

Subjective ME

Consultation for Subject-Orientated Process Modeling and Management

# Process Report for

## Report for Process: 2023-01-20

## Rebuild

Prepared for

Public Releas

By

ME

Februar 13, 2023

## Table of contents:

Project Structure:.....	4
SID 1 .....	5
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	5
Subject Short Description .....	6
Messages .....	6
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	10
Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter in SID 1 .....	10
Behavior Execution Arbitrator in SID 1 .....	17
Calendar/Timer in SID 1 .....	20
Input Pool Controller in SID 1 .....	23
Send Controller in SID 1 .....	26
Interface Subjects & Groups .....	29
Execution Environment .....	29
External Execution Environments .....	29
Model Verificator .....	29
Corresponding Subject Send Controller .....	30
Corresponding Input Pool Controller .....	30
Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction) .....	30
Execution Environment (Send Resolves) .....	30
SID Guards 1 .....	31
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	31
Subject Short Description .....	32
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	33
Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment in SID Guards 1 .....	33
Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability in SID Guards 1 .....	35
SID Guards 3 .....	37
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	37
Subject Short Description .....	38
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	39
Guard Extension 3 in SID Guards 3 .....	39
Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer in SID Guards 3 .....	41
SID Guards 4 .....	43
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	43
Subject Short Description .....	44
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	45
Guard Interpreter: User Cancel in SID Guards 4 .....	45
Executability Macro .....	47
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	47
Subject Short Description .....	48
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	49
Macro Check Executability in Executability Macro .....	49

SID Guards 2 .....	52
Subject Interaction Diagram:.....	52
Subject Short Description .....	53
Subjects and Their Internal Behavior .....	54
Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request in SID Guards 2 .....	54
Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard in SID Guards 2 .....	56
Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction in SID Guards 2.....	58

## Project Structure:

The model consists of one base page and 5 extending layers. Each of the layers defines its own SID. The layers will be presented one by one, in hierarchical order.

Hierarchical layer tree:

```
----- SID 1
      |----- SID Guards 1
      |----- SID Guards 3
      |----- SID Guards 4
      |----- Executability Macro
      |----- SID Guards 2
```

# SID 1

## Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 1: Subject-Oriented Referential Process Model of Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There are 12 subjects being part of [SID 1](#):

### Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter

Execution Environment

External Execution Environments

Model Verifier

### Behavior Execution Arbitrator

### Calendar/Timer

### Input Pool Controller

Corresponding Subject Send Controller

### Send Controller

Corresponding Input Pool Controller

Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

Execution Environment (Send Resolves)

## Messages

Depending on the organization size and chosen level of formalism, the possible forms of messages are broad. They may be elaborated formal reports spanning many pages of written text, conveyed or stored in an organization's internal information management systems. Equally possible is a scenario where the same information, if at all, is formulated and conveyed purely informal on a personal level in form of verbal instructions and decisions or in meetings.

There are 72 messages being sent in [SID 1](#):

Valid Model for execution (MessageSpecification\_908)

Question: Can subject be terminated? (MessageSpecification\_904)

Current State (end or not) (MessageSpecification\_910)

Reply: Can execute/can not execute (MessageSpecification\_931)

Execution Done (MessageSpecification\_940)

Yield execution rights (temporarily) (MessageSpecification\_944)

Initialize behavior instance (layer) for execution (MessageSpecification\_934)

Query: can execute? (MessageSpecification\_936)

Execute (MessageSpecification\_938)

Input pool constraints (MessageSpecification\_952)

External ad-hoc layer priority adjustment (MessageSpecification\_960)

Non-interruptable function call finished (MessageSpecification\_906)

Start state execution timer (Time Out Time Setting) (MessageSpecification\_993)

Setting frequency/date for state (MessageSpecification\_991)

Register traversal of frequency timer (MessageSpecification\_995)

Timer Reached (MessageSpecification\_997)

Place Reminder Message: Frequency Reached (MessageSpecification\_1005)

Inquiry: Message(s) available? (MessageSpecification\_1021)

Message Request (Take-out) (MessageSpecification\_1019)

Message Could be Received (MessageSpecification\_1025)

Not in fitting receive State (MessageSpecification\_1023)

Message/s available (MessageSpecification\_1031)

Message/s not available (MessageSpecification\_1027)

Message/s (taken out) (MessageSpecification\_1029)

Inquiry: can message be received directly (MessageSpecification\_1033)

Message/s (MessageSpecification\_1047)

Message Commit (MessageSpecification\_1051)

Retract Message (Multi-Send Fail) (MessageSpecification\_1049)

Message accepted (MessageSpecification\_1053)

Message declined (MessageSpecification\_1055)

Request Receiver Options (MessageSpecification\_1079)

*Comment: This message may require multiple information. Regarding whether previous contact has been made, what the current context is etc.*

Message/s to be send (MessageSpecification\_1077)

Receiver Options (MessageSpecification\_1087)

Sending successful (MessageSpecification\_1081)

Sending partially successful (MessageSpecification\_1083)

Sending not successful (MessageSpecification\_1085)

Message/s (MessageSpecification\_1102)

Message Commit (MessageSpecification\_1104)

Retract Message (Multi-Send Fail) (MessageSpecification\_1106)

Message accepted (MessageSpecification\_1108)

Message declined (MessageSpecification\_1110)

Initialize new behavior instance (layer) for macro (MessageSpecification\_1179)

*Comment: including origin state information*

initialize new behavior instance for time-out guard (MessageSpecification\_1181)

initialize new behavior instance for choice segment path (MessageSpecification\_1183)

Result of Function Execution (MessageSpecification\_1197)

Failed to execute (MessageSpecification\_1199)

User-Cancel (MessageSpecification\_1201)

User-choice of receiver (MessageSpecification\_1203)

User-Choice Data Mapping (MessageSpecification\_1205)

*Comment: Choice of the user which data to put into a message to be sent or data from a received message to be stored*

User-Choice continue after failed sending (MessageSpecification\_1207)

User-Choice Message Receive (MessageSpecification\_1209)

User-Choice Do (MessageSpecification\_1211)

Offer User-Cancel option (MessageSpecification\_1221)

Offer choice segment path priority adjustment (MessageSpecification\_1239)

*Comment: this is the trigger that should give some user interface to adjust the priority. the answer is send to the arbitrator*

End choice segment path priority adjustment (MessageSpecification\_1241)

*Comment: remember that these can be nested (multiple choice segments active at the same time) so it should always match the creating message*

Request function execution (with interrupts) (MessageSpecification\_1213)

*Comment: Each time the behavior is interrupted due to guards (normal or even due to time slice mode time restrictions) the function call is also stopped, either to be resumed later or to be aborted if the state is left)*

Request function execution (no Interrupts only abort) (MessageSpecification\_1223)

*Comment: the function call is not interrupted even if the behavior of the calling state is. But if the calling state is left due to guards or similar the function execution is aborted)*

Request function execution (fire and forget) (MessageSpecification\_1235)

*Comment: Fire and forget function calls are not cared for by the engine after initializing*

Request function execution (blocking) (MessageSpecification\_1237)

*Comment: blocking functions calls lock the whole execution engine until call has been resolved and returned (very powerfull)*

Interrupt Function Execution (MessageSpecification\_1215)

continue function execution (MessageSpecification\_1217)

abort function execution (MessageSpecification\_1219)

Request User Decision/Acknowledgement on Do (MessageSpecification\_1233)

Request User Decision on Data Mapping (MessageSpecification\_1227)

*Comment: Different for send and receive*

Request User Decision on Send (MessageSpecification\_1225)

Request User Decision on Sending Failed (MessageSpecification\_1229)

Request User Decision on Message to be received (MessageSpecification\_1231)

terminate subject instance (MessageSpecification\_902)

Request: Sending Options/Address Resolve (MessageSpecification\_1265)

*Comment: it may be the case that the subject instance to be send to is not known or not even existing yet. Even more so if it is an instance existing within a separated execution environment. In that case the resolve of the address or even external request need to be undertaken by the environment*



Sending Options (MessageSpecification\_1269)

*Comment: Possible subjects and/or possible subject carriers ? Possible other execution environments*

Choice Segment Choice (Priority Adjustment) (MessageSpecification\_962)

*Comment: by default this should only occur for choice segment path behaviors and the calling layers.*

Ad-hoc model extension (behavior) (MessageSpecification\_1280)

## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter in SID 1




Figure 2: Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it is extended by another subject).

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter <-- (*)
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability
|----- Guard Extension 3
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel
|----- Macro Check Executability
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

#### *Functions States:*

Determine how to handle Receiver Options

*Comment: Could be done automatically if only one target exists, but if not, user input might be necessary*

prepare message (map data)

determine message(s) to be considered

*Comment: when multiple transitions are outgoing of the state, this may depend on the given priorities on the outgoing receive transitions of the send state currently executed. Equally also an external choice by the user/or engine can be made. Also acknowledgement of the user may be necessary even if only one possibility exists*

Check for macro call

Check for Outgoing Reminder Transitions (first visit only)

*Comment: Basically only receive state should have reminder transitions. A frequency based reminder transition in a do state is basically a repeating time-out and should be handled as such in the previous state.*

Check for external function calls (before macro)

*Comment: an external function call is the instruction for the execution environment to start or call some kind of execution that is not part of the PASS model description but outside of the jurisdiction of the runtime environment (e.g. the call to a web-service)*

Choose outgoing Edge according to results/conditions

*Comment: Check for the exit conditions of all outgoing states. If the behavior has regain controlled at least one should be fulfilled. The result might depend on the return values of an prior function call, macro call, a current set data value in a subjects-data-storag. The simplest version is that the call has been finished (done). If there are multiple outgoing transitions with fulfilled exit condition than an outside decision is necessary which in turn might simply be a user input or the evaluation of the priority number of the outgoing transitions.*

Check for User Cancels

*Comment: user cancels can be a special function with receive states and send states. Do-States also are allowed to have them but for them they function very similar to standard do transition*

Log State history and change overall active state

*Comment: by default, this should be a completely new state, except if this is a "sub-state" in a Macro, Guard (with return to origin), or the end state of a choice-segment path behavior. In those cases, the current sub-state needs to be changed if the next state is in that behavior itself. End states of choice segment path behaviors do not matter they simply end and stay while their sibling behaviors might be still active*

Update Behavior's own last-known state

*Comment: this might be different from the previous state, because there are "return to origin references" and complex state constructs*

Determine Target State of chosen or executed transition.

*Comment: usually the target of the chosen transition. But if the target is a "return to origin" state this is a little bit more complicated. A macro behavior or any behavior with a "return to origin" target simply goes back to the less complex state.*

Check for timer/time-out transitions

*Comment: Also reminder (timer) transitions in do states should be considered repeating reminders. A guard that is only for a single state*

Create/update and set complex state

*Comment: So the official state of the subject is to be in "this" state for the macro calling behavior but also in the start state of the macro behavior that has a higher priority.*

type of state?

Create/Update and set nested state

*Comment: The official state of the subject is to be in "this" choice segment in calling behavior but also in the virtual start state of each of the choice segment paths. The structure of this nested state in this case is kind of tree-structure.*

analyze current state

*Comment: possibly with a small delay in the beginning to make sure that the arbitrator has set in*

change current subject state to guard receive

*Comment: complex state. needs to be done since when a guard is triggered the official state has not changed up until now*

Choose outgoing Edge according to results/conditions

*Comment: This should only be executed when returning and the executability is given. potentially a wait period is necessary until the guard is triggered*

(possibly) process returning information

temp End

execute data mapping (if applicable)

Determine how to continue

Choose outgoing Edge according to results/conditions

*Comment: Check for the exit conditions of all outgoing states. If the behavior has regained control at least one should be fulfilled. The result might depend on the return values of a prior function call, macro call, a current set data value in a subjects-data-storage. The simplest version is that the call has been finished (done). If there are multiple outgoing transitions with fulfilled exit condition then an outside decision is necessary which in turn might simply be a user input or the evaluation of the priority number of the outgoing transitions.*

Determine how to continue

execute data mapping (if applicable)

#### *Receiving States:*

Wait for reply

receive receiver options

Receive receiver instructions

Receive mapping instructions

receive message

Receive receive instructions

Receive mapping instructions

Receive Instructions

Receive User Decision/Acknowledgement

Await possible response or finished message

*Comment: not all function call might return a value, but in that case the execution environment is to send at least some kind of response (default or that the call was at least initialized)*

Receive User Decision/Acknowledgement

Await Instantiation

#### *Sending States:*

Send Messages

Request receiver options

request user choice/external decision

request user choice/external decision

request message(s) from inbox

request user choice/external decision

request user choice/external decision

Initialize Reminder

yield execution rights for macro behavior

*Comment: upon reevaluation the macro behavior should be in an executable state*

Request User Decision on Sending Failed

Create Interrupt Behavior for Timer

Set timer

*Comment: Note for multiple time-out transition take the shortest (beware of time vs. business days)*

offer user cancel choice

Request User Decision/Acknowledgement

Update Reminder/Calendar

Load and initialize Macro behavior

*Comment: The macro behavior needs a priority lower than the calling behavior to not disturb guard behaviors of the calling layer.*

request external function execution

*Comment: There can be various modes for how a function call is happening. Cross check the options*

request external function execution

*Comment: There can be various modes for how a function call is happening. Cross check the options*

request external function execution

*Comment: There can be various modes for how a function call is happening. Cross check the options*

request external function execution

*Comment: There can be various modes for how a function call is happening. Cross check the options*

yield execution rights for choice segment behavior

*Comment: initially the mandatory choice segment path behaviors should have a higher priority than this calling behavior. Yielding control and should lead to a situation where the first all choice segment paths behaviors are executed. When this behavior regains control all mandatory conditions should have been met and only choice of the outgoing transition is left that behaves exactly as a do state.*

create a choice segment path behaviors

*Comment: An individual behavior is created for each choice segment path adding a virtual start and end Do-State to each (necessary to monitor the "mandatory to start" and "mandatory to end" conditions). choice segment path behaviors (CSPB) are grouped always together, but their priority values can change (set from outside by the user). Next to setting the priority order within the CSPB-group as soon as all mandatory to...conditions are fulfilled the user has the option to set the priority of the group below the priority of the calling behavior in order to advance it. Default priority of the CSPB group is simply from "left to right" or rather from the first mentioned to the last.*

End Choice segment behaviors priority adjustment

*Comment: when execution is resumed here all condition should have met*

Offer user choice segment priority adjustment for paths

Cancel any still running function execution

*Comment: not if this is the "sub-state" and the function is executed in a higher state in that hierarchy. But this has to be done especially if this is a guard behavior that changes the overall state*

report state finished

Request User Decision/Acknowledgement

Set frequency/reminder Timers

*Outgoing messages:*

"Reply: Can execute/can not execute" To [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Execution Done" To [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Yield execution rights (temporarily)" To [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Start state execution timer (Time Out Time Setting)" To [Calendar/Timer](#)

"Setting frequency/date for state" To [Calendar/Timer](#)

"Register traversal of frequency timer" To [Calendar/Timer](#)

"Inquiry: Message(s) available?" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Message Request (Take-out)" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Message Could be Received" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Not in fitting receive State" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Request Receiver Options" To [Send Controller](#)

"Message/s to be send" To [Send Controller](#)

"Initialize new behavior instance (layer) for macro" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"initialize new behavior instance for time-out guard" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"initialize new behavior instance for choice segment path" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Offer User-Cancel option" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Offer choice segment path priority adjustment" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"End choice segment path priority adjustment" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request function execution (with interrupts)" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request function execution (no Interrupts only abort)" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request function execution (fire and forget)" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request function execution (blocking)" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Interrupt Function Execution" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"continue function execution" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"abort function execution" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request User Decision/Acknowledgement on Do" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request User Decision on Data Mapping" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request User Decision on Send" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request User Decision on Sending Failed" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Request User Decision on Message to be received" To Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

*Receiving messages:*

"Initialize behavior instance (layer) for execution" From [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Query: can execute?" From [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Execute" From [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)

"Timer Reached" From [Calendar/Timer](#)

"Message/s available" From [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Message/s not available" From [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Message/s (taken out)" From [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Inquiry: can message be received directly" From [Input Pool Controller](#)

"Receiver Options" From [Send Controller](#)

"Sending successful" From [Send Controller](#)

"Sending partially successful" From [Send Controller](#)

"Sending not successful" From [Send Controller](#)

"Initialize new behavior instance (layer) for macro" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"initialize new behavior instance for time-out guard" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"initialize new behavior instance for choice segment path" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Result of Function Execution" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Failed to execute" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-Cancel" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-choice of receiver" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-Choice Data Mapping" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-Choice continue after failed sending" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-Choice Message Receive" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"User-Choice Do" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

Complete Subject Behavior Diagram SBD\_9\_SID\_1\_FullySpecifiedSubject\_505




Figure 3: Subject Behavior for Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter



## Behavior Execution Arbitrator in SID 1



Figure 4: Behavior Execution Arbitrator

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it is extended by another subject).

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Behavior Execution Arbitrator    <-- (*)
|----- Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment
|----- Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer
|----- Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Intialize data and official state for subject

Do State

Choose behavior for execution

*Comment: Depends on the which behavior respondet and which of those has the highest priority*

External function call active?

Execution Mode?

Write to Error log: behavior controler not responsive

temp end

### *Receiving States:*

Receive Model

Reveive Answers

check for subject termination

continue von blocking finished

Receive Callback or time-out

Receive Callback or time-out

*Sending States:*

Initialize interpreters for all behaviors

Intialize Input Pool Controler

Query all behaviors for executability

Inform evoironment about current state

grant permission (time slice or step)

*Outgoing messages:*

"Current State (end or not)" To Execution Environment

"Initialize behavior instance (layer) for execution" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Query: can execute?" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Execute" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Input pool constraints" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

*Receiving messages:*

"Valid Model for execution" From Execution Environment

"Question: Can subject be terminated?" From Execution Environment

"Reply: Can execute/can not execute" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Execution Done" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Yield execution rights (temporarily)" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)


"External ad-hoc layer priority adjustment" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Non-interruptable function call finished" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"terminate subject instance" From Execution Environment

"Choice Segment Choice (Priority Adjustment)" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)

"Ad-hoc model extension (behavior)" From Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)



**Figure 5: Subject Behavior for Behavior Execution Arbitrator**

## Calendar/Timer in SID 1



Figure 6: Calendar/Timer

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it is extended by another subject).

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Calendar/Timer    <-- (*)  
      |----- Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Count down time-out time

*Comment: This check is a simple time-out has reached 0 or date has been reached. Which of the variables is been used depends on type of time-out (seconds/days/business days/fixed date)*

End

continuously check if frequency has time-out/ calendar date has been reached again

*Comment: If a continues ReminderTransition is chosen, this timer subject will be active for the whol duration of the subject instance*

Reset Timer/ calculate new time-out date based on given frequency

*Comment: Only if applicable (e.g. not with fixed callendarial dates)*

temp end for test

### *Receiving States:*

Receive Timer Settings

### *Sending States:*

Send Time Out Notice

Send Reminder

*Outgoing messages:*

"Timer Reached" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Place Reminder Message: Frequency Reached" To [Input Pool Controller](#)

*Receiving messages:*

"Start state execution timer (Time Out Time Setting)" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Setting frequency/date for state" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Register traversal of frequency timer" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)




Figure 7: Subject Behavior for Calendar/Timer

## Input Pool Controller in SID 1




Figure 8: Input Pool Controller

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Set-Up Input Pool Restrictions

Add Message to input pool

*Comment: according to the constraint rules if applicable (e.g. remove older messages)*

Verify Input Pool Constraints & Strategy

check input pool for messages

Remove Message/s from Input Pool

temp end

### *Receiving States:*

Get Initialization

Ready

Await request for direct receive

wait for responses

Wait for commit

Wait for commit

### *Sending States:*

Send confirmation

Forward Message

Check for direct receivability

Decline Reception

confirm availability  
deny availability  
Forward Messages  
Send confirmation

*Outgoing messages:*

"Message/s available" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message/s not available" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message/s (taken out)" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Inquiry: can message be received directly" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message accepted" To Corresponding Subject Send Controller  
"Message declined" To Corresponding Subject Send Controller

*Receiving messages:*

"Input pool constraints" From [Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#)  
"Place Reminder Message: Frequency Reached" From [Calendar/Timer](#)  
"Inquiry: Message(s) available?" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message Request (Take-out)" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message Could be Received" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Not in fitting receive State" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)  
"Message/s" From Corresponding Subject Send Controller  
"Message Commit" From Corresponding Subject Send Controller  
"Retract Message (Multi-Send Fail)" From Corresponding Subject Send Controller



## Complete Subject Behavior Diagram SBD\_10\_SID\_1\_FullySpecifiedSubject\_552




Figure 9: Subject Behavior for Input Pool Controller

## Send Controller in SID 1




Figure 10: Send Controller

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Prepare messages/determin message targets (engine-->user/group)

Compile Options

*Comment: TODO: When are which information needed, Complex activity*

how to continue after multi-send failed

temp End

### *Receiving States:*

Receive Message(s) to be Send

Wait for response

Get resolve

### *Sending States:*

Send messages to receiver inbox

Acknowledge to behavior

Inform behavior about sending problem

Forwad receiver options from behavior

request subject resolve

Retract previously send messages

comit the messages

Inform behavior about sending problem

### *Outgoing messages:*

"Receiver Options" To Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter

"Sending successful" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Sending partially successful" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Sending not successful" To [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Message/s" To Corresponding Input Pool Controller

"Message Commit" To Corresponding Input Pool Controller

"Retract Message (Multi-Send Fail)" To Corresponding Input Pool Controller

"Request: Sending Options/Address Resolve" To Execution Environment (Send Resolves)

*Receiving messages:*

"Request Receiver Options" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Message/s to be send" From [Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#)

"Message accepted" From Corresponding Input Pool Controller

"Message declined" From Corresponding Input Pool Controller

"Sending Options" From Execution Environment (Send Resolves)




Figure 11: Subject Behavior for Send Controller

## Interface Subjects & Groups

Reminder: interface subjects are actors without a defined behavior within the bounds of a given model. Defining no behavior diagram may have various reasons: the behavior may be defined in another process model, the subject maybe a technical system only reacting towards request but without a complex process flow, or simply because it is not important or impossible to describe how message are created within the model.

### Execution Environment




Figure 12: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_268

### External Execution Environments




Figure 13: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_313

### Model Verificator



Figure 14: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_361

## Corresponding Subject Send Controller




Figure 15: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_599

## Corresponding Input Pool Controller




Figure 16: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_691

## Execution Environment (Function Execution & user interaction)




Figure 17: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_763

## Execution Environment (Send Resolves)




Figure 18: SID\_1\_InterfaceSubject\_808

# SID Guards 1

## Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 19: Subject Behavior for Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There are 2 subjects being part of [SID Guards 1](#):

[Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment](#)

[Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability](#)



## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment in SID Guards 1



Figure 20: Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. ([Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#))

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Behavior Execution Arbitrator
|----- Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment <-- (*)
|----- Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer
|----- Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

#### *Functions States:*

adjust priorities

## Complete Subject Behavior Diagram GBD\_15\_SID\_1\_GuardExtension\_3




Figure 21: Subject Behavior for Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment

## Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability in SID Guards 1




Figure 22: Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. (Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter)

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability  <-- (*)
|----- Guard Extension 3
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel
|----- Macro Check Executability
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Check for direct receiveability

### *Sending States:*

afirm receiveability

deny afirmability




Figure 23: Subject Behavior for Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability

## SID Guards 3

### Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 24: Subject Behavior for Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There are 2 subjects being part of [SID Guards 3](#):

[Guard Extension 3](#)

[Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer](#)

## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### Guard Extension 3 in SID Guards 3




Figure 25: Guard Extension 3

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. (Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter)

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability
|----- Guard Extension 3    <-- (*)
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel
|----- Macro Check Executability
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

#### *Functions States:*

check current official state




Figure 26: Subject Behavior for Guard Extension 3



## Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer in SID Guards 3



Figure 27: Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. ([Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#))

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Behavior Execution Arbitrator
|----- Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment
|----- Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer <-- (*)
|----- Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Sending States:*

create and add new extension behavior




Figure 28: Subject-Oriented Referential Process Model of [Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer](#)

## SID Guards 4

### Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 29: Subject Behavior for Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There is 1 subject being part of [SID Guards 4](#):

[Guard Interpreter: User Cancel](#)

## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### [Guard Interpreter: User Cancel](#) in [SID Guards 4](#)




Figure 30: [Guard Interpreter: User Cancel](#)

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. ([Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter](#))

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability
|----- Guard Extension 3
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel <-- (*)
|----- Macro Check Executability
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.




Figure 31: Subject Behavior for Guard Interpreter: User Cancel

# Executability Macro

## Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 32: Subject Behavior for Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There is 1 subject being part of [Executability Macro](#):

[Macro Check Executability](#)



## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### Macro Check Executability in Executability Macro




Figure 33: Macro Check Executability

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. (Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter)

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability
|----- Guard Extension 3
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel
|----- Macro Check Executability <-- (*)
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

#### *Functions States:*

Is current active state defined in my SBD

*Comment: The notion of "current state" is more complex than a single state. For macro and choice segment path behaviors there is the concept of "sub-state" where the subject is in "more than one state" at the same time the calling state or the choice segment and a state in the macro behavior or in each choice-segment-path-behavior individually. Also note that the same state can be defined in multiple behaviors. E.g. in a base and an extension behavior.*

type of state?

choice segment path conditions fulfilled?

*Comment: path fulfillment conditions: a) all mandatory to end choice path behaviors are in their end behavior. b) from the others: all mandatory to start behaviors have left their "start state" (that has to be created ad-hoc).*

type of complex state

Macro-bahavior instance finished?

*Comment: in an End-State or not existant anymore*

*Receiving States:*

Receive answer from Input Pool Controler

*Sending States:*

Check if any messages are available




Figure 34: Subject Behavior for Macro Check Executability

## SID Guards 2

### Subject Interaction Diagram:




Figure 35: Subject Behavior for Report for Process: 2023-01-20 Rebuild

## Subject Short Description

The areas of activity described in the model represent the principal aspects necessary for the holistic execution of strategic product planning.

There are 3 subjects being part of [SID Guards 2](#):

[Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request](#)

[Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard](#)

[Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction](#)

## Subjects and Their Internal Behavior

In this section, each subject from the subject interaction diagram is individually presented.

### Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request in SID Guards 2



Figure 36: Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request

#### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. ([Behavior Execution Arbitrator](#))

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Behavior Execution Arbitrator
|----- Guard: Arbitrator - External Priority Adjustment
|----- Guard Arbitrator: create-new ad-hoc extension layer
|----- Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request <-- (*)
```

#### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

#### *Sending States:*

inform Environment

## Complete Subject Behavior Diagram GBD\_17\_SID\_1\_GuardExtension\_3




Figure 37: Subject Behavior for Guard Arbitrator: End Behavior Request

## Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard in SID Guards 2



Figure 38: Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. (Calendar/Timer)

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Calendar/Timer
      |----- Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard  <-- (*)
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.






Figure 39: Subject Behavior for Guard Calendar: Timer Transition Registration Guard

## Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction in SID Guards 2




Figure 40: Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction

### *Extends relation:*

This subject takes part in hierarchical subject extension tree (it extends another subject).

All attributes listed here only belong to the extending subject. Other attributes can be found on the extended subject's page. (Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter)

In the following tree, the current subject is marked with a star (\*):

```
----- Individual Behavior/SBD Interpreter
|----- Guard Interpreter: Direct Executability
|----- Guard Extension 3
|----- Guard Interpreter: User Cancel
|----- Macro Check Executability
|----- Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction <-- (*)
```

### *Subject behavior:*

This subject is connected with a Subject Behavior Diagram. The SBD is displayed on a following page.

### *Functions States:*

Determin Executability

### *Receiving States:*

Await allotment or remain idle here

### *Sending States:*

reply status

Interrupt all interruptable function calls

*Comment: Is being ignored if there is no active function call / function call has been requested without interrupts*

Resume interrupted function calls




Figure 41: Subject Behavior for Guard Interpreter: Arbitrator interaction

