

Politecnico di Milano  
A.A. 2017-2018  
Software Engineering II project  
**Travlendar+**  
**Acceptance Test**  
**Document**  
**V1**

Mirko Mantovani (893784), Matteo Marziali (893904)

January 9, 2018



## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Analyzed project</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Project info . . . . .	3
1.2	Documents considered . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Installation Setup</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Acceptance Test Cases</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Creation and management of flexible breaks . . . . .	5
3.2	Use cases . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Additional notes</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Effort spent . . . . .	9

# 1 Analyzed project

## 1.1 Project info

The project analysed is **EventMI** developed by: Guglielmo Manneschi and Andrea Mecchia.

The link to the private repository containing code and documentation is:  
<https://github.com/nondecidibile/ManneschiMecchia>

## 1.2 Documents considered

The documents we took into consideration when analysing and testing the application are:

- **ITD:** from which we found the implemented requirements/functionalities.
- **RASD1.1:** from which we found all the requirements and checked the coherence with the ones that were actually implemented.
- **DD1.0:**

## 2 Installation Setup

Since the last version of the application was already remotely deployed and the group provided users with public access to it at the address page: <http://eventmi.date>, we avoided the installation on our machines and preferred to concentrate the little time we had on performing a thorough testing of the requirements they implemented.

The installation manual seems clear though, even if a installation guide based on a more widespread operating system, such as Windows, would have been better.

### 3 Acceptance Test Cases

#### 3.1 Creation and management of flexible breaks

Requirements relative to goal G3 which is: "Ensure that appointments and related trasfers dont preclude a persons daily breaks, such as lunch break."

Implemented requirements	Notes
<b>R11.1</b> Adding a break specifying a time interval and a minimum duration	<b>Correct</b>
<b>R11.2</b> Modify a break specifying a time interval and a minimum duration	<b>Correct</b>
<b>R11.3</b> Delete a break	<b>Correct</b>

Table 1: Breaks: R11

Implemented requirements	Notes
<p><b>R12</b> The system must organize the transfers so that all the minimum durations of the breaks are respected, or show a warning in the other case.</p>	<p><b>Partially correct</b> In particular: it's not very clear what organizing the transfer means, we believe the semantics of this requirement is that the system has to be able to, at meeting insertion time, either determining whether the inserted meeting does not overlap with the break in a way such that it is impossible for the break to actually happen (in order to be able to be scheduled, the break has to be of at least the minimum specified length), or to determining if the inserted break overlaps with the already inserted meetings and would not be able to be properly scheduled. With respect to this the following minor bugs have been detected during the testing phase:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the event is created before the break, the system does not detect the unfeasibility of the break scheduling: as test case I created a meeting from 17:00 to 18:00 then I created a break from 17:00 to 18.10 with a minimum time of 20 minutes, the system does not tell the user in any way the incompatibility of the event and the break.</li> <li>• Extending the above point, if I create a meeting that overlaps again with the break, even if the break is already created, the system does not tell me it is not compatible and lets the user create it. As test case from the above point state I created an event from 18:05 to 19:00 and the outcome is the creation of the event without warnings.</li> <li>• Another important thing to notice is that when considering the feasibility of a break, the minimum duration time is considered summing up all the time slices left free inbetween the meetings overlapping with the break, this could mean that for instance one could create a lunch break that has to last for at least half an hour from a certain starting time to and ending time, and then creating some events into this time range leaving small bunches of minutes between the events, and as long as the sum of all the small pauses sums up to more than thirty minutes the system would not complain about that and would let you split a lunch in several and distant moments.</li> </ul>

Table 2: Breaks: R12

### 3.2 Use cases

In this section we check that all use cases presented in the RASD matches the implementation.

Use Case	Exit Condition	Exceptions
Registration	Correct	Correct
Login	Correct	Correct
Add event to calendar	Correct	Correct
Edit an event in the calendar	Correct	Correct
Removing an event from the calendar	Correct	Correct
Recompute transfer for an event	<b>Incorrect:</b> It displays an error: "recompute not allowed over insecure network", yet the application is not present in a secure domain (https)	<b>Incorrect:</b> It is stated no exceptions presents where instead an exception is always presented
Transfer notification	Not implemented	Not implemented
Dene a new break	Correct	Correct
Modify a break	Correct	Correct
Delete a break	Correct	Correct
Add a preferred mean of transport	Correct	Correct
Select a navigation prole	Not implemented	Not implemented

Table 3: Breaks: R11

## 4 Additional notes



## 5 Appendix

### 5.1 Effort spent

- Matteo Marziali working hours:  $\cong 2$  hours
- Mirko Mantovani working hours:  $\cong 2$  hours