## NB.07.F6

July 23, 2024

## 1 Configuration $(C_8)$

```
[1]: load("basic_functions.sage")
```

We assume all the V-configurations of points obtained from  $P_1,\dots,P_7$  have the matrix of condition of rank 9

## 1.1 First property of 4 points

Given 4 generic points of the plane, it is not possible that every couple of different points are orthogonal.

```
[2]: P1 = vector((A1, B1, C1))
     P2 = vector((A2, B2, C2))
     P4 = vector((A4, B4, C4))
     P7 = vector((A7, B7, C7))
     ## The following ideal is (1):
     JJ = S.ideal(
         scalar_product(P1, P2), scalar_product(P1, P4),
         scalar_product(P1, P7), scalar_product(P2, P4),
         scalar_product(P2, P7), scalar_product(P4, P7)
     ).saturation(
         S.ideal(
             matrix([P2, P4]).minors(2)
     )[0].saturation(
         matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det()
     )[0].saturation(
         S.ideal(list(P1))
     )[0]
     assert(JJ == S.ideal(1))
```

- 1.2 Property of 3 points
- 1.2.1 Given three distinct not collinear points of the plane  $P_1, P_2, P_4$ :
- **1.2.2** the three vectors  $P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $P_1 \times P_4$ ,  $P_2 \times P_4$
- 1.2.3 are linearly independent.

## 1.3 A property of 7 eigenpoints in conf. $(C_8)$

**1.3.1** If  $s_{12} = 0$  and  $s_{17} = 0$ , then also  $s_{27} = 0$ .

We define 7 points in fonfiguration  $(C_8)$ , which is assumed the following:

$$(1,2,3), (1,4,5), (1,6,7), (2,4,6), (2,5,7), (3,4,7)$$

We take  $P_2, P_7, P_4$  generic, while  $P_1 = P_2 \times P_7$  (since  $s_{12} = 0, s_{17} = 0$ ).  $P_3, P_5, P_6$  as intersection of suitable lines

```
[4]: P2 = vector(S, (A2, B2, C2))
P7 = vector(S, (A7, B7, C7))
P1 = wedge_product(P2, P7)
P4 = vector(S, (A4, B4, C4))

## hence P3, P5, P6 are forced:

P3 = intersection_lines(P1, P2, P4, P7)
P5 = intersection_lines(P1, P4, P2, P7)
P6 = intersection_lines(P1, P7, P2, P4)

## P1, ..., P7 are in config (C8)
assert(
    alignments([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7]) ==
    [(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 4, 6), (2, 5, 7), (3, 4, 7)]
)
```

It turns out that  $P_3$  is not defined precisely when  $s_{22}=0$  and  $s_{27}=0$ , which gives  $P_1=P_2$ . It turns out that  $P_5$  is not defined precisely when  $s_{24}=0$  and  $s_{47}=0$ , which gives  $P_1=P_4$ . It turns out that  $P_6$  is not defined precisely when  $s_{27}=0$  and  $s_{77}=0$ , which gives  $P_1=P_7$ .

```
[28]: J1 = S.ideal(list(P3))
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P2, P7]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P4, P7]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]
      assert(J1 == S.ideal(scalar_product(P2, P7), scalar_product(P2, P2)))
      assert([J1.reduce(mm) for mm in matrix([P1, P2]).minors(2)] == [S(0), S(0), __
       \hookrightarrow S(0)])
      J1 = S.ideal(list(P5))
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P2, P4]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P4, P7]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]
      assert(J1 == S.ideal(scalar_product(P4, P7), scalar_product(P2, P4)))
      assert([J1.reduce(mm) for mm in matrix([P1, P4]).minors(2)] == [S(0), S(0), U
       \hookrightarrow S(0)])
      J1 = S.ideal(list(P6))
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P2, P7]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P4, P7]).minors(2)))[0]
      J1 = J1.saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]
      assert(J1 == S.ideal(scalar_product(P2, P7), scalar_product(P7, P7)))
      assert([J1.reduce(mm) for mm in matrix([P1, P7]).minors(2)] == [S(0), S(0), U]
       \hookrightarrow S(0)])
```

In our hypotheses (matrix of the conditions of the V-conf. always of rank 9), we have that if configuration  $(C_8)$  is given by eigenpoints, we must have  $e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = 0$ , where:  $e_1 = \delta_1(P_3, P_1, P_4)$ ,  $e_2 = \delta_1(P_5, P_1, P_2)$ ,  $e_3 = \delta_1(P_6, P_1, P_2)$ 

```
[6]: e1 = delta1(P3, P2, P4)
e2 = delta1(P5, P1, P2)
e3 = delta1(P6, P1, P2)
```

We have:  $e_2 = 0$ 

```
[29]: assert(e2 == S(0))
```

We are going to prove that, if  $s_{12} = 0$ ,  $s_{17} = 0$ , then  $s_{27} = 0$ .

 $e_1$  can be obtained in different ways: as  $\delta_1(P_3, P_2, P_4)$ , but also as  $\delta_1(P_3, P_2, P_7)$  or ...similarly the others, so we compute three ideals, Je1, Je2 Je3, the first is the ideal of all the ways in which  $\delta_1(P_3, \dots)$  can be computed and similarly for the others.

```
[30]: Je1 = S.ideal(
    delta1(P3, P1, P7), delta1(P3, P1, P4),
    delta1(P3, P2, P7), delta1(P3, P2, P4)
).saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]

Je2 = S.ideal(
    delta1(P5, P1, P2), delta1(P5, P1, P7),
```

```
delta1(P5, P2, P4), delta1(P5, P4, P7)
).saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]

Je3 = S.ideal(
    delta1(P6, P1, P2), delta1(P6, P2, P7),
    delta1(P6, P1, P4), delta1(P6, P4, P7)
).saturation(matrix([P2, P4, P7]).det())[0]

## (Je2 is (0), but we leave it for symmetry)
```

Then we see when  $e_1 = 0$ ,  $e_2 = 0$ ,  $e_3 = 0$ , and precisely, we compute the ideal Je1+Je2+Je3 and we see that it is the ideal  $s_{27}$  (up to radical)

Conclusion: \*  $s_{12}=0, s_{17}=0$  implies  $s_{27}=0.$ 

By symmetry, it also holds:

- $s_{12} = 0, s_{27} = 0$  implies  $s_{12} = 0$
- $s_{27} = 0, s_{17} = 0$  implies  $s_{12} = 0$