Unintended Effects of the Development of Quantum Computing on NP-Difficult Problems and the RSA Encryption Algorithm

Matt Fletcher

Abstract—Since the 1980's, the RSA Encryption algorithm has been used as a near foolproof method of encrypting sensitive data sent over the Internet. It relies on the relatively slow computing power of modern day computers as well as prime factorization being an NP- math problem TODO check which version of NP. However, with quantum computers on the horizon of being invented, many cryptologists worry about the safety of encrypted data. This paper analyzes why the safety of encryption has both increased and decreased over the age of computers.

Index Terms—Quantum computing, Cryptography, Encryption, P vs NP, RSA, TODO

◆

1 INTRODUCTION

IN 1955, mathematician John Nash penned a letter to the National Security Agency that would change the face of computing forever. TODO add citation In this letter, he presented a theory about the computational power required to find the solutions to various mathematical problems. In particular, he focused on the art of cryptography, and the length of time necessary to crack an encryption. Up to that point, computer scientists who created algorithms concentrated solely on the required time to solve a particular length of problem. Nash suggested that instead of fixating on the length of time necessary to solve a problem of a particular length, scientists should consider the rate of difficulty growth of the problem resolution time given the length of the inputs grew at a linear rate. Furthermore, in lieu of computation time, a scientist should focus on the number of computational steps required to solve the problem. TODO adjust sentences A computational step is the number of state changes that the machine processes TODO synonym for processes in carrying out the set of steps to solve the problem. The shape of this growth curve would help classify the difficulty of the problem. For example, the addition of numbers is a problem with a characteristic of linear growth. Doubling the number of digits to be added results in approximately double the computation time. This makes these problems grow at a linear rate[1]. However, problems such as multiplication grow at a slightly faster pace. Doubling the length of the inputs results in a growth of 2^2 times the number of computational steps. Tripling the length of the input results in a growth of 3^2 times the number of computational steps. Although this appears to be a rapid growth, it is actually growing in what is known as polynomial time. The exponent of the growth rate is always constant. Polynomial growth can therefore be displayed as some combination of terms in the form n^k, where n is a variable and k is a constant.

However, there is additional class of problem. Take for example cracking a password. For simplicity sake, assume the password is a PIN composed only of digits 0-9. In the worst case scenario, for a 1 digit PIN, the computer would take $10^1 = 10$ guesses. For a 2 digit PIN, the computer would take $10^2 = 100$ guesses. For a 4 digit pin, the computer would take $10^4 = 10\,000$ guesses. This is growing at a rate of 10^k, where k is some constant. This growth pattern is known as exponential growth, where the variable is in the exponent. The resultant curve is extremely sharp. For context, if a password was able to consist of any numbers, letters, or special characters on a standard US keyboard, with only an eight character password, the number of computational steps required skyrockets up to 82^8, or 2 with fifteen zeros following it.

1.1 Classifying P and NP Problems

Mathematicians had been noticing problems with similar growth patterns in all different fields of math and science. So, they decided to classify these problems. The first type is known as a problem with difficulty P. To find the largest number in a list of numbers, a computer must iterate through each element in the list of numbers one time[2]. Assume this uses n computational steps. If the length of the list is doubled, the computer must go through $2n$ steps, or double the number of steps, in order to determine the largest element in the list. Tripling the length results in $3n$ computational steps. Therefore, increasing the list from n elements to $k \cdot n$ elements will result in having to use k times the number of computational steps[3]. This increase results in a linear growth. By computer standards, this is considered a slow growth. Mathemeticians decided to classify these problems as type P problems, as they could be solved in **P**olynomial time. Not only are these problems easy to solve,

---

1. Linear growth is still technically considered a polynomial growth of the form n^k with $k = 0$.

2. For each element in the list, check if it's larger than the previous element. If it is, store that value as the largest value.
3. A sample Python script is in Appendix A.

but they are also easy to confirm if a potential solution is correct.

Problems classified as NP are slightly different. However, "Nondeterministic Polynomial time problems" (also known as NP problems) are difficult to solve, but easy to check a solution to in polynomial time[4]. One of the most common examples of an NP problem is finding a subset of a list that satisfies a given requirement. This is often referred to as the hackey-sack problem. If someone is presented with a collection of small sacks with specified random weights, and then asked to find a subset of those sacks that result in a given weight, the only way to approach this problem with current computational methods is a brute force algorithm, guessing a random subset, and checking the result. Unlike the P-type problem of finding the largest number in a list, the number of computational steps required for this problem results in a much faster growth. Assume that initially, just 2 sacks are given. Call these c_1 and c_2. To figure out which combination yields the correct answer, one must try the following combinations:

- c_1
- c_2
- $c_1 + c_2$

This is only 3 iterations, which isn't terrible. Mathematically, the number of iterations required can be represented with the following expression, where r is the number of sacks in the initial collection.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} {}^{r}C_k$$

However, this problem grows at a very fast rate. For 4 sacks in the initial collection, the number of iterations required jumps to $\sum_{k=1}^{4} {}^{4}C_k = 15$. Doubling the length of the input quintupled the number of computational steps. Through the use of Pascal's Triangle (TODO appendix this maybe?), one can find that the required number of computational steps for an initial collection of k sacks is $2^k - 1$. For an idea of the rate of growth, a collection of 30 sacks would require over 1 billion computational steps. Yet another example of an NP problem is the factoring of a number into its prime factors. In his 1801 book *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, mathematician Frederick Gauss proved that any number has exactly 1 prime factorization. It is extremely easy to check if a given factorization for a number is correct (the multiplication of said numbers is a P-difficulty problem). However, in order to find the unique factorization for a number, the only method currently known is guessing and checking every single factor for that number. As the length of the target number grows, so does the length of computation time, but the computation time grows exponentially. As the growth of computation time is not of a polynomial form, the factorization problem is considered an NP-difficulty problem. But what application does this have in the real world?

1.2 RSA Encryption

As a shopper enters their credit card details for an online store website, the shopper is assured that their credit card details are protected if they see the little green lock icon in their browser. Less known is the fact that the only reason this lock icon has any significant value is due to prime factorization being an NP problem, and the difficulty of breaking RSA encryption. In 1978, three computer scientists, Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir and Leonard Adleman, publicly released an encryption algorithm titled after their names, RSA. The basis of this encryption technique[5] is two secret prime numbers (known as private keys) multiplied together to form a public key. Determining whether the two numbers multiplied together result in the public key is only possible through repetitive calculation [6]. For an idea of size, the public key length for most banking systems nowadays is over six hundred digits long[7]. To complete these calculations with current methods and computing technologies would require somewhere on the order of 6.4 quadrillion years.

1.3 Evolution of Computational Methods

The phrase "with current methods" is used throughout this paper, in the context of methods used to solve a problem. This aligns with a computing device known as a Turing computer to solve a problem. In 1936, English computer scientist and cryptologist Alan Turing proposed the idea of a theoretical computing machine that operates off of a memory tape with infinite length divided into discrete cells. Each cell contains a basic instruction. The reading head above the tape identifies the value of the cell on the memory tape, then moves the tape either to the left, to the right, or terminates the program. Although this may seem very simple, determining if an algorithm can be run on a Turing machine constitutes one of the most important problems in the field of computer science. A Turing computer is the most basic computer possible, taking in bits one at a time, and outputting a result based on the bit. These computers are powerful. However, they are insufficient for solving NP problems. Because the difficulty for NP problems grows with non-polynomial growth, the computation time grows rapidly to an unmanageable amount. However, this challenge will change with the introduction of quantum computers.

Computers have evolved drastically over the decades. In first generation computers, or computer technology designed from 1939 to 1954, computers operated on vaccuum tubes. Even a basic computer with hardware specifications orders of magnitude weaker than a modern day pocket calculator would occupy an entire room, and cost hundreds of thousands of dollars. These vacuum tubes, due to the excess heat they created, were extremely unreliable and were prone to failure every few hours. Computers soon

---

4. "Easy" and "difficult" in this case mean that they are respectively solvable and not solvable by a computer in a reasonable amount of time.

5. Please note that this is a highly simplified explanation of the encryption algorithm. To describe this in full detail would take far more in-depth explanations and mathematics than would be appropriate for this paper.

6. Although some algorithms exist to reduce the work slightly using methods to eliminate groups of guesses, it is still a polynomial reduction on an exponential growth, which means the reduction is almost unnoticeable.

7. A 617 digit long public key is the key length for 2048 bit encryption.

transitioned to using transistors, micro-switches that can read either 1 (for on) or 0 (for off). They will exist in exactly one of these states at any given time. By combining these transistors into logical gates, basic functions can be performed, such as addition and multiplication. Compared to the vacuum tubes, transistors could be packed far more densely into the same area. Due to Moore's law [8], the processing power of computers grows at an exponential rate. Eventually, transistors were changed to a special type of transistor known as a MOSFET[9]. Due to their low power usage, MOSFET's could be packed into extreme densities. Eventually, these transistors were integrated into the layers of silicon in the processing chip, which allowed the size of an individual transistor to be in the tens of nanometers[10] However, regular computers are soon reaching the limit of how small these transistors can get. It is predicted that transistors will reach their physical limit size sometime in the mid 2020's. TODO CITE

A quantum computer operates uses a slightly different principle. Instead of operating on a physical piece of hardware (the transistor) that produces a bit, it operates by observation of a photon that represents a qubit[11]. A qubit is a special type of bit that can either represent a 1, a 0, or a superposition of a 1 and a 0. But how can something exist in both a 1 and a 0 at the same time? To understand this, one must first understand the principle behind Schrödingers cat.

The infamous Schrödinger's cat experiment is performed as follows. Imagine a living cat inside a sealed bunker, with a sealed beaker of poisonous material, a Geiger counter attached to a hammer, and a small piece of radioactive material. The radioactive material has a 50% chance of having one of its atoms decay in the next hour (which would set off the Geiger counter, smashing the hammer into the vial of gas and releasing it into the bunker, killing the cat). As long as the bunker is sealed and unobserved, the cat has a 50% chance of being dead and a 50% chance of being alive. When the bunker is opened, however, the cat must either be dead or alive. The instant before the bunker is opened, the cat exists in a quantum superposition of both dead and alive.

Just like the cat, as long as the qubit is unobserved, it exists in a quantum superposition both a 1 and a 0. As soon as the qubit is observed, though, it "snaps" to either a 1 or a 0. Another way of thinking about this is like a coin that is flipped and is spinning in midair. At any point in the air, it is impossible to figure out if it is heads or tails. However, smashing a fist down on top of the coin will force it to go to either a heads or a tails orientation. TODO explain this better. But how does this help a quantum computer work faster than a regular computer?

1.4 How a Quantum Computer can solve NP-type problems

2 QUANTUM COMPUTING PROS AND CONS

What are the advantages and disadvantages that come with the rise of quantum computing?

2.1 Advantages

The United States Military expresses a large amount of interest in quantum computing, primarily for its use in cryptanalysis, or code-breaking. TODO Elaborate

Another use of quantum computing arises in the use of autonmous vehicles and route generation. Finding the shortest route between a set of points is considered an NP-hard problem [12]. In math terms, this problem is attempting to find the most efficient Hamiltonian Cycle to take through a set of N nodes. This has a real life application, however; finding the shortest route between a set of points is nothing more than a navigation application such as Google Maps. TODO add more about use for autonomous vehicles.

One highly important section of the field of quantum computing is its application in the field of artificial intelligence. According to IBM, "Making facets of artificial intelligence such as machine learning much more powerful when data sets are very large, such as in searching images or video." TODO add citation. TODO add more information.

Yet another application that quantum computing will change dramatically is sociopoliticial and socioeconomic fields. In sociopolitical fields, elections are won partially through the use of algorithms to determine which groups of people stand the most chance of being swayed to vote for that particular party. Current algorithms, although they are relatively accurate, can only go so far in how accurate they can be. But with the use of quantum computing, algorithms can be made that will be able to precisely target groups. TODO this has crappy phrasing.

What about applications that could potentially save lives? Current meteorological prediction techniques TODO add more.

2.2 Disadvantages

APPENDIX A
SAMPLE CODE FOR LARGEST ELEMENT

Assume the list of numbers is stored in a list named num_list. The following is written in Python. Note how there is only 1 FOR loop.

```
greatest_element = num_list[0]
for element in num_list:
        if element>greatest_element:
                element = greatest_element
return element
```

8. Moore's law is based off of Intel co-founder Gordon Moore's observation that the density of transistors on microprocessors had approximately doubled every year since the early age of computers. Moore's law states that this trend will continue every year into the future.

9. Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistors

10. For example, the Intel i7 Quad Core CPU packs 731 million transistors into a board only 0.63" by 0.63".

11. Qubit is a juxtaposition of QUantum BIT

12. See Appendix C

APPENDIX B
PROOF OF ITERATION COUNT FOR SACKS

Start with a test case, then prove for a general solution. Let the number of sacks in the initial collection be 4.

To figure out the total possible number of sacks, use a case by case scenario. Every final count of sacks will either contain 1, 2, 3, or 4 sacks.

- **Case 1**, picking 4 sacks.
  There are 4 possible choices for the first sack, 3 possible for the second, 2 for the third, and 1 for the fourth. Mathematically represented, there are $^4P_4 = 4! = 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$ possible combinations. However, as the order the sacks are picked in does not matter, divide by 4!. The mathematical represenatation of this compensation is $^4C_4$.

$$\frac{4!}{4!} = 1$$

  . This makes sense, because there's only 1 way to pick a collection of all 4 sacks.
- **Case 2**, picking 3 sacks.
  With the same logic as the previous case, there are $^4P_3 = 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$ possible unordered combinations. However, each choice of 3 sacks can be arranged in 3! ways, so therefore divide the total number of collections (4!) by the number of arrangements of each (3!).

$$\frac{4!}{3!} = \frac{24}{6} = 4$$

  .
- **Case 3**, picking 2 sacks.

$$^4C_2 = \frac{^4P_2}{2!} = 6$$

- **Case 4**, picking 1 sack.

$$^4C_1 = \frac{^4P_1}{1!} = 4$$

  Adding each of these together results in $1+4+6+4 = 15$.
  The number of possible combinations for each case is not a random number. These numbers actually come from the Binomial Coefficients of Pascal's Triangle.

$n = 0$:				1				
$n = 1$:			1		1			
$n = 2$:		1		2		1		
$n = 3$:	1		3		3		1	
$n = 4$: 1		4		6		4		1

  The row $n = 4$ has the same values as each of the cases discussed above. (The only number that does not show is the first 1, as this represents the number of ways to pick zero elements from the list of initial sacks. As this is not a valid solution to the problem, it is ignored.)

APPENDIX C
TRAVELING SALESMAN NP PROOF

A simple explanation behind this statement: To find the shortest route between a set of nodes $N_1, N_2, \cdots N_Q$ of size Q, start at any node N_1. At this point, Q guesses have been checked. Now, there are $Q-1$ points left to try. After another point N_2 has been selected, $Q(Q - 1)$ guesses have been attempted. After another point, the number of guesses goes to $Q(Q - 1)(Q - 2)$. This is increasing at a rate of $Q!$, which is a non polynomial growth.

APPENDIX D

Insert Appendix text here

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, *A Guide to LaTeX*, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.