

COS301 Mini Project Testing Infrastructure

Matthew Gouws u11008602Andrew Parkes u12189139Axel Ind u12063178Patience Mtsweni u11116774Khathutshelo Shaun Matidza u11072157Matthew Nel u10126229Ephiphania Munava u10624610 uxxxxxxxx

Here's a link to Github. https://github.com/MatthewGouws/COS301_Testing_infrastructure

Version 0.1-alpha April 23, 2015

1 History

Date	Version	Description
21-04-2015	Version 0.1	Document Template Created
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.1	Added Authorization for B
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.2	Added Authorization for A
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.3	Added Notification Table
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.4	Added introduction
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.5	Added uses cases for Buzz B
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.6	Added uses cases for Buzz 1
22-04-2015	Version 0.1.7	Fixed Authorization formatting
23-04-2015	Version 0.1.8	Added Space use cases

Contents

1	History	1	
2	Introduction Purpose		
3			
4	Project Scope		
5	Functional 5.1 use cases and results 5.1.1 Authorization 5.1.2 Notification 5.1.3 Spaces	4 4 4 5 6	
6	Non-functional 6.1 Performance 6.2 Scalability 6.3 Maintainability 6.4 Reliability 6.5 Usability 6.6 Availability 6.7 Manageability 6.8 Security 6.9 Monitorability and Auditability 6.10 Integrability	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8	
7	References	Q	

2 Introduction

This document contains: Part 1 the functional testing phase for each mid level parts Buzz A and Buzz B. Each section will show the success or the failure of each part. This contains all violations of the contract requirements. Pre- and post- conditions should be tested for all the violations and the data structure requirements. For all the testing, an analysis report of the percentage cases will be given that will depict the amount of work done and the successfulness of the sections in the implementation.

Part 2 the non-functional testing phase. This part contains the performance, scalability, maintainability, reliability, usability of the application and problems associated with the system.

3 Purpose

The purpose of this task was to test functionality provided by mid-level integration for infrastructure, which consisted of Notification, Authorization, Spaces and CSDS.

4 Project Scope

The scope of the integration for infrastructure was to combine all functional teams code in a manner which could be used by top level integration. From what has been discovered and explained further in this document it shows that both teams A and B have failed to do so. Team A was very difficult to try and decipher. With missing dependencies, while Team B only had mock functionality.

5 Functional

5.1 use cases and results

5.1.1 Authorization

Use Case(s)	Buzz A	Buzz B
addAuthorizationRest -	Could not NPM install	Only Mock functionality,
Adds an authorization	due to missing dependen-	does not run
restriction for a user	cies, as a result could not	
role in a particular buzz	run	
space.		
updateAuthorizationRest	Could not NPM install	Only Mock functionality,
- Facilitates editing of	due to missing dependen-	does not run
authorization restric-	cies, as a result could not	
tions.	run	
${\bf remove Authorization Rest}$	Could not NPM install	Only Mock functionality,
- Removes an authoriza-	due to missing dependen-	does not run
tion restriction for a user	cies, as a result could not	
role from a buzz space.	run	
getAuthorizationRest	Could not NPM install	Only Mock functionality,
- Retrieves the autho-	due to missing dependen-	does not run
rization restriction to	cies, as a result could not	
enable users to select a	run	
restriction to update.		
isAuthorized - Queries	Could not NPM install	Only Mock functionality,
the services a user may	due to missing dependen-	does not run
access in order to cus-	cies, as a result could not	
tomize the UI for the	run	
user.		

5.1.2 Notification

Use Case(s)	Buzz A	Buzz B
Daily Email - Sends	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
Daily Email.	Missing dependencies,	does not run
	thus would not run	
Delete Notification -	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
Checks if the user should	Missing dependencies,	does not run
receive a notification	thus would not run	
Edit Notification Set-	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
tings - Edits the notifi-	Missing dependencies,	does not run
cations	thus would not run	
Web Notification - re-	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
turns a list of notifica-	Missing dependencies,	does not run
tions for the specified	thus would not run	
user		
Register For Notification	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
- Allows a user to regis-	Missing dependencies,	does not run
ter for notifications on a	thus would not run	
thread, to specified users		
Standard Notification -	Could not npm install,	Only Mock functionality,
When a user adds a new	Missing dependencies,	does not run
thread it sends notifica-	thus would not run	
tions to a list of regis-		
tered users		

5.1.3 Spaces

Use Case(s)	Buzz A	Buzz B
Create Buzz Space - Cre-	Could not npm install,	
ates and adds the buzz	Missing dependencies,	
space to the activated list	thus would not run	
of buzz spaces.		
Close Buzz Space - Re-	Could not npm install,	
ceives buzz to close and	Missing dependencies,	
then removes the buzz	thus would not run	
space from the list of ac-		
tivated buzz spaces.		
Assign Administrator -	Could not npm install,	
Gets the user to be as-	Missing dependencies,	
signed to be administra-	thus would not run	
tor then checks if it is ad-		
ministrator and adds the		
user to the list of admin-		
istrators.		
Remove Administrator -	Could not npm install,	
Receives the user to be	Missing dependencies,	
removed then removes	thus would not run	
the user from the list of		
admin.		
Is Administrator - Re-	Could not npm install,	
ceives the user to be	Missing dependencies,	
checked then searches the	thus would not run	
admin list for the user.		
Get User Profile -	Could not npm install,	
Searchs for the user that	Missing dependencies,	
is queried and returns	thus would not run	
the user searched for.		
Register On Buzz Space	Could not npm install,	
- Registers the user on	Missing dependencies,	
buzz spaces and stores	thus would not run	
the user in the database.		

6 Non-functional

6.1 Performance

As stated for the B system, A would run similarly in performance due to the use of NodeJS and MongoDB, With the code very difficult to track down and run, the true performance could not be tested for the system, but given a decent entry level server would be expected to handle multiple client connections at once.

6.2 Scalability

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.3 Maintainability

Due to the fact that the code is very difficult to access in the repository for A it is highly unlikely it can be called maintainable. However unit tests seem to have been completed and thus would be easy to add specific extra cases to the code while ensuring it does not break the known working code.

6.4 Reliability

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.5 Usability

Due to the fact that the code is barely traceable to where it is located, it is very difficult to use this package. Insight into the development would be required to allow a user to be able to use the code correctly and how to use the code before production could begin.

6.6 Availability

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.7 Manageability

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.8 Security

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.9 Monitorability and Auditability

Stub - This will be added in the future

6.10 Integrability

Stub - This will be added in the future

7 References

Stub - This will be added in the future