Implementing the *Change Board Orientation* change case

Matthew Eden

Department of Electrical, Computer and Software Engineering
University of Auckland
Auckland, New Zealand
mede607@aucklanduni.ac.nz

Abstract—To evaluate the changeability of an implementation of Kalah (a.k.a Mancala), a change case was proposed. This involved changing the horizontal orientation of the board to a vertical orientation. A change plan was created in anticipation of implementing the changes in code. During the execution of this plan, there were some issues encountered, but not a significant amount. The time taken to implement the change case was roughly 16 minutes. The overall impact of the change case was low and it was straightforward to preserve the functionality for the horizontal orientation if this change need be reverted in future. As such, there is a good amount of changeability in this design.

Index Terms-kalah, mancala, changeability, java

I. PLANNING THE CHANGE CASE

Before any code was actually written, a plan was devised describing the minimal amount of changes that would be required to achieve the desired change case. This plan is as follows.

- 1) Find the GameIO class
- 2) Locate the printBoard method
- 3) Add an additional argument: boolean isVertical
- 4) With the exception of the first non-blank line concerning List<Players>, wrap the method body in an if-else statement.
- 5) Make this if condition controlled by the new method argument, such that if (isVertical) {...} else {/* ORIGINAL METHOD BODY */}
- 6) Within the if branch, add 4 io.println statements for printing out the outline of the board and the player stores, ensuring that they follow the specified format.
- 7) In the middle of those statements (i.e. between the printing of player stores), add a call to a new method printHousesVertically, passing in GameConfig.NUM_HOUSES as the argument.
- 8) Implement this method to print out the houses of both players such that it follows the specifed format.
- 9) Update invocations of printBoard to pass in true as a third argument.

II. IMPLEMENTING THE CHANGE CASE

The total amount of time taken to implement the change case was timed as being 15 minutes and 58 seconds. During the execution of the aforementioned plan, there were some issues encountered. Overall, the plan was a success and it was

not difficult to implement the change case. A breakdown of the steps carried out follows.

- 1) No issues in finding the Game IO class.
- 2) No issues in locating the printBoard method.
- 3) No issues in adding an additional argument to printBoard.
- 4) No issues in creating an if-else statement to wrap the method body.
- 5) No issues in implementing the condition for the if-else statement.
- 6) No issues in adding the required io.println statements. Although the argument to be provided to those statements was not specified (i.e. what exactly should be printed), it was not difficult to figure it out based on the format specified by the test cases and the pre-existing implementation of the printBoard method.
- 7) No issues in adding a call to printHousesVertically and providing GameConfig.NUM_HOUSES as the argument.
- 8) There was some issue implementing printHousesVertically. The number of method arguments specified in the plan was not sufficient, as the final version of the method created required three arguments, as opposed to the one that was originally planned. The two additional arguments were IO io and List<Pit> pits. This then meant that the invocation of printHousesVertically in printBoard required changing and required additional values to be passed in. There was already a pre-existing IO io argument in printBoard, so there was no issue in passing that as an argument to printHousesVertically. However, there was no pre-existing List<Pit> pits or equivalent argument. Within the printBoard method there was a pre-existing Board board argument, with that object containing a List<Pit> pits field that would be sufficient. However, there did not exist a getter method to access that field, so this had to be implemented. The plan did also not go into detail about how to implement the printHousesVertically method, however the pre-existing method formatHousesAsString was sufficient as a guide for figuring out the implementation.

9) No issues in updating existing invocations of printBoard to pass in true as a third argument.

III. IMPACT OF THE CHANGE CASE

On a scale from 0.0 to 1.0, the impact of this change case is 0.1. For all intents and purposes, the game of *Kalah* can be played in the same manner as before the change case despite the visual change. In saying that, these visual changes mean the impact is strictly non-zero.

IV. CHANGEABILITY ASSESSMENT

My original assessment of the changeability of this design claimed that the design supported changeability in part because of its separation of IO and game logic [1]. The successful implemention of the *Change Board Orientation* change case further supports those claims. Although there were some issues faced when following the change plan, these issues could be argued to arise from poor planning rather than a lack of changeability in the design. The only issue directly related to *changeability* that arose was the lack of a getPits method in the Board class. In spite of this, the implementation of the change case did not take a significant amount of time and resulted in minimal impact on the functionality of the implementation. As such, the original changeability assessment of the design was correct.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Eden "SOFTENG 701 - Assignment 3 - Submission", Implementing Kalah with a Changeable Object-Oriented Design