testbed for Distributed Android Applications . . . . .	9

---

<b>6</b>	<b>Experiment</b>	<b>11</b>
6.1	Analysis and Puncturing of Carrier Grade NAT. . . . .	11
6.2	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	12
6.2.1	Bootstrap Performance . . . . .	12
6.2.2	Stress Test . . . . .	12
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>13</b>
7.1	Future Work . . . . .	13
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>15</b>



# 1

## Introduction



# 2

## Problem Description

### **2.1. Ubiquitous Overlay Network**

### **2.2. Network Address Translation**

#### **2.2.1. NAT Classification**

#### **2.2.2. Carrier Grade NAT**

#### **2.2.3. Port Forwarding**

### **2.3. Nearby Communication**

### **2.4. Peer Discovery**



# 3

## State of the Art

### **3.1. NAT Traversal**

#### **3.1.1. Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN)**

#### **3.1.2. Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN)**

#### **3.1.3. Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE)**

#### **3.1.4. ICMP Hole Punching**

#### **3.1.5. Symmetric NAT Traversal**

### **3.2. P2P Communication Libraries**

#### **3.2.1. libp2p**

#### **3.2.2. IPv8**

#### **3.2.3. Nearby Connections API**

#### **3.2.4. Bridgefy SDK**



# 4

## Design

**4.1. NAT Traversal with Peer Introductions**

**4.2. Relay Protocol with Bandwidth Accounting**

**4.3. Using Bluetooth Low Energy for P2P Communication**





# 5

## Implementation

### **5.1. Project Structure**

### **5.2. System Architecture**

#### **5.2.1. Communities**

#### **5.2.2. Discovery Strategies**

#### **5.2.3. Endpoints**

### **5.3. Bootstrap Server**

### **5.4. Maintaining Backward Compatibility**

### **5.5. TrustChain Explorer**

### **5.6. Binary Transfer over UDP**

### **5.7. PeerChat: Distributed Messenger**

### **5.8. Testbed for Distributed Android Applications**



# 6

## Experiment

### 6.1. Analysis and Puncturing of Carrier Grade NAT

According to the report by Statista [2], there were three major mobile phone operators providing services in the Netherlands in Q4 2018. They are listed in Table 6.1. In total, these represent up to 85 % of the market share. The rest of the market is shared by Mobile Virtual Network Operators who sell services over existing networks of those three operators.

Operator	Market share
KPN	35%
Vodafone	25%
Mobile Virtual Network Operators	25%
T-Mobile	20%

Table 6.1: Market share of mobile network operators in the Netherlands in Q4 2018. The shares do not sum up to 100% as they are rounded up within five percent ranges in the original report. [2]

We have purchased pre-paid SIM cards for all three major mobile network operators to investigate whether they are suitable for peer-to-peer communication. First, we tried to infer the characteristics of their Carrier Grade NAT deployments.

We used the STUN protocol and NAT behavior discovery mechanisms described in [1]. They have shown that all networks appear to use *Endpoint-Independent Mapping (EIM)* and *Address and Port-Dependent Filtering* (also known as *port-restricted cone NAT*). EIM is a sufficient condition for our NAT traversal mechanism to be successful, so this would make all these NATs suitable for P2P communication.

However, as NAT behavior can change over time, we performed some more tests to verify that the behavior is consistent over time. We attempted to connect to 50 different peers over the interval of 5 minutes. We verified that KPN and T-Mobile networks are consistent with EIM behavior. However, the Vodafone network changes the mapped port for new connections approximately every 60 seconds, even when connecting to the same IP address and a different port. This behavior can be described as *Address and Port-Dependent Mapping*, which is characteristic for a *symmetric NAT*.

The mapped ports seem to be assigned at random from the range of 10,000 ports, which makes it infeasible to use any known symmetric NAT traversal techniques such as port prediction or multiple hole punching [4][3].

## **6.2. Performance Evaluation**

### **6.2.1. Bootstrap Performance**

### **6.2.2. Stress Test**

# 7

## Conclusion

### 7.1. Future Work



# Bibliography

- [1] D. MacDonald and B. Lowekamp. NAT Behavior Discovery Using Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN). RFC 5780, May 2010. URL <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5780>.
- [2] Statista. Distribution of mobile network connections in the netherlands in the fourth quarter of 2018, by operator. Accessed: Mar. 11, 2020. URL <https://www.statista.com/statistics/765491/distribution-mobile-network-connections-in-the-netherlands-by-operator/>.
- [3] Y. Takeda. Symmetric NAT traversal using STUN. Technical report, June 2003. URL <https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-takeda-symmetric-nat-traversal-00.txt>.
- [4] Yuan Wei, Daisuke Yamada, Suguru Yoshida, and Shigeki Goto. A new method for symmetric NAT traversal in UDP and TCP. 2008.