

Notes on quasi-invariant measures

Matthew Daws

February 13, 2026

Abstract

We give a summary of quasi-invariant measures on (left) coset spaces of locally compact groups. We carefully show how to translate these results to right coset spaces.

1 Introduction

Let G be a locally compact (for me, always Hausdorff) group. We write elements of G as s, t etc. Recall that the left Haar measure is a Radon measure μ on G which is left invariant: $\lambda(sE) = \lambda(E)$ for Borel E and $s \in G$. We write the resulting integral as

$$\int_G f(s) d\lambda(s) = \int_G f(s) ds,$$

that is, suppress λ if context allows. The left Haar measure is unique up to a scalar.

For each $s \in G$ the measure $E \mapsto \lambda(Es)$ is left-invariant and so there is a scalar $\nabla(s) \in (0, \infty)$ with $\lambda(Es) = \nabla(s)\lambda(E)$. This function is continuous, and is the *modular function*.

We fix a right Haar measure on G by defining $\rho(E) = \lambda(E^{-1})$ where of course $E^{-1} = \{s^{-1} : s \in E\}$. Then $d\rho(s) = \nabla(s^{-1})d\lambda(s)$, that is,

$$\int_G f(s)\nabla(s^{-1}) d\lambda(s) = \int_G f(s) d\rho(s),$$

for, say, continuous compactly supported f . We also have that

$$\int_G f(s^{-1}) ds = \int_G f(s)\nabla(s^{-1}) ds, \quad \int_G f(s) d\rho(s) = \int_G f(s)\nabla(s) d\rho(s).$$

Some additional properties of the Haar measure are:

- $\lambda(U) > 0$ for any non-empty open subset U ;
- $\lambda(K) < \infty$ for any compact K .

Here we have followed [Fol]; see also [HR]. We remark that different sources can use slightly different conventions.

2 Quasi-invariant measures

Here I am following [Fol]; for further details and bibliographical comments see this book. In this section, fix a locally compact group G and a closed subgroup H . Then the left coset space G/H , with the quotient topology, is locally compact. Let $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the quotient map. Define $P : C_{00}(G) \rightarrow C_{00}(G/H)$ a map between the spaces of compactly supported continuous functions, by

$$(Pf)(sH) = \int_H f(su) du.$$

This is well-defined as du is left-invariant on H . Given $f \in C_{00}(G/H)$ the map $f \circ q$ is continuous and $P((f \circ q)g) = fP(g)$.

Proposition 2.1. *P maps $C_{00}(G)$ into $C_{00}(G/H)$. Indeed, for $g \in C_{00}(G/H)$ there is $f \in C_{00}(G)$ with $Pf = g$ and $q(\text{supp } f) = \text{supp } g$. If $g \geq 0$ we can choose $f \geq 0$.*

We are interested in when G/H carries a left invariant measure.

Theorem 2.2. *There is a left G -invariant Radon measure μ on G/H if and only if ∇_H is the restriction of ∇_G to H . If so, μ is unique up to a scalar, and we can choose μ so that*

$$\int_G f(s) \, ds = \int_{G/H} Pf \, d\mu = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(su) \, du \, d\mu(sH)$$

for $f \in C_{00}(G)$.

We remark that if H is normal, then this holds and μ is the Haar measure on the group G/H . The relation is known as Weil's formula.

In general, we say that a Radon measure μ on G/H is *quasi-invariant* if, defining $\mu_s(E) = \mu(sE)$ for $s \in G$, the measures μ_s are all equivalent (that is, mutually absolutely continuous). In fact, we work with the stronger condition that μ is *strongly quasi-invariant* if there is a continuous function $\lambda : G \times (G/H) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $d\mu_s(u) = \lambda(s, u)d\mu(u)$.

Definition 2.3. A *rho-function* for the pair (G, H) is a continuous function $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\rho(su) = \frac{\nabla_H(u)}{\nabla_G(u)} \rho(s) \quad (s \in G, u \in H).$$

Proposition 2.4. *For any locally compact group G and closed subgroup H , there is a rho-function for (G, H) .*

Theorem 2.5. *Given any rho-function ρ for the pair (G, H) , there is a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H with*

$$\int_{G/H} Pf \, d\mu = \int_G f(s)\rho(s) \, ds \quad (f \in C_{00}(G)),$$

and with

$$\frac{d\mu_s}{d\mu}(tH) = \frac{\rho(st)}{\rho(t)} \quad (s, t \in G).$$

We remark that a quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H satisfies that $\mu(U) > 0$ for any non-empty open U .

Theorem 2.6. *Every strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H arises from a rho-function as above, and all such measures are strongly equivalent.*

An immediate corollary is that all strongly quasi-invariant measures on G/H have the same null sets. In fact, this is true for all quasi-invariant measures. For the following, recall that a subset F is *locally Borel* if $E \cap F$ is Borel whenever F is Borel with $\mu(F) < \infty$, and a locally Borel set E is *locally null* if $\mu(E \cap F) = 0$ whenever F is Borel with $\mu(F) < \infty$.

Proposition 2.7. *All strongly quasi-invariant measures on G/H have the same locally null sets.*

Theorem 2.8. *A set $E \subseteq G/H$ is locally null (with respect to any quasi-invariant measure on G/H) if and only if $q^{-1}(E) \subseteq G$ is locally null (with respect to the Haar measure on G).*

There is also a useful summary in [BHV, Appendix B].

3 For right coset spaces

In this section, we provide some formulas for quasi-invariant measures on $H \backslash G$ the right coset space. Let G^{op} be the group G with the same inverse, but the reversed product. We write $s^{\text{op}} = s^o$ for $s \in G$ considered as an element of G^{op} , so that $s^o t^o = (ts)^o$ and $(s^o)^{-1} = (s^{-1})^o$. The left Haar measure on G^{op} , say $\lambda_{G^{\text{op}}}$, is just the right Haar measure on G , and so

$$\int_{G^{\text{op}}} f^o(t) dt = \int_{G^{\text{op}}} f^o(s^o) d\lambda_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o) = \int_G f(s) \nabla(s^{-1}) d\lambda_G(s) = \int_G f(s^{-1}) ds.$$

for $f \in C_{00}(G)$, say, where $f^o(s^o) = f(s)$ so $f^o \in C_{00}(G^{\text{op}})$. It follows that $G \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}, s \mapsto (s^{-1})^o$ is a group isomorphism which preserves the measure.

Lemma 3.1. *The modular function on G^{op} is $\nabla_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o) = \nabla_G(s^{-1})$.*

Proof. For a Borel $E \subseteq G$ let $E^o = \{s^o : s \in E\}$ a Borel subset of G^{op} . For $s^o \in G^{\text{op}}$ we have that $\nabla_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o) \lambda_{G^{\text{op}}}(E^o) = \lambda_{G^{\text{op}}}(E^o s^o)$. Let f be the Borel function on G with $f^o = \chi_{E^o s^o}$ so $f(t) = 1$ if and only if $t^o \in E^o s^o$, equivalently $t \in sE$, so $f = \chi_{sE}$. Thus

$$\nabla_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o) \lambda_{G^{\text{op}}}(E^o) = \int_{G^{\text{op}}} \chi_{E^o s^o} = \int_G \chi_{sE}(t) \nabla_G(t^{-1}) dt = \int_G \chi_E(t) \nabla_G((st)^{-1}) dt,$$

by left-invariance. This in turn equals

$$\nabla_G(s^{-1}) \int_G \chi_E(t) \nabla_G(t^{-1}) dt = \nabla_G(s^{-1}) \int_{G^{\text{op}}} \chi_{E^o}.$$

Hence $\nabla_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o) = \nabla_G(s^{-1})$. □

Given a closed subgroup H of G , notice that H^{op} is identified with $\{s^o : s \in H\}$, a closed subgroup of G^{op} .

Lemma 3.2. *A function $\rho' : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a rho-function for $(G^{\text{op}}, H^{\text{op}})$ if and only if $\rho(s) = \rho'((s^{-1})^o)$ defines a rho-function for (G, H) .*

Proof. Let $s \in G, u \in H$. We calculate that $\rho(su) = \rho'((s^{-1})^o(u^{-1})^o)$ while

$$\frac{\nabla_H(u)}{\nabla_G(s)} \rho(s) = \frac{\nabla_H(u)}{\nabla_G(s)} \rho'((s^{-1})^o).$$

So ρ is a rho-function on G if and only if

$$\rho'(s^o u^o) = \frac{\nabla_H(u^{-1})}{\nabla_G(s^{-1})} \rho'(s^o) = \frac{\nabla_{H^{\text{op}}}(u^o)}{\nabla_{G^{\text{op}}}(s^o)} \rho'(s^o) \quad (s^o \in G^{\text{op}}, u^o \in H^{\text{op}}),$$

that is, ρ' is a rho-function for $(G^{\text{op}}, H^{\text{op}})$. □

The map $G \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}, s \mapsto s^o$ is an anti-isomorphism of groups which maps H to H^{op} . Furthermore, a right coset HS is mapped to $\{(us)^o : u \in H\} = \{s^o v : v \in H^{\text{op}}\} = s^o H^{\text{op}}$ a left coset. Thus this map drops to a well-defined map $H \backslash G \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}, HS \mapsto s^o H^{\text{op}}$. This map is a homeomorphism by the definition of the quotient topology.

Let μ^{op} be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on $G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}$ with respect to a rho-function ρ' for $(G^{\text{op}}, H^{\text{op}})$. Let ρ be the associated rho-function for (G, H) . Thus

$$\int_{G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}} P^{\text{op}} f^o d\mu^{\text{op}} = \int_{G^{\text{op}}} f^o(s^o) \rho'(s^o) ds^o \quad (f \in C_{00}(G)). \quad (1)$$

Now, $P^{\text{op}} f^o \in C_{00}(G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}})$ is

$$(P^{\text{op}} f^o)(s^o H^{\text{op}}) = \int_{H^{\text{op}}} f^o(s^o t^o) dt^o = \int_{H^{\text{op}}} f^o((ts)^o) dt^o = \int_H f(t^{-1}s) dt. \quad (2)$$

Define a measure μ on $H \backslash G$ by $\mu(E) = \mu^{\text{op}}(E^o)$. Given a Borel $E \subseteq H \backslash G$ let $E^o \subseteq G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}$ be the image (so $HS \in E$ if and only if $s^o H^{\text{op}} \in E^o$). Extend this notation to $F \in C_{00}(H \backslash G)$, so that $F(Hs) = F^o(s^o H^{\text{op}})$. Consider now $\mu_{s^o}^{\text{op}}$ which satisfies $\mu_{s^o}^{\text{op}}(E^o) = \mu^{\text{op}}(s^o E^o) = \mu^{\text{op}}((Es)^o) = \mu(Es)$. We have by Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Es) &= \mu_{s^o}^{\text{op}}(E^o) = \int_{G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}} \chi_{E^o} d\mu_{s^o}^{\text{op}} = \int_{G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}} \chi_{E^o} \frac{d\mu_{s^o}^{\text{op}}}{d\mu^{\text{op}}} d\mu^{\text{op}} \\ &= \int_{G^{\text{op}}/H^{\text{op}}} \chi_{E^o}(t^o H^{\text{op}}) \frac{\rho'(s^o t^o)}{\rho'(t^o)} d\mu^{\text{op}}(t^o H^{\text{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that by the defining relation to be a rho-function, $\rho'(s^o t^o)/\rho'(t^o)$ depends only on the coset $t^o H^{\text{op}}$, so defines $F^o(t^o H^{\text{op}})$. Then

$$F(Ht) = \frac{\rho'(s^o t^o)}{\rho'(t^o)} = \frac{\rho(s^{-1}t^{-1})}{\rho(t^{-1})}$$

also depends only on Ht . In conclusion,

$$\mu(Es) = \int_{H \backslash G} \chi_E(Ht) \frac{\rho(s^{-1}t^{-1})}{\rho(t^{-1})} d\mu(Ht).$$

If we define ${}_s\mu(E) = \mu(Es)$ then

$$\frac{d{}_s\mu}{d\mu}(Ht) = \frac{\rho(s^{-1}t^{-1})}{\rho(t^{-1})} \quad (3)$$

Given $f \in C_{00}(G)$ define $P'f \in C_{00}(H \backslash G)$ by integrating over the right Haar measure of H , that is,

$$(P'f)(Hs) = \int_H f(ts) d\rho_H(t),$$

where ρ_H is the right Haar measure on H . Then, from (2),

$$(P'f)^o(s^o H^{\text{op}}) = (P'f)(Hs) = \int_H f(ts) d\rho_H(t) = \int_H f(t^{-1}s) dt = (P^{\text{op}}f^o)(s^o H^{\text{op}}). \quad (4)$$

It now follows from (1) that

$$\int_{H \backslash G} P'f d\mu = \int_{G^{\text{op}}} f^o(s^o) \rho'(s^o) ds^o = \int_G f(s^{-1}) \rho(s) ds = \int_G f(s) \rho(s^{-1}) d\rho_G(s), \quad (5)$$

where ρ_G is the right Haar measure on G .

In summary, we have shown the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Given a rho-function ρ for the pair (G, H) there is strongly quasi-invariant measure μ on $H \backslash G$ with*

$$\int_{H \backslash G} \int_H f(ts) d\rho_H(t) d\mu(Hs) = \int_G f(s) \rho(s^{-1}) d\rho_G(s),$$

and with

$$\frac{d{}_s\mu}{d\mu}(Ht) = \frac{\rho(s^{-1}t^{-1})}{\rho(t^{-1})}.$$

It perhaps makes sense to make a new definition.

Definition 3.4. A *right rho-function* for the pair (G, H) is a continuous function $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\rho(us) = \frac{\nabla_G(u)}{\nabla_H(u)} \rho(s) \quad (s \in G, u \in H).$$

This definition is designed so that ρ is a right rho-function if and only if $s \mapsto \rho(s^{-1})$ is a rho-function. We hence immediately have

Theorem 3.5. *Given a right rho-function ρ for the pair (G, H) there is strongly quasi-invariant measure μ on $H \backslash G$ with*

$$\int_{H \backslash G} \int_H f(ts) d\rho_H(t) d\mu(Hs) = \int_G f(s) \rho(s) d\rho_G(s).$$

Further, if ${}_s\mu(E) = \mu(Es)$ for $E \subseteq H \backslash G$, then

$$\frac{d{}_s\mu}{d\mu}(Ht) = \frac{\rho(ts)}{\rho(t)}.$$

Furthermore, we have that

Proposition 3.6. *$E \subseteq H \backslash G$ is locally null if and only if $q^{-1}(E)$ is locally null in G .*

References

- [BHV] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, *Kazhdan's property (T)*, New Mathematical Monographs, 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. MR2415834
- [Fol] G. B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, second edition, Textbooks in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016. MR3444405
- [HR] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I*, second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979. MR0551496