

## 6 Design of Algorithms: By Dynamic Programming

### 6.1 Introduction

Reading: CLRS 15.3

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms are implemented by recursion. Its design is top-down, and it is efficient when the subproblems don't overlap. However, when subproblems do overlap (share sub-subproblems), recursion does redundant work. In this case, a tabular method is often used. It is nonrecursive and bottom-up. It is called dynamic programming.
- An example: Fibonacci numbers:

*fib1*(*n*)

if  $n < 2$  return  $n$

else return  $\text{fib1}(n-1) + \text{fib1}(n-2)$

We can see that this recursive (divide-and-conquer) algorithm is not efficient. To compute  $\text{fib1}(n)$ , the algorithm computes  $\text{fib1}(n-1)$  and  $\text{fib1}(n-2)$  separately. To compute  $\text{fib1}(n-1)$ , the values of  $\text{fib1}(n-2)$  and  $\text{fib1}(n-3)$  are needed. To compute  $\text{fib1}(n-2)$ , the values of  $\text{fib1}(n-3)$  and  $\text{fib1}(n-4)$  are needed. We observe that subproblems  $\text{fib1}(n-1)$  and  $\text{fib1}(n-2)$  share sub-subproblem.

The time complexity  $T(n) \geq T(n-1) + T(n-2)$ . So  $T(n)$  is larger than the  $n$ th Fibonacci number. So  $T(n) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{-n} \right) = \Theta(1.618^n)$ .

We can use the dynamic programming method by building a 1-D table as below and returning the  $n$ th entry of the table.

$k$	0	1	2	3	4	...	$n$
$f_k$	0	1	1	2	3	...	$f_n$

*fib2*(*n*)

if  $n < 2$  return  $n$

else  $i \leftarrow 0$

$j \leftarrow 1$

for  $k \leftarrow 2$  to  $n$

$f \leftarrow i + j$

$i \leftarrow j$

$j \leftarrow f$

return  $f$

The time complexity is obviously  $O(n)$ .

To summarize how to use dynamic programming, first define a function  $F$  recursively (so that the solution information is embedded in  $F(n)$ ):  $F(n) = G(F(n_1), F(n_2), \dots, F(n_k))$  for  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k < n$ . Construct a table to compute nonrecursively  $F(n_1), F(n_2), \dots, F(n_k)$ , hence  $F(n)$ .

### 6.2 Making change

- Let  $n$ , a positive integer, be the number of different types of coin in a country. Let  $\text{coin}[1..n]$ , an array of positive integers, be the values of these  $n$  types of coin. Let  $m$ , a positive integer, be the amount of change that one wishes to make. Design a dynamic programming algorithm that determines whether  $m$  can be made with the coins, and if so, computes the minimum number of coins needed.
- Define  $\text{count}(i)$  to be the minimum number of coins to make  $i$  ( $> 0$ ). That  $\text{count}(i) = \infty$  implies that no solution exists. The recursive definition of  $\text{count}(i)$  is as follows.

$\text{count}(1) = \infty$  if  $1 \notin \text{coin}[\ ]$ .

$\text{count}(\text{coin}[j]) = 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

$\text{count}(i) = 1 + \min_{1 \leq j \leq n, \text{coin}[j] < i} \{ \text{count}(i - \text{coin}[j]) \}$

- The table is a 1-D table and its entries are filled from left to right until  $count[m]$  is reached.

$i$	1	2	$\dots$	$m$
$count[i]$	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	$*$

- Algorithm:

```

for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$   $count[i] \leftarrow -1$ 
 $count[1] \leftarrow \infty$ 
for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
     $count[coin[j]] \leftarrow 1$ 
for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$ 
    if  $count[i] = -1$ 
         $min \leftarrow \infty$ 
        for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
            if  $coin[j] < i$ 
                if  $min > count[i - coin[j]]$ 
                     $min \leftarrow count[i - coin[j]]$ 
         $count[i] \leftarrow 1 + min$ 

```

- Time complexity:  $\Theta(mn)$ . (pseudo-polynomial)

### 6.3 Chained matrix multiplication

Reading: CLRS 15.2

- We wish to compute  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is a  $p_{i-1} \times p_i$  matrix. Which order of computation should we use to achieve the highest efficiency of the algorithm?
- The number of basic operations needed to compute  $A_i \times A_{i+1}$  is  $p_{i-1}p_i p_{i+1}$ .
- Order of computation determines the time efficiency. For example,  $A_1 : 10 \times 20$ ,  $A_2 : 20 \times 50$ ,  $A_3 : 50 \times 1$ , and  $A_4 : 1 \times 100$ . If we use the order in  $A_1 \times (A_2 \times (A_3 \times A_4))$ , the number of basic operations is  $(50 \times 1 \times 100) + (20 \times 50 \times 100) + (10 \times 20 \times 100) = 125,000$ . However, if we use the order in  $((A_1 \times A_2) \times A_3) \times A_4$ , the number of basic operations is  $(10 \times 20 \times 50) + (10 \times 50 \times 1) + (10 \times 1 \times 100) = 11,500$ .
- Question: What is the minimum number of basic operations in computing  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ ?
- Let  $m(i, j)$  be the minimum number of basic operations in computing  $A_i \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Assume in general that  $k$  is used to indicate the position of the last multiplication to be performed among all:  $(A_i \times \dots \times A_k) \times (A_{k+1} \times \dots \times A_j)$ . Then
 
$$m(i, j) = 0 \text{ if } i = j.$$

$$m(i, j) = \min_{i \leq k \leq j-1} \{m(i, k) + m(k+1, j) + p_{i-1}p_k p_j\} \text{ if } i \neq j.$$
- We can use a dynamic programming algorithm to compute  $m(1, n)$ , the minimum number of basic operations in computing  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ . Entries are filled left to right and bottom to top. Note that those in the lower left triangle are undefined.

$i \backslash j$	1	2	$\dots$	$n$
1	0	$\uparrow$	$\dots$	$*$
2	--	0	$\dots$	$\uparrow$
$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$
$n$	--	--	$\dots$	0

- The algorithm:
 

```

      for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
         $m[i, i] \leftarrow 0$ 
      for  $j \leftarrow 2$  to  $n$ 
        for  $i \leftarrow j - 1$  to  $1$ 
           $m[i, j] \leftarrow \min_{i \leq k \leq j-1} \{m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j\}$ 
      
```
- Time complexity:  $O(n^3)$ .

## 6.4 Longest common subsequence

Reading: CLRS 15.4

- Subsequence: If  $X = \langle A, B, C, B, D, A, B \rangle$  and  $Z = \langle B, C, D, B \rangle$ , then  $Z$  is a subsequence of  $X$ .  
Common subsequence: Let  $Y = \langle B, D, C, A, B, A \rangle$ . Then  $\langle B, C, A \rangle$  is a common subsequence of  $X$  and  $Y$ .  
Longest common subsequence (LCS): For  $X$  and  $Y$ , there is no common subsequence with length longer than 4.  $\langle B, C, B, A \rangle$  and  $\langle B, D, A, B \rangle$  are both LCS's of  $X$  and  $Y$ .  
Question: Given two sequences, what is the length of their LCS? (What is the LCS of the sequences?)
- A brute-force method:  
Assume  $X = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ . For each subsequence of  $X$ , check if it is also a subsequence of  $Y$ , keeping track of the longest found.  
How many possible subsequences are there for  $X$ ?  $\binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + \binom{m}{m} = 2^m$ .
- A recursive approach:  
Define  $X_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle$  and  $Y_j = \langle y_1, \dots, y_j \rangle$ . Define  $C(i, j)$  to be the length of the LCS of  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$ .  
 $C(i, j) = 0$  if  $i = 0$  or  $j = 0$ ;  
 $C(i, j) = C(i - 1, j - 1) + 1$  if  $i, j > 0$  and  $x_i = y_j$ ;  
 $C(i, j) = \max\{C(i, j - 1), C(i - 1, j)\}$  if  $i, j > 0$  and  $x_i \neq y_j$ .  
When  $x_i = y_j$ ,  $X_i = X_{i-1} \langle x_i \rangle$  and  $Y_j = Y_{j-1} \langle y_j \rangle$ . So  $LCS(X_i, Y_j) = LCS(X_{i-1}, Y_{j-1}) \langle x_i \rangle$ . Hence,  $C(i, j) = C(i - 1, j - 1) + 1$ .  
When  $x_i \neq y_j$ ,  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  cannot both appear in  $LCS(X_i, Y_j)$ . So  $LCS(X_i, Y_j) = LCS(X_i, Y_{j-1})$  or  $LCS(X_{i-1}, Y_j)$ . Hence,  $C[i, j] = \max\{C(i, j - 1), C(i - 1, j)\}$ .
- A nonrecursive implementation: Dynamic programming:  
A 2-D table is constructed where each entry is filled left to right and top to bottom. The initialization handles the first row and the first column of the table. Entry  $C[m, n]$  is the length of the LCS of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

$i \backslash j$	0	1	2	$\dots$	$n$
0	0	0	0	$\dots$	0
1	0	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	$\rightarrow$
2	0	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	$\rightarrow$
$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$
$m$	0	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	*

- The algorithm:
 

```

      for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $m$   $C[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$ 
      for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$   $C[0, j] \leftarrow 0$ 
      for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$ 
        for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
          if  $x_i = y_j$   $C[i, j] \leftarrow C[i - 1, j - 1] + 1$ 
          else  $C[i, j] = \max\{C[i, j - 1], C[i - 1, j]\}$ 
      
```

- Time complexity:  $\Theta(mn)$ .
- How to compute the LCS in addition to the length of the LCS: Maintain an array  $S[i, j]$  of special characters. Set  $S[i, 0] = S[0, j] = \sqcup$  (single space) for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . In the nested for loop, if  $x_i = y_j$ , set  $S[i, j]$  to be  $\nwarrow$ , else if  $C[i, j-1] > C[i-1, j]$ , set  $S[i, j]$  to be  $\leftarrow$ , and if  $C[i, j-1] \leq C[i-1, j]$ , set  $S[i, j]$  to be  $\uparrow$ . The following additional code generates the LCS of two sequences.

```

i ← m
j ← n
while S[i, j] ≠ ⊔
    if S[i, j] = ← j ← j - 1
    else if S[i, j] = ↑ i ← i - 1
    else push  $x_i$  to a stack
        i ← i - 1
        j ← j - 1
output the content in the stack

```

## 6.5 Optimal binary search tree

Reading: CLRS 15.5

- Given a set of keys (numbers) and the probability that each key is located. How can one organize the set in a binary search tree so that the average time to locate a key in the tree is minimized?
- For each node (key) in a binary search tree, the time needed to locate the node is its level number.
- Let the keys be  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  (in increasing order). Let  $l_i$  be the level number of the node corresponding to key  $a_i$  in a given binary search tree. Let  $p_i$  be the probability that  $a_i$  is to be located. Then the average search time for that tree is  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i l_i$ . We wish to build an optimal binary search tree, where this cost is minimized.
- An example:  $n = 3$  and  $p_1 = 0.7$ ,  $p_2 = 0.2$  and  $p_3 = 0.1$ . The following figure contains all five possible binary search trees for  $n = 3$ .
  1.  $3(0.7) + 2(0.2) + 1(0.1) = 2.6$
  2.  $2(0.7) + 3(0.2) + 1(0.1) = 2.1$
  3.  $2(0.7) + 1(0.2) + 2(0.1) = 1.8$
  4.  $1(0.7) + 3(0.2) + 2(0.1) = 1.5$
  5.  $1(0.7) + 2(0.2) + 3(0.1) = 1.4 \Leftarrow$  optimal!
- A recursive approach: Let  $c(i, j)$  be the average search time in a tree with only  $a_i, \dots, a_j$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . If  $a_k$  happens to be the root of the tree containing  $a_i, \dots, a_j$ , then in the left subtree are  $a_i, \dots, a_{k-1}$  and in the right subtree are  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_j$ .
$$c(i, i) = p_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$c(i, j) = \min_{i \leq k \leq j} \{c(i, k-1) + c(k+1, j) + \sum_{l=i}^j p_l\} \text{ for } i < j$$

$$c(i, j) = 0 \text{ for } i = j + 1 \text{ (Why needed?)}$$
- A dynamic programming algorithm with  $O(n^3)$ :

$i \backslash j$	1	2	...	$n-1$	$n$
1	$p_1$	$\uparrow$	...	$\uparrow$	*
2	0	$p_2$	...	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$
...	...	...	...	...	...
$n$	--	--	...	0	$p_n$

## 6.6 Comparing Two Sequences

- Interested in finding the best alignment of two sequences for the purpose of comparison.
- Given two sequences,  $s$  and  $t$ , over the same alphabet. For any alignment  $A$  of the two sequences, define its score  $score_A(s, t)$  to be the sum of the scores of all columns in the alignment, where the score of a column containing characters  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted as  $p(a, b)$ , may be defined to be, for example
  - $-1$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are nonspaces and  $a = b$  (a match)
  - $1$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are nonspaces  $a \neq b$  (a mismatch)
  - $2$  if one of  $a$  and  $b$  is a space (one space)
- Problem: Given two sequences,  $s$  and  $t$ , over the same alphabet, determine the optimal alignment with the minimum score, i.e.,  $score^*(s, t) = \min_{\forall A} \{score_A(s, t)\}$ .
- Example:  $s = \text{GACGGATTAG}$  and  $t = \text{GATCGGAATAG}$  are two DNA sequences. For the following (optimal) alignment,

G	A	-	C	G	G	A	T	T	A	G
G	A	T	C	G	G	A	A	T	A	G

its score is  $-9 + 1 + 2 = -6$ .

- Let  $score^*(s[1..i], t[1..j])$  be the minimum score of any alignment for sequences  $s[1..i]$  and  $t[1..j]$ . Note  $i = 0, \dots, |s|$  and  $j = 0, \dots, |t|$ . If  $i = 0$  (or  $j = 0$ ) then  $s[1..i]$  (or  $t[1..j]$ ) becomes the empty string.
- Three possibilities to align  $s[1..i]$  and  $t[1..j]$ :
  - Align  $s[1..i]$  with  $t[1..j-1]$  and match a space with  $t[j]$ , or
  - Align  $s[1..i-1]$  with  $t[1..j-1]$  and match  $s[i]$  with  $t[j]$ , or
  - Align  $s[1..i-1]$  with  $t[1..j]$  and match  $s[i]$  with a space.
- A recursive definition of  $score^*(s[1..i], t[1..j])$ :
  - $score^*(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$
  - $score^*(s[1..i], \epsilon) = sspace * i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, |s|$
  - $score^*(\epsilon, t[1..j]) = sspace * j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, |t|$
  - $score^*(s[1..i], t[1..j]) = \min\{score^*(s[1..i], t[1..j-1]) + sspace, score^*(s[1..i-1], t[1..j-1]) + cij, score^*(s[1..i-1], t[1..j]) + sspace\}$

where  $sspace$  is the score of a space opposite a nonspace,  $smatch$  is the score of a match, and  $smiss$  is the score of a mismatch.  $cij$  is  $smatch$  if  $s[i] = t[j]$  and is  $smiss$  if  $s[i] \neq t[j]$ . Note that a space is never aligned against another space in the alignment of two sequences.

- A dynamic programming algorithm:

Algorithm: Optimal Pairwise Alignment

```

input: sequence s and t
output: score*(s,t) //Use table cell a[i,j] for score*(s[1..i],t[1..j])
m <- |s|
n <- |t|
a[0,0] <- 0
for i <- 1 to m do
  a[i,0] <- sspace * i
for j <- 1 to n do
  a[0,j] <- sspace * j
for i <- 1 to m do
  for j <- 1 to n do
    if s[i] = t[j] then cij <- smatch
    else cij <- smiss
    a[i,j] <- min {a[i,j-1] + sspace, a[i-1,j-1] + cij, a[i-1,j] + sspace}
return a[m,n]
```

- Example:  $s = \text{AAAC}$  and  $t = \text{AGC}$ . Let  $sspace = 2$ ,  $smatch = -1$ , and  $smis = 1$ . What is  $score^*(s, t)$  and what is the optimal alignment for  $s$  and  $t$  (maybe more than one)?
- Time complexity: Computing  $score^*(s, t)$  (constructing the table) takes  $O(|s||t|)$  and constructing the optimal alignment once the table is given takes  $O(|s| + |t|)$ .
- A similar dynamic programming algorithm exists for aligning three or more DNA sequences.

## 6.7 Memory functions

Reading: CLRS 15.3

- Divide and conquer: Only needed entries are computed but some entries are computed more than once. Dynamic programming: All entries in the table are computed once, whether needed or not.
- A compromise: Only compute needed entries exactly once. To do so, we combine the recursive implementation with a table. Before we enter a recursion, we check the table to see whether the entry has been computed before. This method is called the memory function method.
- Example: Chained matrix multiplication revisited.

We first initialize all entries in table  $m[1..n, 1..n]$  to be  $-1$ , and then call  $mf(1, n)$ .

```

mf(i, j)
    if i = j return 0
    if m[i, j] ≠ -1 return m[i, j]
    c ← ∞
    for k ← i to j - 1
        c ← min{c, mf(i, k) + mf(k + 1, j) + pi-1pkpj}
    m[i, j] ← c
    return c

```

- The time complexity is no larger than that in the corresponding dynamic programming algorithm, but the space complexity will be more since recursion requires more space to implement.