

[TO BE DEFINED]

Matteo Drago, Riccardo Lincetto[†]

Abstract—With the increasing interest in deep learning techniques and its applications, also Human Activity Recognition (HAR) saw significant improvements; before neural networks were put into practice, most of the research activities on the field relied on hand-crafted features which, however, couldn't represent nor distinguish well enough complex and articulated movements. Moreover, the use of smart devices and wearable sensors brought the challenge to another level: having to deal with high-dimensional and noisy time series while assuring optimal performances, requires a detailed study and most of all a considerable computational effort.

In our paper we present the design of an HAR architecture which implements convolutional layers in order to extract significant features from windows of samples, along with Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) layers, suitable to exploit time dependencies among consecutive samples. For our study, we designed the system in order to minimize the collection of layers per network and so the amount of parameters to train, which could be of great advantage in real time application. In addition, we also decided to study how performances change if we split the process in two distinct phases: the first one that performs *activity detection* while the last one activity classification. The dataset that we used to assess the efficiency of our architecture is the OPPORTUNITY dataset.

Index Terms—Human Activity Recognition, Machine Learning, Neural Networks, Motion Detection.

I. INTRODUCTION

During the past decade, time series classification has captured growing interest thanks to the introduction of deep learning mechanisms, such as neural networks. These tools indeed are capable of identify and learn signal features, which are then exploited for classification, without the need of human domain-knowledge: this is a huge step forward considering that features were traditionally hand-crafted.[non trovo nessuna reference per questo] Human Activity Recognition (HAR) in particular has been fostered by the spread of powerful, efficient and affordable sensors, which nowadays are commonly found in mobile phones and wearable devices, with multiple applications, ranging from health care to gaming and virtual reality. [1] Wearable sensors allow us to collect and process a huge amount of signals, which are essential for deep neural networks (DNN) to work properly: in fact, in order for them to learn and being accurate enough to be preferred over standard machine learning approaches, we need the input training set to be heterogeneous, meaningful and representative of the problem.

For this reason, HAR is not an easy classification problem: when dealing with on-body sensors, system performances heavily depends on human behaviour, which is a source

of high variability; moreover, data collected from sensors is typically high-dimensional, multi-modal and subjected to noise, making the problem even more difficult from a machine learning perspective. In the recent years, several models to perform activity detection and classification have been proposed, but as pointed out in [2] and [3], the lack of a baseline evaluation and of structured and fixed implementation details prevented a fair comparison between different solutions.

Considering that many authors in the field of machine learning and activity recognition tried to solve these problems, after an accurate study of the state of the art we decided to focus on recent works and to start from them in order to study and design improvements to the framework. Our aim was to find an architecture which gives comparable (and possibly better) performances while minimizing the number of trainable parameters and the assortment of layers in the network. The reason why we decided to go down this path is that in the literature they usually tend to expand the power of the network via increasing the computational complexity, adding layers over layers with the hope that the more number of layers, the more accurate the model. However, specifically when dealing with real time application, computational power is limited and the possibility of using difficult models is far from being realizable. [forse andrebbe messa qualche citazione, ma non ne trovo nessuna di specifica] As a first step towards the exemplification of the architecture, we decided to design two distinct networks: one dedicated to detect movements, consisting of da aggiungere descrizione del modello che decideremo; the other instead created with the purpose of classifying the movement, when detected, in this case built as da aggiungere descrizione del modello che decideremo. Then, we compare the performances of this cascade-model with a classification system that comprehends also the **Null Class** which in our case represents the state of **no activity**. With our study we aim also to provide a baseline for future works, exploiting this two-steps technique for reducing computational complexity. In order to assess the efficiency of our models, we used the OPPORTUNITY dataset [2], [4] which will be described in details in the following sections.

In conclusion, the contributions of this paper are:

- overview of the latest progresses of the state of the art
- implementation of these solution for comparison purpose
- the design of two separated pipelines for reducing complexity.

The paper is organized as follow: section II provides a summary of the latest and more important works related to our studies; in section III we start delving into the details of how we organized our HAR architecture, step by step; section IV is

[†]Department of Information Engineering, email: {matteo.drago,riccardo.lincetto}@studenti.unipd.it

dedicated to the description of the dataset and to the decisions we made in the preprocessing phase; finally in section V we are ready to describe meticulously the learning framework, while sections VI and VII are for discussion of results and for drawing our conclusions.

II. RELATED WORK

The OPPORTUNITY activity recognition dataset is a benchmarking dataset, introduced in [?], to overcome the lack of an evaluation setup to compare different classification systems and to provide a more exhaustive dataset compared to the others, which "are not sufficiently rich to investigate opportunistic activity recognition, where a high number of sensors is required on the body, in objects and in the environment, with a high number of activity instances". As pointed out in [?] in fact, previously, several datasets were related to the activities which were to be classified: this is due to researchers acquiring signals only from sensors located in specific locations, according to the task to be performed. The OPPORTUNITY activity recognition dataset instead has the purpose of collecting signals from an entire environment to enable a fair comparison of different learning models.

III. PROCESSING PIPELINE

IV. SIGNALS AND FEATURES

V. LEARNING FRAMEWORK

VI. RESULTS

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

REFERENCES

- [1] O. D. Lara and M. A. Labrador, "A survey on human activity recognition using wearable sensors," *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, vol. 15, pp. 1192–1209, Third 2013.
- [2] R. Chavarriaga, H. Sagha, A. Calatroni, S. T. Digumarti, G. Tröster, J. del R. Millán, and D. Roggen, "The opportunity challenge: A benchmark database for on-body sensor-based activity recognition," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2013.
- [3] F. Li, K. Shirahama, M. A. Nisar, L. Kping, and M. Grzegorzec, "Comparison of feature learning methods for human activity recognition using wearable sensors," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 2, 2018.
- [4] D. Roggen, A. Calatroni, M. Rossi, T. Holleczeck, K. Frster, G. Trster, P. Lukowicz, D. Bannach, G. Pirkl, A. Ferscha, J. Doppler, C. Holzmann, M. Kurz, G. Holl, R. Chavarriaga, H. Sagha, H. Bayati, M. Creatura, and J. d. R. Milln, "Collecting complex activity datasets in highly rich networked sensor environments," in *2010 Seventh International Conference on Networked Sensing Systems (INSS)*, pp. 233–240, June 2010.