

# Some notes on weights

Matt Daws

September 2024

## 1 Introduction

I collect some notes about weights on von Neumann algebras. These vary from the trivial to slightly complicated. I constantly get confused about the basics, so these are just a personal aide de memoir. I try to give some references to where I found ideas.

## 2 The basics

We follow the notation of [7, Chapter VII]. Much the same material can be found in [5, Section 10.14], with a brief summary in [4, Chapter I]. A very brief summary can be found in [3, Section 7.5], but this final source is, as usual, notable for its very careful proofs.

Given a weight  $\varphi: M^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  we set

$$\mathfrak{p}_\varphi = \{x \in M^+ : \varphi(x) < \infty\}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_\varphi = \{x \in M : \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_\varphi = \text{lin}\{x^*y : x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi\}.$$

We say that  $\varphi$  is *semi-finite* when  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ . We shall mostly suppose that  $\varphi$  is semi-finite, normal and faithful.

As  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  is a left ideal, we see that  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ ; similarly  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$  and so in fact  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$ . So:

- $\varphi$  semi-finite implies that each of  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$  are  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ .

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  and  $x = u|x|$  be the polar decomposition. Then  $|x| = u^*x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ .

Form the GNS construction  $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \Lambda_\varphi)$ . I think [7] is too hasty here, and so we follow [3]. As  $\varphi$  is faithful, the map  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi \rightarrow H_\varphi; a \mapsto \Lambda_\varphi(a)$  is injective. Suppose that  $\pi_\varphi(x) = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $\Lambda_\varphi(xa) = 0$  for each  $a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ , equivalently,  $xa = 0$  for each  $a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ . As  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ , by continuity of the multiplication,  $xa = 0$  for each  $a \in M$ , so  $x = 0$ . A similarly careful treatment of why  $\pi_\varphi$  is normal can be found in [3].

By Kaplansky Density (the cleanest statement is [2, Theorem 5.3.5, Corollary 5.3.6]) the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -strongly dense in the unit ball of  $M$ . Further, for any  $y \in M_+$  there is a net  $(y_i)$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+$  with  $y_i \rightarrow y$   $\sigma$ -weakly, and with  $\|y_i\| \leq \|y\|$  for each  $i$ . However, I do not think it is true in general that we can choose  $(y_i)$  increasing with  $y_i \leq y$ .

As  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  is a left ideal, it has an increasing approximate identity. Indeed, [6, Theorem I.7.4] shows that the set  $\{x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi : \|x\| < 1\}$  is upward directed and forms a right approximate identity for  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

If we work a bit harder, we can ensure that our approximate identity has further properties, compare [5, Proposition 3.21], or indeed the proof of [6, Theorem I.7.4]. Here is one way to do this. Using functional calculus, for  $a \geq 0$  we can form the element  $(a+\epsilon)^{-1}a$ , and then for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  we have the estimate (which holds for real-values, so by functional calculus, for operators)  $\|a - a^2(a+\epsilon)^{-1}\| < \epsilon$ . Thus  $\|xa^2(a+\epsilon)^{-1} - x\| \leq \|x\|\epsilon + \|xa - x\|$ . Thus if  $(a_i)$  is our original approximate identity, then  $b_i = a_i^2(a_i + \epsilon)^{-1}$  will also be an approximate identity, still have  $b_i \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap M_+$ , but we now also have  $b_i \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+$ .

- There is a (right) approximate identity  $(b_i)$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+ \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  for  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ , that is,  $\|ab_i - a\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ .

## 2.1 Semi-finiteness

We explore some equivalent conditions for what it means for a normal weight to be semi-finite.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq M$  be a left ideal, and let  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{lin}\{x^*y : x, y \in \mathfrak{n}\}$ , which is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $M$  contained in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . There is a projection  $e \in M$  with  $Me = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma$  and  $eMe = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^\sigma$ . As such,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is.*

*Proof.* We follow the sketch in [1, III.1.1.15]. As  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a left ideal, it follows that  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ , and that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a  $*$ -algebra. From [6, Theorem I.7.4] the set  $\{x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi : x \geq 0, \|x\| < 1\}$  is upward directed and forms a right approximate identity for  $\mathfrak{n}$ , say  $(a_i)$ .

We show that  $a_i$  converges strongly to a projection  $e$  with  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma = Me$ . There are many ways to show this, but here is a simple-minded approach. Let  $M$  act on  $H$ , and again, we know that  $a_i \rightarrow e$   $\sigma$ -strongly,<sup>1</sup> where  $e \in M^+$  is the upper bound. For  $x \in \mathfrak{n}$  we have  $xe = \lim_i x a_i = x$ , the first limit holding strongly, say (the second limit is in norm, of course). Set  $H_0 = \overline{\text{lin}}\{x^*\xi : x \in \mathfrak{n}, \xi \in H\}$ . As  $a_i = a_i^* \rightarrow e$  strongly, it follows that  $e(H) \subseteq H_0$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{n}, \xi \in H$  we have  $ex^*\xi = (xe)^*\xi = x^*\xi$  and so  $e\eta = \eta$  for each  $\eta \in H_0$ . Hence  $e = e^2$  is an idempotent with image  $H_0$ . Now let  $\eta \in H_0^\perp, \xi \in H$ , so  $(e\eta|\xi) = \lim_i (a_i\eta|\xi) = \lim_i (\eta|a_i^*\xi) = 0$  as  $a_i^*\xi \in H_0$  for each  $i$ . So  $e(H_0^\perp) = \{0\}$  and  $e$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $H_0$ . As  $xe = x$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ , certainly  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq Me$ , and hence  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma \subseteq Me$ . For  $x \in M$ , we have  $xe = \lim_i x a_i \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma$ , as the limit certainly holds in the  $\sigma$ -weak topology. So  $Me = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma$  as claimed.

Now let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$ , so  $x^*y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and hence  $x^*y = ex^*ye \in eMe$ . Hence  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^\sigma \subseteq eMe$ . Given  $x \in eMe$ , we have  $x = exe = \lim_i a_i^*xa_i$  as  $a_i = a_i^*$ , the limit holding in the strong topology say, which follows from the estimate

$$\|(a_i x a_i - exe)\xi\| \leq \|a_i x a_i \xi - a_i x e \xi\| + \|a_i x e \xi - exe \xi\| \leq \|x\| \|(a_i - e)\xi\| + \|(a_i - e)x e \xi\|,$$

remembering that  $\|a_i\| \leq 1$ . As  $a_i \in \mathfrak{n}$  also  $x a_i \in \mathfrak{n}$  and so  $a_i^*(x a_i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ . We conclude that  $x \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^\sigma$ , showing the other inclusion, so  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^\sigma = eMe$ .

To finish, as  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ , if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ , then obviously  $\mathfrak{n}$  is as well. For the converse, we observe that  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^\sigma = M$  if and only if  $Me = M$ , if and only if  $e = 1$ , which implies  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^\sigma = eMe = M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.**  $\varphi$  is semi-finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ .

In particular, this shows that the meaning of “semi-finite” for operator-valued weights, [7, Definition IX.4.14], is in accordance with the meaning for weights.

An alternative characterisation of *semifinite* comes from [1, Proposition III.2.2.20]. As  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  is a  $*$ -algebra, its norm closure is a  $C^*$ -algebra, which has an approximate unit, so by approximation, so does  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$ .<sup>2</sup>

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a normal weight on  $M$ . The following are equivalent:*

1.  $\varphi$  is semifinite:  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ ;
2. for each (positive) approximate unit  $(a_i)$  for  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$ , for  $x \in M_+$  we have  $\varphi(x) \leq \liminf_i \varphi(a_i x a_i)$ ;
3. for each (positive) approximate unit  $(a_i)$  for  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$ , when  $x \in M_+$  has  $\varphi(x) = \infty$ , we have  $\lim_i \varphi(a_i x a_i) = \infty$ ;
4. for each (positive) approximate unit  $(a_i)$  for  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$ , when  $x \in M_+$  has  $\varphi(x) = \infty$ , we have  $\sup_i \varphi(a_i x a_i) = \infty$ ;

<sup>1</sup>We have strong convergence, see [2, Lemma 5.1.4] for example. By replacing  $M$  with  $M \otimes 1$  acting on  $H \otimes \ell^2$ , we convert strong convergence to  $\sigma$ -strong convergence.

<sup>2</sup>To maintain positivity, let  $(e_i)$  be an (increasing) positive approximate identity for  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}_\varphi}$ . For each  $i$ , let  $b_i \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  norm approximate  $e_i^{1/2}$ , and set  $a_i = b_i^* b_i$ , so  $a_i \geq 0$  is norm close to  $e_i$ .

*Proof.* When  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $M$ , we have that  $a_i \rightarrow 1$   $\sigma$ -strongly, and so for each  $x \in M_+$  we have that  $a_i x a_i \rightarrow x$   $\sigma$ -weakly. As  $\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly lower semicontinuous,  $\varphi(x) \leq \liminf_i \varphi(a_i x a_i)$ , as required to show (1)  $\implies$  (2). Conversely, as above,  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}_\varphi}^\sigma = pMp$  for some projection  $p$ , and  $a_i \rightarrow p$   $\sigma$ -strongly. Let  $x \in M_+$  with  $px = 0$ . As  $a_i p = a_i$  because  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi$ , we have  $\varphi(x) \leq \liminf_i \varphi(a_i x a_i) = \liminf_i \varphi(a_i p x a_i) = 0$  so  $\varphi(x) = 0$  so  $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+$ , so  $x = px = 0$ . Set  $x = 1 - p$  to conclude that  $p = 1$  as required.

As the  $\liminf$  is infinite implies the limit is, clearly (2)  $\implies$  (3). When  $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+$ , the condition in (2) holds by lower semicontinuity, and so (3)  $\implies$  (2). Clearly (3)  $\implies$  (4). When (4) holds, towards a contradiction, suppose that (3) doesn't, so there is  $K > 0$  with  $J = \{i : \varphi(a_i x a_i) \leq K\}$  is cofinal (that is, for each  $i_0$  there is  $i \geq i_0$  with  $\varphi(a_i x a_i) \leq K$ , this being the opposite of the limit being infinite). Then  $(a_j)_{j \in J}$  is a subnet of  $(a_i)$ , and so is still an approximate identity, but as  $\sup_{j \in J} \varphi(a_j x a_j) \leq K$ , we contradict (4). Hence (4)  $\implies$  (3) as we want.  $\square$

### 3 Hilbert algebras to von Neumann algebras

I find the links between the Hilbert algebra and weights to be a bit obscure in [7]: it would perhaps benefit from a nice summary somewhere. Similarly in [5].

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a full left Hilbert algebra associated to the weight  $\varphi$ . The passage between these objects is detailed in [7, Section VII.2]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}_l &= \pi_l(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{n}_\varphi, & \mathfrak{n}_r &= \pi_r(\mathfrak{B}') = \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi'}, & \pi_l(\mathfrak{A}) &= \mathfrak{n}_l \cap \mathfrak{n}_l^*, & \pi_r(\mathfrak{A}') &= \mathfrak{n}_r \cap \mathfrak{n}_r^*, \\ \varphi(\pi_l(\eta)^* \pi_l(\xi)) &= (\eta|\xi) & (\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{B}), & \varphi'(\pi_r(\eta)^* \pi_r(\xi)) &= (\eta|\xi) & (\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{B}'). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\eta \in \mathfrak{B}'$  define  $\omega_\eta^l \in M_*^+; x \mapsto (\eta|x\eta)$ , and set

$$\Phi_{l,0} = \{\omega_\eta^l : \eta \in \mathfrak{B}', \|\pi_r(\eta)\| < 1\}.$$

Then

$$\varphi(x) = \sup\{\omega(x) : \omega \in \Phi_{l,0}\} \quad (x \in M_+).$$

We state the following for the “left” algebra, but analogous results hold on the right. By [7, Theorem VI.1.19, Theorem VIII.1.2] we have the modular automorphism group  $(\sigma_t)$  on  $M$  given by

$$\pi_l(\sigma_t(x)) = \nabla^{it} x \nabla^{-it} \quad (x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

The map  $J$  is a bijection between  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  and an anti-homomorphism, [7, Theorem VI.1.19]. I find it buried in [7] (but see [5, Theorem 10.12]) that

$$\pi_l(\nabla^{it} \xi) = \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it}, \quad J \pi_l(\xi) J = \pi_r(J\xi), \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

We extend this to left bounded vectors.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$  we have that  $\pi_l(\nabla^{it} \xi) = \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$  so also  $\nabla^{-it} \eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$ , and

$$\pi_r(\eta) \nabla^{it} \xi = \nabla^{it} \nabla^{-it} \pi_r(\eta) \nabla^{it} \xi = \nabla^{it} \pi_r(\nabla^{-it} \eta) \xi = \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it} \eta.$$

As  $\eta$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $\nabla^{it} \xi \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $\pi_l(\nabla^{it} \xi) = \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it}$  as required.  $\square$

This lemma is equivalently stated as  $\pi_l(\nabla^{it} \Lambda(a)) = \sigma_t(a)$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ , or further, equivalently as  $\nabla^{it} \Lambda(a) = \Lambda(\sigma_t(a))$ , as  $\Lambda \pi_l = \text{id}$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ .

The relation between left and right bounded vectors allows us to show other results. For example, given  $b \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$  we have  $\Lambda(b) \in \mathfrak{A}$  so  $J\Lambda(b) \in \mathfrak{A}'$  with  $\pi_r(J\Lambda(b)) = JbJ$ , and hence

$$JbJ\Lambda(a) = \pi_r(J\Lambda(b))\Lambda(a) = \pi_l(\Lambda(a))J\Lambda(b) = aJ\Lambda(b) \quad (a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi, b \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*).$$

By [7, Proposition VI.1.24], the map  $\Lambda: \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is closed for  $\sigma$ -strong topology on  $\mathcal{M}$  and the norm topology on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similarly, when restricted to  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ , the map is closed for the  $\sigma$ -strong\* topology and the  $\mathfrak{D}^\#$  norm, that is,  $\|\xi\|_\sharp = (\|\xi\|^2 + \|\xi^\#\|^2)^{1/2}$ .

We can approximate vectors, [7, Theorem VI.1.26]. Given  $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$  there is a sequence  $(\xi_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  converging to  $\xi$  with  $\|\pi_l(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\pi_l(\xi)\|$  for each  $n$ , whence  $\pi_l(\xi_n) \rightarrow \pi_l(\xi)$  strongly, because  $\pi_l(\xi_n)\eta \rightarrow \pi_l(\xi)\eta$  for each  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$ .

Similarly, if  $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  is any left Hilbert algebra with  $\mathfrak{A}_0'' = \mathfrak{A}$ , then for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{A}$  there is a sequence  $(\xi_n)$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  with  $\|\xi_n - \xi\|_\sharp \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\pi_l(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\pi_l(\xi)\|$  for each  $n$ , whence  $\pi_l(\xi_n) \rightarrow \pi_l(\xi)$   $\sigma$ -strong\*, using now that  $\pi_l(\xi_n)^*\eta = \pi_l(\xi_n^\#)\eta = \pi_r(\eta)\xi_n^\#$  for  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$ .

### 3.1 Tomita algebras and smearing

Given  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  we define

$$\xi_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2 t^2} \nabla^{it} \xi \, dt \quad (n > 0).$$

(Notice we use a slightly different convention to [7, 5].) As  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, t \mapsto \nabla^{it}\xi$  is continuous, dominated convergence shows that  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  in norm, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have that  $\xi_n \in D(\nabla^{iz})$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , with

$$\nabla^{iz}(\xi_n) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2(t-z)^2} \nabla^{it} \xi \, dt,$$

a fact proved by contour deformation.

In both, for example, [7, Proof of Theorem VI.2.2] or [5, Page 303], it is claimed that if  $\xi \in \mathfrak{A}$  then  $\pi_l(\xi_n) \rightarrow \pi_l(\xi)$  strongly. I do not see why this is immediate. Firstly, why is  $\xi_n \in \mathfrak{B}$ ?

- For  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$  we certainly have that

$$\pi_r(\eta)\xi_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2 t^2} \pi_r(\eta) \nabla^{it} \xi \, dt = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2 t^2} \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it} \eta \, dt,$$

compare the proof of Lemma 3.1. For any  $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the map  $t \mapsto \nabla^{it}x\nabla^{-it}$  is strongly continuous, and so we can form the integral in the strong operator topology, to give meaning to

$$\pi_r(\eta)\xi_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2 t^2} \nabla^{it} \pi_l(\xi) \nabla^{-it} \, dt \right) \eta = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2 t^2} \sigma_t(\pi_l(\xi)) \, dt \right) \eta.$$

Call this  $\pi_l(\xi)_n\eta$ . Thus  $\xi_n \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $\pi_l(\xi_n) = \pi_l(\xi)_n$ .

- An alternative argument is the following. We can approximate the integral by a suitable Riemann sum, say given a partition  $I_m = \{t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{N(m)}\}$  we have

$$\xi_n \approx \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{N(m)} \frac{1}{t_k - t_{k-1}} e^{-n^2 t_k^2} \nabla^{it_k} \xi = \xi_{n,m},$$

say. Similarly  $\pi_l(\xi)_n$  has the same approximation, but with  $\sigma_{t_k}(\pi_l(\xi))$  replacing  $\nabla^{it_k}\xi$ . As the sum is finite, we clearly have  $\pi_l(\xi_{n,m}) = \pi_l(\xi)_n$ . As  $\mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}), \xi \mapsto \pi_l(\xi)$  is closed for the strong topology, as  $\lim_m \xi_{n,m} = \xi_n$  and  $\lim_m \pi_l(\xi_{n,m}) = \pi_l(\xi)_n$  we have that  $\xi_n \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $\pi_l(\xi_n) = \pi_l(\xi)_n$ .

We now wish to show that for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\pi_l(\xi_n)\eta = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-n^2(t-z)^2} \sigma_t(\pi_l(\xi))\eta \, dt \rightarrow \pi_l(\xi)\eta.$$

This is clear, as  $t \mapsto \sigma_t(\pi_l(\xi))\eta$  is continuous, so in the limit the integral converges to  $\sigma_0(\pi_l(\xi))\eta$ . The ingredients of this argument are in [7, Proof of Theorem VI.2.2], but I think it's useful to be explicit.

## 4 Weights on opposite algebras

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $M^{op}$  its opposite algebra, say  $M^{op} = \{x^{op} : x \in M\}$  with product  $x^{op}y^{op} = (yx)^{op}$ . For a subset  $X \subseteq M$  write  $X^{op} = \{x^{op} : x \in X\} \subseteq M^{op}$ .

Given an nsf weight  $\varphi$  on  $M$  we define  $\varphi^{op}$  on  $M^{op}$  by  $\varphi(x^{op}) = \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in M^{op+} = (M^+)^{op}$ . Then  $x^{op} \in n_{\varphi^{op}}$  if and only if  $\varphi^{op}(x^{op*}x^{op}) = \varphi(xx^*) < \infty$  if and only if  $x^* \in n_\varphi$ . Thus  $p_{\varphi^{op}} = p_\varphi^{op}$  and

$$m_{\varphi^{op}} = \text{lin}\{x^{op*}y^{op} : x^{op}, y^{op} \in n_{\varphi^{op}}\} = \text{lin}\{(yx^*)^{op} : x^*, y^* \in n_\varphi\} = m_\varphi^{op}.$$

Alternatively,  $m_{\varphi^{op}} = \text{lin } p_{\varphi^{op}} = \text{lin } p_\varphi^{op} = m_\varphi^{op}$ . By linearity,  $\varphi^{op}(x^{op}) = \varphi(x)$  for each  $x \in m_\varphi$ .

We have the GNS construction  $(L^2(\varphi^{op}), \pi^{op}, \Lambda^{op})$ . For  $x, y \in n_\varphi^*$  we have

$$(\Lambda^{op}(x^{op})|\Lambda^{op}(y^{op})) = \varphi^{op}(x^{op*}y^{op}) = \varphi(yx^*) = (\Lambda(y^*)|\Lambda(x^*)) = (J\Lambda(x^*)|J\Lambda(y^*)).$$

Hence by density there is a unitary  $U : L^2(\varphi^{op}) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)$  with  $U\Lambda^{op}(x^{op}) = J\Lambda(x^*)$  for each  $x \in n_\varphi^*$ . For  $x \in n_\varphi^*$  and  $a \in M$  we have

$$U\pi^{op}(a^{op})U^*J\Lambda(x^*) = U\Lambda^{op}(a^{op}x^{op}) = J\Lambda((xa)^*) = J\pi(a^*)JJ\Lambda(x^*),$$

and so  $U\pi^{op}(a^{op})U^* = J\pi(a^*)J$ .

We next investigate how  $U$  intertwines the maps  $S, F, \nabla, J$ ; see [7, Lemma VI.1.5] for example. Recall that  $S$  is the closure of the map  $\Lambda(x) \mapsto \Lambda(x^*)$  for  $x \in n_\varphi \cap n_\varphi^*$ , and that

$$D(F) = \{\eta \in L^2(\varphi) : \Lambda(x) \mapsto (S\Lambda(x)|\eta) \text{ is bounded}\}; \quad (\eta|S\xi) = (\xi|F\eta) \quad (\xi \in D(S), \eta \in D(F)).$$

As  $F = F^{-1}$  we have that  $\eta \in D(F) \Leftrightarrow F(\eta) \in D(F)$  and  $F^2(\eta) = \eta$  for  $\eta \in D(F)$ . Similarly for  $S$ . In particular, for  $\xi \in D(S), \eta \in D(F)$  also  $\eta' = F(\eta) \in D(F)$  with  $F\eta' = \eta$ , and so  $(S\xi|F\eta) = (S\xi|\eta') = (F\eta'|\xi) = (\eta|\xi)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have that  $US^{op} = FU$  (so  $U$  restricts to bijection  $D(S^{op}) \rightarrow D(F)$ ) and that  $UF^{op} = SU$ .*

*Proof.* That  $US^{op} = FU$  means that  $D(US^{op}) = D(FU)$ , equivalently,  $D(S^{op}) = U^*D(F)$ , equivalently,  $UD(S^{op}) = D(F)$ , using that  $U$  is unitary, with  $US^{op}(\xi^{op}) = FU(\xi^{op})$  for  $\xi^{op} \in D(S^{op})$ .

Let  $\xi^{op} \in D(S^{op})$  so there is a sequence  $(x_n^{op}) \subseteq n_{\varphi^{op}}$  with  $\Lambda(x_n^{op}) \rightarrow \xi^{op}, \Lambda(x_n^{op*}) \rightarrow S^{op}(\xi^{op})$ . For  $x \in n_\varphi \cap n_\varphi^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S\Lambda(x)|U(\xi^{op})) &= \lim_n (S\Lambda(x)|J\Lambda(x_n^*)) = \lim_n (\Lambda(x_n^*)|JS\Lambda(x)) = \lim_n (S\Lambda(x_n)|FJ\Lambda(x)) \\ &= \lim_n (J\Lambda(x)|\Lambda(x_n)) = \lim_n (J\Lambda(x_n)|\Lambda(x)) = \lim_n (U\Lambda^{op}(x_n^{op*})|\Lambda(x)) \\ &= (US^{op}(\xi^{op})|\Lambda(x)). \end{aligned}$$

So  $U(\xi^{op}) \in D(F)$  with  $FU(\xi^{op}) = US^{op}(\xi^{op})$ .

Conversely, and for variety using a different proof strategy, let  $\xi \in D(F) = D(\nabla^{-1/2})$  so  $J\xi \in D(\nabla^{1/2}) = D(S)$  and hence there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $n_\varphi \cap n_\varphi^*$  with  $\Lambda(x_n) \rightarrow J\xi, \Lambda(x_n^*) \rightarrow SJ(\xi) = JF(\xi)$ . So  $U\Lambda(x_n^*) = J\Lambda(x_n^*) \rightarrow F(\xi)$  and  $U\Lambda(x_n^{op*}) = J\Lambda(x_n) \rightarrow \xi$  showing that  $U^*F(\xi) \in D(S^{op})$  with  $S^{op}U^*F(\xi) = U^*(\xi)$ . Set  $\xi^{op} = U^*F(\xi)$  so  $\xi^{op} \in S^{op}$  with  $US^{op}(\xi^{op}) = \xi$  hence showing that  $U$  maps  $D(S^{op})$  onto  $D(F)$ .

That  $UF^{op} = SU$  follows similarly.  $\square$

So  $\nabla^{op} = F^{op}S^{op} = U^*SUU^*FU = U^*SFU = U^*\nabla^{-1}U$ . Hence  $S^{op} = U^*FU = U^*J\nabla^{-1/2}U = U^*JU\nabla^{op1/2}$  and  $S^{op} = U^*FU = U^*\nabla^{1/2}JU = \nabla^{op-1/2}U^*JU$ , so by uniqueness,  $J^{op} = U^*JU$ .

Consider now the modular automorphism group  $(\sigma_t^{op})$ ; we use [7, Section VIII.1] for a reference. We claim that  $\sigma_t^{op}(x^{op}) = \sigma_{-t}(x)^{op}$  for each  $x \in M$ . This would leave  $\varphi^{op}$  invariant, and given  $x^{op}, y^{op} \in n_{\varphi^{op}} \cap n_\varphi^* = (n_\varphi \cap n_\varphi^*)^{op}$  there is a bounded continuous  $F$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , with

$$F(t) = \varphi(\sigma_t(x)y), \quad F(t+i) = \varphi(y\sigma_t(x)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Define  $F'(z) = F(i - z)$ , so if  $z = x + iy$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$  then  $i - z = -x + i(1 - y)$  and so  $F'$  is also bounded and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= F(i - t) = \varphi(y\sigma_{-t}(x)) = \varphi^{\text{op}}(\sigma_{-t}(x)^{\text{op}}y^{\text{op}}), \\ F'(t + i) &= F(i - (t + i)) = F(-t) = \varphi(\sigma_{-t}(x)y) = \varphi^{\text{op}}(y^{\text{op}}\sigma_{-t}(x)^{\text{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

So  $F'$  satisfies the requirements for the pair  $x^{\text{op}}, y^{\text{op}}$ . By uniqueness, our claim follows. We show this is consistent with  $\nabla^{\text{op}}$ . We have that  $J\nabla = \nabla^{-1}J$ , but  $J$  is also anti-linear, and so  $J\nabla^{it} = \nabla^{it}J$  for all  $t$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\text{op}}(\sigma_t^{\text{op}}(x^{\text{op}})) &= \nabla^{\text{op}}{}^{it}\pi^{\text{op}}(x^{\text{op}})\nabla^{\text{op}}{}^{-it} = U^*\nabla^{-it}UU^*J\pi(x^*)JUU^*\nabla^{it}U = U^*J\nabla^{-it}\pi(x^*)\nabla^{it}JU \\ &= U^*J\pi(\sigma_{-t}(x^*))JU = \pi^{\text{op}}(\sigma_{-t}(x)^{\text{op}}) \end{aligned}$$

showing again that  $\sigma_t^{\text{op}}(x^{\text{op}}) = \sigma_{-t}(x)^{\text{op}}$ .

#### 4.1 Commutant acting on standard form

We suppress  $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\varphi))$  and regard  $M$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\varphi))$ . Then  $M' = JMJ$  is isomorphic to  $M^{\text{op}}$  via the map  $\theta: M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow M'; x^{\text{op}} \mapsto Jx^*J$ . Let  $\varphi^{\text{op}}$  induce  $\varphi'$ . Then  $n_{\varphi'} = \theta(n_{\varphi^{\text{op}}}) = \{Jx^*J : x^* \in n_{\varphi}\} = Jn_{\varphi}J$ , and similarly  $p_{\varphi'} = Jp_{\varphi}J$  and  $m_{\varphi'} = Jm_{\varphi}J$ . So  $\varphi'(JxJ) = \varphi(x^*)$  for  $x \in m_{\varphi}$ . Then  $\theta$  induces a unitary  $u: L^2(\varphi') \rightarrow L^2(\varphi); \Lambda(Jx^*J) \mapsto \Lambda^{\text{op}}(x^{\text{op}})$ .

Then  $Uu: L^2(\varphi') \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)$  is  $\Lambda'(JxJ) \mapsto J\Lambda(x)$  and  $Uu\pi'(Ja^*J)u^*U^* = U\pi^{\text{op}}(a^{\text{op}})U^* = J\pi(a^*)J = Ja^*J$ . By definition,  $u\nabla'u^* = \nabla^{\text{op}} = U^*\nabla^{-1}U$  and so  $Uu\nabla'u^*U^* = \nabla^{-1}$ , and similarly  $UuJ'u^*U^* = J$ . Also  $UuS'u^*U^* = US^{\text{op}}U^* = F$  and  $UuF'u^*U^* = S$ .

In summary, if we identify  $L^2(\varphi')$  with  $L^2(\varphi)$  via  $\Lambda'(JxJ) = J\Lambda(x)$  for  $x \in n_{\varphi}$ , then  $\pi'$  is the identity representation, and the modular operators are  $\nabla' = \nabla^{-1}, J' = J, S' = F, F' = S$ .

We can check various things for consistency. For example, with  $x' = Jx^*J = \theta(x^{\text{op}}) \in M'$ , then we would expect  $\sigma'_t(x') = \theta(\sigma_t^{\text{op}}(x^{\text{op}})) = \theta(\sigma_{-t}(x)^{\text{op}}) = J\sigma_{-t}(x)^*J$ . We also have

$$\sigma'_t(x') = \nabla'^{it}x'\nabla'^{-it} = \nabla^{-it}Jx^*J\nabla^{it} = J\nabla^{-it}x^*\nabla^{it}J = J\sigma_{-t}(x^*)J = J\sigma_{-t}(x)^*J,$$

as expected.

#### 4.2 Alternative construction

An alternative construction of  $\varphi'$  is given in [7, Theorem VII.1.17]. We show here that these definitions do agree. We recall the definition from [7]. Let  $\Phi_{\varphi} = \{\omega \in M_*^+ : \omega \leqslant \varphi\}$  and  $E_{\varphi} = \bigcup_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \lambda\Phi_{\varphi}$ . For each  $\omega \in E_{\varphi}$  there is  $h_{\omega} \in M_+'$  with  $(\Lambda(x)|h_{\omega}\Lambda(y)) = \omega(x^*y)$  for  $x, y \in n_{\varphi}$ . Then set  $p_{\varphi_0} = \{h_{\omega} : \omega \in E_{\varphi}\}$  and define

$$\varphi'_0(x) = \begin{cases} \|\omega\| & : x = h_{\omega} \in p_{\varphi_0}, \\ \infty & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (x \in M_').$$

Then  $\varphi'_0$  is a nsf weight on  $M'$ .

We use the bijection between left Hilbert algebras and nsf weights, in particular Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 from [7, Section VII]; see also Section 3 above. Starting with  $\varphi$  on  $M$  we form the full left Hilbert algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi} = \Lambda(n_{\varphi} \cap n_{\varphi}^*)$ . This gives rise to a weight  $\varphi_l$  on  $\mathcal{R}_l(\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi}) \cong M$  and  $\varphi_l = \varphi$ . We also obtain  $\varphi_r$  on  $\mathcal{R}_r(\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi}) = \mathcal{R}_l(\mathfrak{A}_{\varphi})'$  which agrees with  $\varphi'_0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the algebra of left bounded vectors, and similarly  $\mathfrak{B}'$ . As in Section 3 above,  $J\pi_l(\xi)J = \pi_r(J\xi)$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{A} \Leftrightarrow J\xi \in \mathfrak{A}'$ . Then  $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}$  exactly when there is a bounded operator  $\pi_l(\xi)$  satisfying  $\pi_l(\xi)\eta = \pi_r(\eta)\xi$  for each  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}'$ , equivalently,

$$\pi_l(\xi)J\eta = J\pi_l(\eta)J\xi \quad (\eta \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Then  $\pi_l(\eta)J\xi = J\pi_l(\xi)J\eta$  for each  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$  shows that  $J\xi \in \mathfrak{B}'$  with  $\pi_r(J\xi) = J\pi_l(\xi)J$ . We can reverse this argument, and so we conclude that  $J\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}'$ .

We recall the construction of  $\varphi_r$ : we have

$$\varphi_r(x) = \sup\{\omega(x) : \omega \in \Phi_{r,0}\} \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_{r,0} = \{\omega_\xi|_{M'} : \xi \in \mathfrak{B}, \|\pi_l(\xi)\| \leq 1\}.$$

However, we now see that

$$\Phi_{r,0} = \{\omega_{J\xi}|_{M'} : \xi \in \mathfrak{B}', \|\pi_r(\xi)\| \leq 1\},$$

which should be compared with  $\Phi_{l,0}$  is Section 3. Given  $x \in M'$  we have  $JxJ \in M$  and  $\omega_{J\xi}(x) = (J\xi|xJ\xi) = (\xi|JxJ\xi) = \omega_\xi(JxJ)$ , so

$$\varphi_l(JxJ) = \sup\{\omega_\xi(JxJ) : \xi \in \mathfrak{B}', \|\pi_r(\xi)\| < 1\} = \varphi_r(x).$$

Hence  $\varphi_l$  and  $\varphi_r$  are related in the way we expect, and as  $\varphi_r = \varphi'_0$ , we conclude that  $\varphi' = \varphi'_0$  as claimed.

## References

- [1] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras*, volume 122 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.
- [2] Richard V. Kadison and John R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. I*, volume 15 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. Elementary theory, Reprint of the 1983 original.
- [3] Richard V. Kadison and John R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II*, volume 16 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. Advanced theory, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [4] Șerban Strătilă. *Modular theory in operator algebras*. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucharest; Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1981. Translated from the Romanian by the author.
- [5] Șerban Strătilă and László Zsidó. *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*. Editura Academiei, Bucharest; Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1979. Revision of the 1975 original, Translated from the Romanian by Silviu Teleman.
- [6] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*, volume 124 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
- [7] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. II*, volume 125 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6.