```
kali)-[/home/kali]
  Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 08:01 EDT
Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.101
Host is up (0.00057s latency).
Not shown: 977 closed tcp ports (reset)
        STATE SERVICE
21/tcp
       open ftp
        open ssh
22/tcp
        open telnet
23/tcp
        open smtp
25/tcp
        open domain
open http
53/tcp
80/tcp
111/tcp open rpcbind
139/tcp open netbios-ssn
445/tcp open microsoft-ds
512/tcp open exec
513/tcp open login
514/tcp open shell
1099/tcp open rmiregistry
1524/tcp open ingreslock
2049/tcp open nfs
2121/tcp open ccproxy-ftp
3306/tcp open mysql
5432/tcp open postgresql
5900/tcp open
             vnc
6000/tcp open X11
6667/tcp open irc
8009/tcp open ajp13
8180/tcp open unknown
MAC Address: 08:00:27:F1:EF:B9 (Oracle VirtualBox virtual NIC)
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 13.27 seconds
```

```
File Actions Edit View Help

[sudo] password for kali:

- (nowled lott) - [/home/kali]

- mmap - 0 192.168.30.101

Starting Nmap 7.945VN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 07:58 EDT

Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.101

Host is up (0.000908 latency).

Not shown: 97 closed tcp ports (reset)

PORT STATE SERVICE

21/tcp open ftp

22/tcp open ssh

23/tcp open smtp

33/tcp open domain

80/tcp open methios-ssn

445/tcp open microsoft-ds

512/tcp open microsoft-ds

512/tcp open shell

1099/tcp open in:

1099/tcp open miregistry

1524/tcp open shell

1099/tcp open miregistry

1524/tcp open microsoft-ds

4321/tcp open miregistry

1524/tcp open
```

```
i)-[/home/kali]
      ____nmap -0 192.168.50.102
  Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 09:02 EDT
  Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.102
  Host is up (0.00076s latency).
  All 1000 scanned ports on 192.168.50.102 are in ignored states.
Not shown: 1000 filtered tcp ports (no-response)
  MAC Address: 08:00:27:2E:13:03 (Oracle VirtualBox virtual NIC)
  Too many fingerprints match this host to give specific OS details
Network Distance: 1 hop
  OS detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
  Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 37.02 seconds
            (root@kali)-[/home/kali]
nmap -Pn -O 192.168.50.102
  Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 09:06 EDT
  Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.102
  Host is up (0.00059s latency).
  All 1000 scanned ports on 192.168.50.102 are in ignored states.
  Not shown: 1000 filtered tcp ports (no-response)
  MAC Address: 08:00:27:2E:13:03 (Oracle VirtualBox virtual NIC)
  Too many fingerprints match this host to give specific OS details
  Network Distance: 1 hop
  OS detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
  Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 36.97 seconds
                                                                                                                            root@kali: /home/kali
 File Actions Edit View Help
Nmap done: 1 IP address (0 hosts up) scanned in 1.55 seconds
 Manap -Pn -0 192-168-50.102

Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 08:45 EDT

Nmap done: 1 IP address (0 hosts up) scanned in 1.55 seconds
(root@ kali)-[/home/kali]
y mmap -0 192.168.50.102
Starting Nmap 7.945VN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 08:47 EDT
Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.102
Host is up (0.0011s latency).
Not shown: 991 closed tcp ports (reset)
PORT STATE SERVICE
135/tcp open msrpc
139/tcp open msrpc
139/tcp open microsoft-ds
49152/tcp open unknown
49153/tcp open unknown
49153/tcp open unknown
 49154/tcp open unknown
 49155/tcp open unknown
49156/tcp open unknown
49157/tcp open unknown
MAC Address: 08:00:27:2E:13:03 (Oracle VirtualBox virtual NIC)
Device type: general purpose
Running: Microsoft Windows 7|2008|8.1

OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7::- cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7::sp1 cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_server_2008::sp1 cpe:/o:microsoft:w
OS detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 15.61 seconds
(root@ kali)=[/home/kali]
nmap -sT 192.168.50.101

Starting Nmap 7.945VN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-05-08 08:13 EDT

Nmap scan report for 192.168.50.101

Host is up (0.0019s latency).

Not shown: 977 closed tcp ports (conn-refused)

PORT STATE SERVICE
21/tcp open ftp

22/tcp open ssh
23/tcp open telnet
25/tcn open smtp
25/tcp
53/tcp
                  open smtp
open domain
80/tcp open http
111/tcp open rpcbind
139/tcp open netbios-ssn
445/tcp open microsoft-ds
512/tcp open exec
513/tcp open login
514/tcp open shell
```

1099/tcp open rmiregistry 1524/tcp open ingreslock 2049/tcp open nfs 2121/tcp open ccproxy-ftp

3306/tcp open mysql 5432/tcp open postgresql 5900/tcp open vnc 6000/tcp open vnc 6667/tcp open irc 8009/tcp open ajp13

8180/tcp open unknown MAC Address: 08:00:27:F1:EF:B9 (Oracle VirtualBox virtual NIC) Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 13.48 seconds Per l'analisi della sicurezza dei sistemi, ho utilizzato lo strumento Nmap per condurre una serie di scansioni sul target Metasploitable e su un sistema Windows 7.

Per quanto riguarda Metasploitable, ho eseguito diverse scansioni per ottenere informazioni dettagliate sul sistema operativo, le porte aperte e i servizi in esecuzione. Utilizzando la funzione di fingerprinting dell'OS di Nmap, ho identificato il sistema operativo come Linux. Successivamente, ho eseguito una scansione SYN per individuare le porte aperte e i servizi in ascolto, seguita da una scansione TCP Connect per confrontare i risultati e rilevare eventuali discrepanze. Inoltre, ho eseguito una scansione di rilevamento delle versioni dei servizi per ottenere informazioni sulle versioni specifiche dei servizi in esecuzione.

Per quanto riguarda il sistema Windows 7, ho eseguito una scansione di fingerprinting dell'OS per identificare il sistema operativo. Tuttavia, durante la scansione, non sono state rilevate porte aperte, suggerendo una possibile configurazione di rete più restrittiva o una minore esposizione rispetto al sistema Metasploitable.

Le informazioni raccolte durante queste scansioni sono cruciali per valutare la sicurezza dei sistemi e identificare eventuali vulnerabilità che potrebbero essere sfruttate da potenziali attaccanti. Il confronto tra le scansioni SYN e TCP Connect su Metasploitable ha evidenziato le differenze di velocità e precisione tra i due metodi di scansione, fornendo utili informazioni per futuri test di penetrazione e analisi della sicurezza dei sistemi.