a parser for the Core Language

Project

Part 1

main restrictions:

- 1) no explicit type declarations
- 2) use a simple uniform representation for constructors
- 3) no pattern matching → is transformed into simple case expressions

data Colour = Red | Green | Blue data Complex = Rect Num Num | Polar Num Num data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a) data NumPair = MkNumPair Num Num

```
Pack {tag, arity}
data Colour = Red | Green | Blue
Red = Pack\{1,0\}
Green = Pack\{2,0\}
Blue = Pack{3,0}
data Complex = Rect Num Num | Polar Num Num
Rect = Pack\{4,2\}
Polar = Pack\{5,2\}
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
Leaf = Pack{6,1}
Node = Pack\{7,2\}
```

type checking guarantees that different types are not mixed

```
data Colour = Red | Green | Blue
Red = Pack\{1,0\}
Green = Pack\{2,0\}
Blue = Pack\{3,0\}
data Complex = Rect Num Num | Polar Num Num
Rect = Pack\{1,2\}
Polar = Pack\{2,2\}
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
Leaf = Pack\{1,1\}
Node = Pack\{1,2\}
```

pattern matching is transformed in case statements:

```
isRed c = case c of
          <1>-> True;
          <2> -> False:
          <3> -> False
depth t = case t of
          <1>_ -> 0;
          <2> t1 t2 -> 1 + max (depth t1) (depth t2)
```

Programs	program	\rightarrow	sc_1 ;; sc_n	$n \ge 1$
Supercombinators	sc	\rightarrow	$var \ var_1 \dots var_n = expr$	$n \ge 0$
Expressions	expr		expr aexpr expr_1 binop expr_2 let defns in expr letrec defns in expr case expr of alts \ var_1 \ldots var_n \ldots expr aexpr	Application Infix binary application Local definitions Local recursive definitions Case expression Lambda abstraction $(n \ge 1)$ Atomic expression
	aexpr	→ 	var num Pack{num,num} (expr)	Variable Number Constructor Parenthesised expression
Definitions	_		$defn_1$;; $defn_n$ var = expr	$n \ge 1$
Alternatives			alt_1 ;; alt_n < $num > var_1 var_n -> expr$	
Binary operators	arithop	$\overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}$	arithop relop boolop + - * / < <= == ~= >= > &	Arithmetic Comparison Boolean
Variables	alpha	\rightarrow	alpha $varch_1 \dots varch_n$ an alphabetic character alpha $digit$ _	$n \ge 0$
Numbers	num	\rightarrow	$digit_1 \dots digit_n$	$n \ge 1$

Figure 1.1: BNF syntax for the Core language

the core language grammar

type Name = String

```
> data Expr a
   = EVar Name
                                -- Variables
  ENum Int
                                 -- Numbers
  | EConstr Int Int
                               -- Constructor tag arity
> | EAp (Expr a) (Expr a)
                                -- Applications
                                 -- Let(rec) expressions
   ELet
        IsRec
                                   boolean with True = recursive,
                     [Def a]
                                -- Definitions
       [(a, Expr a)]
       (Expr a)
                                 -- Body of let(rec)
    ECase
                                 -- Case expression
                                 -- Expression to scrutinise
       (Expr a)
        [Alter a]
                                 -- Alternatives
    | ELam [a] (Expr a)
                               -- Lambda abstractions
     deriving (Text)
             Show
```

Finally, a Core-language program is just a list of supercombinator definitions:

```
> type Program a = [ScDefn a]
> type CoreProgram = Program Name
```

A supercombinator definition contains the name of the supercombinator, its arguments and its body:

```
> type ScDefn a = (Name, [a], Expr a)
> type CoreScDefn = ScDefn Name
```

The argument list might be empty, in the case of a supercombinator with no arguments.

We conclude with a small example. Consider the following small program.

```
main = double 21 ;
double x = x+x
```

This program is represented by the following Miranda expression, of type coreProgram:

```
[("main", [], (EAp (EVar "double") (ENum 21))), ("double", ["x"], (EAp (EVar "+") (EVar "x")) (EVar "x")))
```

type Def a = (a, Expr a) -- for let and letrec

type Alter a = (Int, [a], Expr a) -- for case

in Expr using IsRec you use the constructor ELet for modelling both let and letrec

data IsRec = NonRecursive | Recursive deriving Show

first part of the project

write parseExpr for the cases:
let, letrec, case, lambda e aexpr
you need also parseDef and parseAlt that parseExpr calls for
Def (let and letrec) and Alter (case)
and also parseAExpr for parsing AExpr

for the time being don't treat the first two productions for Expr

the productions for parseProg and parseScDef are given as examples

```
parseProg :: Parser (Program Name)
parseProg = do p <- parseScDef</pre>
               do character ';'
                   ps <- parseProg
                   return (p:ps)
                <|> return [p]
parseScDef :: Parser (ScDef Name)
parseScDef = do v <- parseVar</pre>
```

pf <- many parseVar

return (v, pf, body)

body <- parseExpr -- call to parseExpr

character '='

parseExpr :: Parser (Expr Name)

parseAExpr :: Parser (Expr Name)

parseDef :: Parser (Def Name)

parseAlt :: Parser (Alter Name)

for opening and reading the input file:

```
import System.IO
import Parser
import ParseProg
```

```
readF :: IO String
readF = do inh <- openFile "input.txt" ReadMode
    prog <- readloop inh
    hClose inh
    return prog</pre>
```

```
main :: IO (Program Name)
main = do inp <- readF
          return (comp (parse parseProg inp)) --here you
call parseProg
comp :: [(Program Name, Name)] -> Program Name
        = error "no parse"
comp []
comp[(e,[])] = e
comp [(\_,a)] = error ("doesn't use all input"++ a)
readloop inh = do ineof <- hIsEOF inh
                 if ineof
                    then return []
                     else do
                           x <- hGetLine inh
                           xs <- readloop inh
                           return (x ++ xs)
```

test input:

```
f = 3;

g x y = let z = x in z;

h x = case (let y = x in y) of

<1>->2

<2>->5
```