

NB.04.F7

July 23, 2024

1 Proposition

The generic cubic of the family of cubics satisfying

$$\sigma(P_1, P_2) = \sigma(P_1, P_4) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_{22} = s_{44} = 0$$

has seven eigenpoints with the alignments:

$$(P_1, P_2, P_3), (P_1, P_4, P_5), (P_1, P_6, P_7)$$

Among these points we have the relation $\langle P_1 \times P_6, P_3 \times P_5 \rangle = 0$ (i.e., the lines $P_1 \vee P_6$ and $P_3 \vee P_5$ are orthogonal). In the family there is a sub-family of cubics whose eigenpoints have the following alignments:

$$(P_1, P_2, P_3), (P_1, P_4, P_5), (P_1, P_6, P_7), (P_2, P_4, P_6).$$

In this case the points P_6 and P_7 are given by the formulas:

$$P_6 = s_{15}s_{34}P_2 + s_{13}s_{25}P_4, \quad P_7 = s_{15}(s_{26}s_{46} + s_{24}s_{66})P_1 + s_{11}s_{24}s_{56}P_6$$

and a sub-family whose eigenpoints have the following alignments:

$$(P_1, P_2, P_3), (P_1, P_4, P_5), (P_1, P_6, P_7), (P_2, P_5, P_6), (P_3, P_4, P_6), (P_3, P_5, P_7)$$

In this case, the point $\sim P_6$ (given, for instance, by the formula $P_6 = s_{15}P_3 + s_{13}P_5$) is obtained as the intersection $(P_2 \vee P_5) \cap (P_3 \vee P_4)$ and consequently $P_7 = (P_1 \vee P_6) \cap (P_3 \vee P_5)$.

No other collinearities among the eigenpoints are possible.

```
[1]: load("basic_functions.sage")
```

```
[2]: P1 = vector(S, (1, 0, 0))
P2 = vector(S, (0, ii, 1))
P4 = vector(S, (0, -ii, 1))
P3 = u1*P1 + u2*P2
P5 = v1*P1 + v2*P4
```

a remark on δ_1 and δ_2 : $\delta_1(P_1, P_2, P_4)$ is not zero, while $\delta_2(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5)$ is 0.

```
[3]: assert(delta1(P1, P2, P4) != 0)
assert(delta2(P1, P2, P3, P4, P5) == 0)
```

In this configuration, the line $P_1 \vee P_2$ is tangent to the isotropic conic in P_2 and the line $P_1 \vee P_4$ is tangent to the isotropic conic in P_4 (for all P_3 and P_5)

```
[4]: M = condition_matrix([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5], S, standard="all")
      assert(M.rank() == 8)
```

The next computation requires about 90 seconds:

```
[5]: ttA = cputime()
      m8 = M.minors(8)
      print(cputime()-ttA)
```

52.118775

The linear system $\Lambda(M)$ is the same of the linear system $\Lambda(M_e)$, where M_e is the row echelon form of M

In the matrix M_e the rows 8, 9, 10, 11, 14 are zero, the row 7 is a multiple of the row 6 and the row 13 is a multiple of the row 12.

```
[6]: Me = M.echelon_form()
      assert(Me[8] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[9] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[10] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[11] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[14] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[7]+ii*Me[6] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
      assert(Me[13]-ii*Me[12] == vector(S, [0 for _ in range(10)]))
```

Hence, the family of cubics which have eigenpoints P_1, \dots, P_5 is obtained from the matrix M1 below:

```
[7]: M1 = Me.matrix_from_rows([0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13])
```

M_1 has rank 8, as it should be:

```
[8]: assert(M1.rank() == 8)
```

1.0.1 Now we construct the pencil of cubics obtained from M_1

first we compute two random cubics with P_1, \dots, P_5 eigenpoints:

```
[9]: ff1 = M1.stack(vector(S, [0, 1, 0, 2, -1, 3, 1, 4, -2, 3])).stack(vector(S,
      ↪mon)).det()
      ff2 = M1.stack(vector(S, [1, 2, 0, 1, 5, 0, 1, 3, -1, 1])).stack(vector(S,
      ↪mon)).det()
```

we erase non zero factors from the two polynomials:

```
[10]: ff1 = S.ideal(ff1).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0].gens()[0]
      ff2 = S.ideal(ff2).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0].gens()[0]
```

Now we verify that the pencil of cubics $\langle ff_1, ff_2 \rangle$ is also generated by the two other simpler polynomials f_1 and f_2 below.

```
[11]: f1 = x*(x^2+3/2*y^2+3/2*z^2)
      f2 = (y + ii*z) * (y + (-ii)*z) * (y*u2*v1 + (-ii)*z*u2*v1 - y*u1*v2 +
      ↪ (-ii)*z*u1*v2 + (2*ii)*x*u2*v2)
```

The computations below show that $\langle ff_1, ff_2 \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ (we convert the polynomials in 10-components vectors and we verify that the two vector associated to ff_1 is a linear combination of the two vectors associated to f_1 and f_2 (same for ff_2))

```
[12]: assert(matrix([[f1.coefficient(mm) for mm in mon], [f2.coefficient(mm) for mm
      ↪ in mon]]).rank() == 2)
      assert(matrix([[f1.coefficient(mm) for mm in mon], [f2.coefficient(mm) for mm
      ↪ in mon], [ff1.coefficient(mm) for mm in mon]]).rank() == 2)
      assert(matrix([[f1.coefficient(mm) for mm in mon], [f2.coefficient(mm) for mm
      ↪ in mon], [ff2.coefficient(mm) for mm in mon]]).rank() == 2)
```

hence the pencil of cubics which have eigenpoints P_1, \dots, P_5 is:

```
[13]: cb = l1*f1+l2*f2
```

The above is the equation of ALL the cubics with eigenpoints P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5 (it depends on u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 and l_1, l_2)

the polynomial f_1 has the lines of equation $x + iy = 0$ and $x - iy = 0$ of eigenpoints, hence f_1 does not have a finite number of eigenpoints. This means that in the study of all the cubics given by cb we can assume $l_2 \neq 0$

```
[14]: assert(S.ideal(list(eig(f1))).subs(y = -ii*z) == 0)
      assert(S.ideal(list(eig(f1))).subs(y = ii*z) == 0)
```

We compute now the iegenpoints of cb

```
[15]: ej = S.ideal(list(eig(cb)))
```

we erase the known eigenpoints from ej :

```
[16]: for pp in [P1, P2, P3, P4, P5]:
      ej = ej.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([pp, (x, y, z)]).minors(2)))[0]
```

```
[17]: ej = ej.saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2*l2)[0]
```

```
[18]: ej = ej.radical()
      assert(ej.is_prime())
```

Now ej is a prime ideal with two generators: a polynomial rt of degree 1 in x, y, z and a polynomial of degree 2 in x, y, z . Since ej gives the remaining eigenpoints of cb , we see that they are the intersection of a line rt and a conic qn

```
[19]: rt = y*u2*v1 + (-ii)*z*u2*v1 + y*u1*v2 + ii*z*u1*v2

      qn = 12*x*y*u1*v2*l2 + (12*ii)*x*z*u1*v2*l2 + (-8*ii)*x^2*u2*v2*l2 +
      ↪ (4*ii)*y^2*u2*v2*l2 + (4*ii)*z^2*u2*v2*l2 + 3*y^2*l1 + 3*z^2*l1
```

```
assert(ej == S.ideal(rt, qn).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0])
```

The line rt contains therefore the points P_6 and P_7 and it passes through P_1 :

```
[20]: assert(rt.subs(substitution(P1)) == 0)
```

The line rt is orthogonal to the line $P_3 \vee P_5$, as follows from these computations:

```
[21]: r35 = matrix([P3, P5, (x, y, z)]).det()
```

$r35$ and rt are orthogonal:

```
[22]: assert(
    scalar_product(
        [r35.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)],
        [rt.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)]
    ).is_zero()
)
```

The points P_6 and P_7 , which are the intersection point of rt and conic, do not have coordinates in $\mathbb{Q}[i][A, B, C, u, v]$, since the ideal ej is prime.

1.1 Subcases:

We want to see if there are other alignments among the points. We have to consider three cases:

- Case 1: P_2, P_4, P_6 aligned
- Case 2: P_2, P_5, P_6 aligned
- Case 3: P_3, P_5, P_7 (or P_3, P_5, P_6) aligned

1.2 Case 1: P_2, P_4, P_6 aligned.

The line $P_2 \vee P_4$ is $r24 = x$:

```
[23]: r24 = x
assert(matrix([P2, P4, (x, y, z)]).det() == 2*i*x)
```

Construction of the point P_6 as intersection of the lines rt and $r24$:

```
[24]: P6 = vector(S, (0, rt.coefficient(z), -rt.coefficient(y)))
```

```
[25]: assert(rt.subs(substitution(P6)) == 0)
assert(r24.subs(substitution(P6)) == 0)
```

P_6 always exists:

```
[26]: assert(S.ideal(list(P6)).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(1))
```

If P_6 is an eigenpoint, it must be a point of the conic qn . This condition gives that $l_1 = u_2 v_2$, $l_2 = 3/4i$:

```
[27]: assert(qn.subs(substitution(P6)) == ((16*ii)*v2*v1*u2*u1*(u2*v2*l2 + (-3/
↪4*ii)*l1))
```

the family of cubics in which P_6 is aligned with P_2 and P_4 is therefore obtained from cb as follows:

```
[28]: cb1 = S(cb.subs({l1: u2*v2, l2: 3/4*ii}))
```

cb1 is smooth:

```
[29]: assert(S.ideal(list(gdn(cb1))).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0].radical() == S.
↪ideal(x, y, z))
```

Construction of P_7 . It is the second intersection of rt and conic, and these two polynomials give the ideal ej: first we evaluate ej on $l_1 = u_2v_2$, $l_2 = 3/4i$, then we saturate the ideal, we divide it by the ideal of P_6 , we get the ideal pp7 which gives the coordinates of the point P_7

```
[30]: ej1 = ej.subs({l1: u2*v2, l2: 3/4*ii})
```

```
[31]: ej1 = ej1.saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0]
```

```
[32]: pp7 = ej1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([P6, (x, y, z)]).minors(2)))[0]
```

```
[33]: p7coord = matrix(
    [
        [
            pp7.gens()[0].coefficient(xx) for xx in [x, y, z]
        ],
        [
            pp7.gens()[1].coefficient(xx) for xx in [x, y, z]
        ]
    ]
).minors(2)
```

```
[34]: P7 = vector(S, (p7coord[2], -p7coord[1], p7coord[0]))
```

P_7 is a common point of rt and conic (i.e. a zero of ej1) and P_7 always exists:

```
[35]: assert(ej1.subs(substitution(P7)) == S.ideal(0))
```

```
[36]: assert(S.ideal(list(P7)).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(1))
```

P_6 is obtained from the formula: $P_6 = s_{11}s_{15}P_3 - 2s_{13}s_{15}P_1 + s_{11}s_{15}P_5$

```
[37]: P6a = (
    scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P3
    - 2*scalar_product(P1, P3)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P1
    + scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P3)*P5
)

assert(S.ideal(matrix([P6, P6a]).minors(2)) == S.ideal(0))
```

P_7 is obtained from the formula: $P_7 = s_{11}s_{15}P_3 + s_{13}s_{15}P_1 + s_{11}s_{15}P_5$

```
[38]: P7a = (
    scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P3
    + scalar_product(P1, P3)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P1
    + scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P3)*P5
)

assert(S.ideal(matrix([P7, P7a])).minors(2)) == S.ideal(0))
```

The eigenpoints P_1, \dots, P_7 have the following alignments: $[(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 4, 6)]$

```
[39]: assert(alignments([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7]) == [(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 4, 6)])
```

There are no subcases in which the seven eigenpoints have other alignments:

```
[40]: lp = [P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7]

for i in range(5):
    for j in range(i+1, 6):
        for k in range(j+1, 7):
            if not ((i+1, j+1, k+1) in [(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 4, 6)]):
                assert(S.ideal(matrix([lp[i], lp[j], lp[k]]).det()).
                    saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(S.one()))
```

We have the following orthogonalities: $(P_1 \vee P_2 \text{ ort } P_2 \vee P_4), (P_1 \vee P_6 \text{ ort } P_2 \vee P_4), (P_1 \vee P_4 \text{ ort } P_2 \vee P_4), (P_1 \vee P_6 \text{ ort } P_3 \vee P_5)$

```
[41]: assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P2), wedge_product(P2, P4)) == 0)
assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P6), wedge_product(P2, P4)) == 0)
assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P4), wedge_product(P2, P4)) == 0)
assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P6), wedge_product(P3, P5)) == 0)
```

In particular, we have that $P_1 = P_2 \times P_4$ and the configuration is (C_5)

The formulas for P_6 and P_7 will be considered later.

This concludes case 1

```
[42]: assert(condition_matrix([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5], S, standard = "all").rank() == 8)
```

1.3 Case 2: P_2, P_5, P_6 aligned

equation line $P_2 \vee P_5$: $r25 = yv_1 - izv_1 + 2ixv_2$

```
[43]: r25 = matrix([P2, P5, (x, y, z)]).det()
assert(r25 == y*v1 + (-ii)*z*v1 + (2*ii)*x*v2)
```

construction of the point P_6 intersection of the lines rt and $r25$:

```
[44]: slz6 = matrix(
      [
        [r25.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)],
        [rt.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)]
      ]
    ).minors(2)

P6 = vector(S, (slz6[2], -slz6[1], slz6[0]))
```

check that P_6 is $rt \cap r25$:

```
[45]: assert(r25.subs(substitution(P6)).is_zero() and rt.subs(substitution(P6)).
      ↪is_zero())
```

P_6 always exists:

```
[46]: assert(S.ideal(list(P6)).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(S.one()))
```

if P_6 is an eigenpoint, it must be a point of the conic. This condition gives that $u_1v_1l_2 + u_2v_2l_2 - 3/4il_1 = 0$:

```
[47]: assert(
      qn.subs(substitution(P6))
      == ((-64*ii)) * v1 * u2 * u1 * v2^3 * (u1*v1*l2 + u2*v2*l2 + (-3/4*ii)*l1)
    )
```

Hence the values for l_1 and l_2 is: $l_1 : u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 : l_2 : 3/4i$. This gives a cubic $cb1$

```
[48]: cb1 = S(cb.subs({l1: u1*v1+u2*v2, l2: 3/4*ii}))
```

If we assume $(2u_1v_1 + 3u_2v_2)(u_1v_1 + u_2v_2)(u_1v_1 + 3u_2v_2) \neq 0$, then $cb1$ is smooth:

```
[49]: irr = S.ideal(list(gdn(cb1))).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0]
      irr = irr.saturation(2*u1*v1+3*u2*v2)[0]
      irr = irr.saturation(u1*v1+u2*v2)[0]
      irr = irr.saturation(u1*v1+3*u2*v2)[0]
      assert(irr.radical() == S.ideal(x, y, z))
```

In particular we see that the cubic $cb1$ is not necessarily smooth.

Now we construct the point P_7 . We compute the ideal e_cb1 of the eigenpoints of $cb1$ and we saturate it w.r.t. the eigenpoints P_1, \dots, P_6 :

```
[50]: e_cb1 = S.ideal(list(eig(cb1))).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0]

for pp in [P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6]:
    e_cb1 = e_cb1.saturation(S.ideal(matrix([pp, (x, y, z)]).minors(2)))[0]
```

Now the ideal e_cb1 is the ideal of the point P_7 . It is given by three polynomials, but it is generated by the first two polynomials:

```
[51]: assert(len(e_cb1.gens()) == 3)
      pl1, pl2 = tuple(e_cb1.gens()[:2])
      assert(e_cb1 == S.ideal(pl1, pl2))
```

We use the two polynomials $pl1$ and $pl2$, which are linear in x, y, z , to construct P_7 :

```
[52]: slz = matrix(
      [
          [pl1.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)],
          [pl2.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)]
      ]
      ).minors(2)

      P7 = vector(S, [slz[2], -slz[1], slz[0]])
```

P_7 is an eigenpoint of $cb1$ and it always exists:

```
[53]: assert(eig(cb1).subs(substitution(P7))==vector(S, (0, 0, 0)))

      assert(S.ideal(list(P7)).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(S.one()))
```

The seven eigenpoints have the following alignments:

$$[(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 5, 6), (3, 4, 6), (3, 5, 7)]$$

Hence we get the configuration (C_8)

```
[54]: assert(alignments([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7]) == [(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5), (1, 6, 7), (2, 5, 6), (3, 4, 6), (3, 5, 7)])
```

We also have the relation $P_3 = Q_3$, where Q_3 is given by the formula above (is the orthocenter):

```
[55]: Q3 = (
      wedge_product(P1, P5)*scalar_product(P1, P6)*scalar_product(P5, P6)
      - scalar_product(P1, P5)*wedge_product(P1, P6)*scalar_product(P5, P6)
      + scalar_product(P1, P5)*scalar_product(P1, P6)*wedge_product(P5, P6)
      )

      assert(Q3 != vector(S, (0, 0, 0)))
      assert(matrix([P3, Q3]).minors(2) == [0, 0, 0])
```

and we have some orthogonalities of the lines joining the eigenpoints:

```
[56]: assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P4), wedge_product(P3, P4))==0)
      assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P1, P2), wedge_product(P2, P5))==0)
      assert(scalar_product(wedge_product(P3, P5), wedge_product(P1, P6))==0)
```

1.4 Case 3: P_3, P_5, P_7 aligned

Here we call P_7 the point of rt which is aligned with P_3 and P_5

equation line $r35 = P_3 \vee P_5$. It turns out to be:

$$yu_2v_1 + (-i)zu_2v_1 - yu_1v_2 + (-i)zu_1v_2 + (2i)xu_2v_2$$

```
[57]: r35 = matrix([P3, P5, (x, y, z)]).det()
assert(r35 == y*u2*v1 + (-ii)*z*u2*v1 - y*u1*v2 + (-ii)*z*u1*v2 +
↪ (2*ii)*x*u2*v2)
```

Construction of the point P_7 intersection of the lines rt and $r35$:

```
[58]: slz7 = matrix(
[
[r35.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)],
[rt.coefficient(xx) for xx in (x, y, z)]
]
).minors(2)
P7 = vector(S, (slz7[2], -slz7[1], slz7[0]))

assert(r35.subs(substitution(P7)).is_zero() and rt.subs(substitution(P7)).
↪ is_zero())
```

P_7 always exists:

```
[59]: assert(S.ideal(list(P7)).saturation(u1*u2*v1*v2)[0] == S.ideal(S.one()))
```

If P_7 is an eigenpoint, it must be a point of the conic. Hence

$$u_1v_1l_2 + u_2v_2l_2 + (-3/4ii)l_1$$

indeed:

```
[60]: assert(
qn.subs(substitution(P7))
== ((-64*ii)) * v1 * u1 * v2^3 * u2^3 * (u1*v1*l2 + u2*v2*l2 + (-3/4*ii)*l1)
)
```

Hence the substitution into cb is: $\{l_1: u_1v_1+u_2v_2, l_2: 3/4*ii\}$

```
[61]: cb1bis = S(cb.subs({l1: u1*v1+u2*v2, l2: 3/4*ii}))
```

We obtain that the new cubic is the same the cubic $cb1$ of the previous case, so this case is already studied above.

```
[62]: assert(cb1bis == cb1)
```

In conclusion, we have two possible configurations: (C_5) and (C_8) (and a case of two lines of eigenpoints) the line $P_3 \vee P_5$ and the line $P_1 \vee P_6 \vee P_7$ are always orthogonal.

1.5 Final computations: formulas for P_6 and P_7

We want to find the formulas for P_6 and P_7 given above (in Case 1: P_2, P_6, P_6 collinear)

This part, if `doLongComputations` is true, requires about 30 minutes.

We define 5 generic points such that: P_2 and P_4 are on the isotropic conic, $P_1 \vee P_2$ and $P_1 \vee P_4$ are tangent to the isotropic conic and P_3 is a point on $P_1 \vee P_2$ and P_5 is a point on $P_1 \vee P_4$ (parameters: $u1, u2, l1, l2, v1, v2, m1, m2$):

```
[63]: P1 = vector(S, (2*u1*v1 + 2*u2*v2, (2*ii)*u1*v1 + (-2*ii)*u2*v2, (2*ii)*u2*v1 +
      ↪(2*ii)*u1*v2))
P2 = vector(S, ((-ii)*u1^2 + (-ii)*u2^2, u1^2 - u2^2, 2*u1*u2))
P3 = vector(
    S,
    (
        2*u1*v1*l1 + 2*u2*v2*l1 + (-ii)*u1^2*l2 + (-ii)*u2^2*l2,
        (2*ii)*u1*v1*l1 + (-2*ii)*u2*v2*l1 + u1^2*l2 - u2^2*l2,
        (2*ii)*u2*v1*l1 + (2*ii)*u1*v2*l1 + 2*u1*u2*l2
    )
)
P4 = vector(S, ((-ii)*v1^2 + (-ii)*v2^2, v1^2 - v2^2, 2*v1*v2))
P5 = vector(
    S,
    (
        2*u1*v1*m1 + 2*u2*v2*m1 + (-ii)*v1^2*m2 + (-ii)*v2^2*m2,
        (2*ii)*u1*v1*m1 + (-2*ii)*u2*v2*m1 + v1^2*m2 - v2^2*m2,
        (2*ii)*u2*v1*m1 + (2*ii)*u1*v2*m1 + 2*v1*v2*m2
    )
)
```

```
[64]: assert(Ciso.subs(substitution(P2)) == 0)
      assert(Ciso.subs(substitution(P4)) == 0)
      assert(alignments([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5]) == [(1, 2, 3), (1, 4, 5)])
```

It holds:

$$P_1 = P_2 \times P_4$$

```
[65]: assert(matrix([P1, wedge_product(P2, P4)]).minors(2) == [0, 0, 0])
```

We know that $\sigma(P_1, P_2) = 0$, $\sigma(P_1, P_4) = 0$ and P_2, P_4 are on Ciso, hence we have:

$$s_{12} = 0, s_{14} = 0, s_{22} = 0, s_{44} = 0, s_{23} = 0, s_{45} = 0$$

```
[66]: assert(scalar_product(P1, P2) == 0)
      assert(scalar_product(P1, P4) == 0)
      assert(scalar_product(P2, P2) == 0)
      assert(scalar_product(P4, P4) == 0)
      assert(scalar_product(P2, P3) == 0)
      assert(scalar_product(P4, P5) == 0)
```

We know that the V - configuration P_1, \dots, P_5 has rank 8

```
[67]: # The following computation if executed, requires about 1' 30''
      # assert(matrixEigenpoints([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5]).rank() == 8)
```

We want to see when a point P_6 on the line $P_2 \vee P_4$ is an eigenpoint. Therefore we define P_6 and we try to see when it is an eigenpoint, i.e. when $\Phi(P_1, \dots, P_6)$ has rank ≤ 9 .

```
[68]: P6 = w1*P2+w2*P4
```

As a consequence of its definition, we have that $s_{16} = 0$.

Now we construct the matrix of conditions:

```
[69]: MM = condition_matrix([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6], S, standard = "all")
```

The next computations show that P_6 is an eigenpoint iff $w_2 l_2 m_1 - w_1 l_1 m_2 = 0$. This result requires 25 minutes.

We select some order 10-minors of MM in such a way that the ideal they generate gives precisely the conditions for which P_6 is an eigenpoint. Remember that we can assume $(u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2) \neq 0$, since P_2 and P_4 are distinct. It turns out that all the determinants of the order 10-minors of MM can be divided by $(u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2)^{24}$.

The next block contains these computations:

```
[72]: doLongComputations = False
```

```
[73]: if doLongComputations:
    Lrw = [
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17],
        [0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16],
        [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16],
        [0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17],
        [0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17],
        [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17],
        [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17],
        [0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17],
        [0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17]
    ]

    Jb = S.ideal(0)
    flag = 1
    for ll in Lrw:
        print(ll)
        sleep(1)
```

```

    ttA = cputime()
    Mx = MM.matrix_from_rows(l1)
    ddt = Mx.det()
    print("computed long determinant")
    sleep(1)
    ddtDiv = ddt.quo_rem((u2*v1-u1*v2)^24) ## it turns out this happens
    if ddtDiv[1] == 0:
        ff = ddtDiv[0]
    else:
        ff = ddt
        print("just in case...") ## In practise, this never happens
    print(cputime()-ttA)
    sleep(1)
    ffId = S.ideal(ff)
    ## we saturate w.r.t. conditions that are surely satisfied.
    ffId = ffId.saturation((u2*v1-u1*v2)*m1*m2*l1*l2*w1*w2)[0]
    Jb = Jb + ffId
    Jb = Jb.saturation((u2*v1-u1*v2)*m1*m2*l1*l2*w1*w2)[0]
    print("computation n. : "+str(flag)+" over "+ str(len(Lrw)))
    print("")
    flag += 1
    sleep(1)
else:
    Jb = S.ideal(w2*l2*m1 - w1*l1*m2)

```

The only condition we get is: $w_2 l_2 m_1 - w_1 l_1 m_2 = 0$

```
[74]: assert(Jb == S.ideal(w2*l2*m1 - w1*l1*m2))
```

We construct a matrix whose determinant is the cubic which has P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5 as eigenpoints and a row of $\phi(P_6)$:

We construct P_6 with this condition:

```
[75]: PP6 = P6.subs({w1:l2*m1, w2: l1*m2})
```

It holds:

$$P_6 = s_{11}s_{15}P_3 - 2s_{13}s_{15}P_1 + s_{11}s_{13}P_5$$

and also:

$$P_6 = s_{15}s_{34}P_2 + s_{13}s_{25}P_4$$

```

[76]: PP6a = (
    scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P3
    - 2*scalar_product(P1, P3)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P1
    + scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P3)*P5
)

assert(S.ideal(matrix([PP6, PP6a]).minors(2)) == S.ideal(0))

```

```
PP6b = scalar_product(P1, P5)*scalar_product(P3, P4)*P2+scalar_product(P1,
↪P3)*scalar_product(P2, P5)*P4
assert(S.ideal(matrix([PP6, PP6b])).minors(2)) == S.ideal(0))
```

We construct the cubic whose eigenpoints are P_1, \dots, P_6 :

```
[77]: MM1 = condition_matrix([P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, PP6], S, standard = "all").
↪matrix_from_rows([0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 16])
MM1 = MM1.stack(vector(mon))
```

```
[78]: ## the following computation requires 146 seconds:
cbc = MM1.det()
```

We can find that P_7 is given by the formula:

$$s_{11}s_{15}P_3 + s_{13}s_{15}P_1 + s_{11}s_{13}P_5$$

and also by:

$$s_{15}(s_{26}s_{46} + s_{24}s_{66})P_1 + s_{11}s_{24}s_{56}P_6$$

```
[79]: PP7b = (
    scalar_product(P1, P5)
    * (scalar_product(P2, PP6)*scalar_product(P4, PP6)+ scalar_product(P2,
↪P4)*scalar_product(PP6, PP6))*P1
    + scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P2, P4)*scalar_product(P5, PP6)*PP6
)

PP7 = (
    scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P3
    + scalar_product(P1, P3)*scalar_product(P1, P5)*P1
    + scalar_product(P1, P1)*scalar_product(P1, P3)*P5
)
```

PP7 and PP7b are the same point:

```
[80]: assert(matrix([PP7, PP7b]).minors(2) == [0, 0, 0])
```

P_7 is an eigenpoint (about 40 seconds of computations):

```
[81]: assert(S.ideal(list(eig(cbc))).subs(substitution(PP7)) == S.ideal(S.zero()))
```