

# Miscellaneous Math Notes

Matthew McGonagle

May 9, 2015

## Contents

<b>1 Analysis</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Regularity of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors . . . . .	1
1.2 The Inverse Function Theorem . . . . .	3
<b>2 PDE</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Estimates . . . . .	4
<b>3 Viscosity Solutions</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Motivation . . . . .	8
3.2 The “Closures” of the semi-jets, $\bar{J}^+ u$ and $\bar{J}^- u$ . . . . .	9

## 1 Analysis

Here we record some general notes on analysis.

### 1.1 Regularity of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Consider a symmetric matrix function  $M(x) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . At each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $M(x)$  are real valued. Therefore, we may uniquely indentify them using an ordering  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ . In general, if  $M$  is smooth (or even analytic) each  $\lambda_i$  may be only Lipschitz.

The Lipschitz nature of each  $\lambda_i$  may be demonstrated by identifying each  $\lambda_i$  with an inf-sup variational problem. In particular we have that

$$\lambda_i = \inf_{\dim V=i} \sup_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \langle v, Mv \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $V$  is an  $i$ -dimensional sub-space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Although the eigenvalues are at least Lipschitz, there is no guarantee of the regularity of the eigenvectors, not even continuity. Problems occur when eigenvalues have multiplicity greater than one.

Consider the matrix function

$$M(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) & b(t) \\ b(t) & c(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

We see that the eigenfunctions are solutions to  $\lambda^2 - (a + c)\lambda + ac - b^2 = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda_i = \frac{a + c \pm \sqrt{(a + c)^2 - 4(ac - b^2)}}{2}, \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{a + c \pm \sqrt{(a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2} \quad (4)$$

We see that  $\lambda_i$  are continuous and their derivatives don't exist only when  $(a - c)^2 + 4b^2 = 0$ . For the case of  $M(t)$  smooth, when this occurs we actually have that  $(a - c)^2 + 4b^2 = \mathcal{O}((t - t_0)^2)$ . So, we see that  $\lambda_i$  will be Lipschitz.

**Example 1.1.** Consider the explicit example

$$M(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t & t \\ t & 1 - t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Then, we have that

$$\lambda_i = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}|t|. \quad (6)$$

For  $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sqrt{2}|t|$ , we see that the choices of normalized eigenvector are

$$v = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{t^2 + (t + \sqrt{2}|t|)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} -t \\ t + \sqrt{2}|t| \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

So then we have the one sided limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} v = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{1 + (1 + \sqrt{2})^2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

and the other one sided limit is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0-} v = \frac{\mp 1}{\sqrt{1 + (1 - \sqrt{2})^2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 - \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

We see that there is no choice of  $\pm 1$  in equation (8) and no choice of  $\pm 1$  in equation (9) that will make  $v$  continuous at  $t = 0$ . Therefore any choice of normalized eigenvector must have a discontinuity at  $t = 0$ .

## 1.2 The Inverse Function Theorem

We will prove a weaker version of the inverse function theorem using differential equations. Consider  $f : U \rightarrow V$ . Let us consider the construction of the inverse of  $f$  along a curve  $\gamma(t) \in V$  with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ . We wish to construct a curve  $\chi(t)$  with  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $f \circ \chi(t) = \gamma(t)$ . Differentiating, we see that  $\chi(t)$  must necessarily satisfy  $Df(\chi(t))\chi'(t) = \gamma'(t)$ . Therefore,

$$\chi'(t) = Df^{-1}(\chi(t))\gamma'(t). \quad (10)$$

By choosing a family of curves  $\gamma(t)$  exhausting a neighborhood of  $y = 0$ , we may construct  $f^{-1}$  from (10).

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $0 \in U, V$ . Let  $f : U \rightarrow V$  be such that  $f \in C^1(U)$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $Df$  is invertible at every point of  $U$ ,  $Df^{-1}$  is Lipschitz on  $U$ .

Then there exists neighborhoods  $0 \in U' \subset U$  and  $0 \in V' \subset V$  such that  $f$  is a bijection of  $U'$  and  $V'$ . Furthermore,  $f^{-1}$  is continuous on  $V'$ .

*Proof.* Consider the family of curves  $\gamma_y(t) = ty$ . Then  $\gamma'(t) = y$ . So, from (10), we seek to solve

$$\chi'_y(t) = Df^{-1}(\chi_y(t))y. \quad (11)$$

We reformulate this as a fixed point problem for an integral equation. For any function  $\psi(y, t)$  we define the operator  $T\psi(y, t)$  by

$$T\psi(y, t) = \int_0^t Df^{-1}(\psi(y, s))y \, ds. \quad (12)$$

Then, we seek to find  $\chi(y, t)$  such that  $T\chi(y, t) = \chi(y, t)$ . Let  $L$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $Df^{-1}$  so that  $|Df^{-1}(x_1) - Df^{-1}(x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|$ . Then we see that

$$|T\psi(y, t) - T\phi(y, t)| \leq \int_0^t L|\psi(y, s) - \phi(y, s)||y| \, ds, \quad (13)$$

$$\leq L\|\psi - \phi\|_{C^0}|ty|. \quad (14)$$

So, for some neighborhood  $V' \subset V$ , letting  $W = V' \times (-2, 2)$ , we get that  $T$  takes a convex neighborhood  $N$  in  $C^0(W)$  of  $\psi(y, t) = 0$  to itself. Furthermore,

$$\|T\psi - T\phi\|_{C^0(W)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\psi - \phi\|_{C^0(W)}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, by the contraction mapping principle there is a unique  $\chi(y, t) \in N$  such that  $T\chi = \chi$ . Define  $g(y) = \chi(y, 1)$ . So, by the definition of  $\chi(y, t)$ , we have that  $f \circ g(y) = y$ . Therefore,  $g : V' \rightarrow U$  is injective.

Now, from the differentiability of  $f$ , we have that  $f(x) = f(0) + Df(0)x + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^2)$ . Since  $Df(0)$  is non-singular, we have  $\|Df(0)x\| \geq \|Df^{-1}(0)\|\|x\|$ . Hence, for some neighborhood  $0 \in U' \subset U$ , we have that  $f$  is injective on  $U'$ . Furthermore, we may take  $U'$  such that  $f : U' \rightarrow V'$ . Hence,  $f$  and  $g$  give continuous bijections between  $U'$  and  $V'$ .  $\square$

Now we extend the regularity.

**Proposition 1.2.** If  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is a continuous homeomorphism,  $f \in C^1(U)$ , and  $Df$  non-singular in  $U$ . Then,  $f^{-1} \in C^1(V)$ . **PROOF IS INCORRECT OR INCOMPLETE. DISREGARD PROPOSITION FOR NOW.**

*Proof.* **THIS PROOF IS INCORRECT/INCOMPLETE.** Let  $y = f(x)$  and let us consider restricting  $h$  such that  $\|Df(x+h) - Df(x)\| < (1/2)\|Df(x)\|$ . We have that

$$f(x+h) - f(x) = \int_0^1 Df(x+th) dt h, \quad (16)$$

$$= \int_0^1 (Df(x+h) - Df(x)) dt h + Df(x)h. \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Now, } \left\| \int_0^1 (Df(x+h) - Df(x)) dt \right\| \leq (1/2)\|Df(x)\|.$$

We use the first order approximation of  $f$ . Since differentiability is local information, we may assume without loss in generality that  $1/C \leq \|Df\| \leq C$  on  $U$ . Now, we have that for any  $x_1, x_2 \in U$  that  $f(x_2) = f(x_1) + Df(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) + \mathcal{O}(\|x_2 - x_1\|^2)$ . Let  $y_1 = f(x_1)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2)$ . Then we have  $y_2 = y_1 + Df(f^{-1}(y_1))(y_2 - y_1) + \mathcal{O}(\|y_2 - y_1\|^2)$ .

At any point  $y \in V$  and any direction  $w$  consider the curve  $\gamma(t) = y + tw \in V$ . Let  $f(x) = y$  and consider the direction  $v$  such that  $Df(x)v = w$ . Let  $\chi(t) = x + tv \in U$ .

From the differentiability of  $f \circ \chi(t)$ , we have that  $f \circ \chi(t) = y + tw + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ . Therefore, we get that  $g(y + tw) - g(y) = g(f \circ \chi(t)) - g(y) = \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ .  $\square$

## 2 PDE

Here we record some general notes on PDE.

### 2.1 Estimates

Estimates can be used for showing existence of solutions (see the use of Schauder Estimates and the Continuity Method to prove the existence of solutions to elliptic pde), and they may also be used to show control over how our solutions depend on the coefficients in our equations.

**Example 2.1.** Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' - y = f_\epsilon(x) & 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = 0, \\ y(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $f(x)$  is the piecewise function

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\epsilon} & 0 \leq x < \epsilon, \\ 2 - \frac{x}{\epsilon} & \epsilon \leq x < 2\epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Now, note that  $f_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in a pointwise manner, but  $f_\epsilon \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $C^0$ . So, how much convergence do we need to ensure that the solutions  $y \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ? Let us consider this using the Laplace transform.

Now we consider finding a particular solution  $y_p$ , i.e. a solution to  $y_p'' - y_p = 0$  satisfying  $y_p(0) = y_p'(0) = f_\epsilon$ . Letting  $Y_p(s) = \mathcal{L}y_p$  and  $F_\epsilon(s) = \mathcal{L}f_\epsilon$ , we see that  $(s^2 - 1)Y_p = F_\epsilon$ . Therefore, we have that  $Y_p = (s^2 - 1)^{-1}F_\epsilon$ . So, we get that

$$y_p = \int_0^x \sinh(x - \tau) f_\epsilon(\tau) d\tau. \quad (20)$$

Therefore,

$$y = c_1 \cosh x + c_2 \sinh x + \int_0^x \sinh(x - \tau) f_\epsilon(\tau) d\tau. \quad (21)$$

Using the boundary conditions, we get that  $c_1 = 0$  and

$$c_2(\epsilon) = \frac{-1}{\sinh 1} \int_0^1 \sinh(1 - \tau) f_\epsilon(\tau) d\tau. \quad (22)$$

Since  $f_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1$ , we see that the solutions  $y \rightarrow 0$  in  $C^0$ . Furthermore,

$$y' = c_2(\epsilon) \cosh x + \int_0^x \cosh(x - \tau) f_\epsilon(\tau) d\tau. \quad (23)$$

So, we see that  $y \rightarrow 0$  in  $C^1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . However, note that  $y \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $C^2$ . Note that this is to be expected from Schauder estimates since  $f \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $C^\alpha$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let us consider the related example of solving the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' - y = f_\epsilon(x) & 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = 0, \\ y(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where  $f_\epsilon$  is the piecewise function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\epsilon^2} & 0 < x < \epsilon, \\ \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{x}{\epsilon^2} & \epsilon < x < 2\epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Note that  $f \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1$ .

Let us solve this in a piecewise manner. We see that

$$y = \begin{cases} -\frac{x}{\epsilon^2} + c_1 \cosh x + c_2 \sinh x & 0 < x < \epsilon, \\ \frac{x}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} + c_3 \cosh x + c_4 \sinh x & \epsilon < x < 2\epsilon, \\ c_5 \cosh x + c_6 \sinh x & 2\epsilon < x < 1. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

To solve for the constants  $c_i$ , we impose the two boundary conditions plus the four conditions from demanding that  $y \in C^1$ . Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cosh 1 & \sinh 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

Then we have that our six conditions give that

$$(M + \epsilon N + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2))\vec{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2/\epsilon^2 \\ 0 \\ -1/\epsilon^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

Now, note that one can solve

$$M\vec{c}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

rather directly (without even using row reduction) to get that

$$\vec{c}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(I + M^{-1}N\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2))\vec{c} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\vec{c}_0, \quad (32)$$

and so

$$\vec{c} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\vec{c}_0 - \frac{1}{\epsilon}M^{-1}N\vec{c}_0 + \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (33)$$

Now,

$$N\vec{c}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Now we solve to get that

$$M^{-1}N\vec{c}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cosh 1 / \sinh 1 \\ -2 \\ \cosh 1 / \sinh 1 \\ -1 \\ \cosh 1 / \sinh 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

So, we see that

$$y = \begin{cases} -\frac{x}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sinh x + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\cosh 1}{\sinh 1} \sinh x + \mathcal{O}(1) & 0 < x < \epsilon, \\ \frac{x}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sinh x - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \cosh x + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\cosh 1}{\sinh 1} \sinh x + \mathcal{O}(1) & \epsilon < x < 2\epsilon, \\ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \cosh x + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\cosh 1}{\sinh 1} \sinh x + \mathcal{O}(1) & 2\epsilon < x < 1. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

And we may simplify some of the order to get

$$y = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1) & 0 < x < \epsilon, \\ -\frac{4}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(1) & \epsilon < x < 2\epsilon, \\ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(1) & 2\epsilon < x < 1. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

So we see that  $y$  doesn't even converge to 0 in a pointwise manner as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

### 3 Viscosity Solutions

Here we record some notes on the discussion of viscosity solutions discussed in the User's Guide [1]. We adopt the notation of the User's Guide [1].

As a reminder, we seek solutions to an elliptic fully non-linear equation

$$F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0, x \in \Omega. \quad (38)$$

An upper semi-continuous function  $u(x)$  is a **viscosity sub-solution** if for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $(p, X) \in J^+u(x)$  we have that

$$F(x, u, p, X) \leq 0. \quad (39)$$

Similarly, a lower semi-continuous function  $u(x)$  is a **viscosity super-solution** if for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $(p, X) \in J^-u(x)$  we have that

$$F(x, u, p, X) \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

A **viscosity solution**  $u$  is both a viscosity sub-solution and viscosity super-solution.

### 3.1 Motivation

Here we consider an example motivating the need to consider weak viscosity solutions to partial differential equations.

**Example 3.1.** For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  consider the elliptic operators  $L_\epsilon : C^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$L_\epsilon u = -u'' + \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon + x^2)^2} u. \quad (41)$$

Considering the User's Guide's [1] discussion of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, we seek to solve the problem

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} L_\epsilon u = 0 & x \in (-1, 1), \\ u(-1) = u(1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Now, note that the solution to each Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} L_\epsilon u_\epsilon = 0 & x \in (-1, 1), \\ u_\epsilon(-1) = u_\epsilon(1) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

is the smooth function  $u_\epsilon(x) = (\epsilon + 1)^{-1/2} \sqrt{\epsilon + x^2}$ .

Now, let us argue by contradiction that there is no  $C^2$  solution to the Dirichlet problem (42). So assume that  $u \in C^2(-1, 1)$  is a solution to (42). First, note that at  $x = 0$ , we have that  $L_\epsilon u(0) = -u''(0) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} u(0)$ . Therefore, we must have that  $u(0) \leq 0$  and

$$-u''(0) + u(0) = 0. \quad (44)$$

Now, consider if  $x \neq 0$  and  $u \leq 0$ . Then  $\sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} L_\epsilon u(x) = -u''$ . Therefore  $u''(x) = 0$ . Now, if  $u(0) < 0$ , then from the continuity of  $u''$  and equation (44), we have that  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u''(0) = 0$ . So, for any  $x$  such that  $u \leq 0$ , we have that  $u''(0) = 0$ . Therefore, since the boundary values are positive, we must have that  $u \geq 0$  on all of  $(-1, 1)$ .



Now consider the case that  $u(x) > 0$ . The maximum of  $\frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon+x^2)}$  is at  $\epsilon = x^2 \in (0, 1)$ . Therefore,

$$-u''(x) + \frac{1}{4x^2}u = 0. \quad (45)$$

The general solution to this Euler type equation is readily seen to be  $u(x) = c_1|x|^{(1+\sqrt{2})/2} + c_2|x|^{(1-\sqrt{2})/2}$ , for  $x \neq 0$ .

Now, let  $y = \max\{x \in (-1, 1) : u(x) = 0\}$ ; we know that  $y \geq 0$  and  $u'(y) = 0$ . Now, if  $y > 0$ , then we know that (45) has a unique solution to the initial value problem  $u(y) = u'(y) = 0$  on the interval  $(y, 1)$ . However, this solution is clearly  $u = 0$ , but this is impossible for the given boundary conditions. Therefore, we see that  $u > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Similarly,  $u > 0$  on  $(-1, 0)$ . So  $x = 0$  is the only zero of  $u$ .

Now we know that on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $u(x)$  is of the form  $u(x) = c_1x^{(1+\sqrt{2})/2} + c_2x^{(1-\sqrt{2})/2}$ . However, we have that  $u(0) = 0$ . Therefore the continuity of  $u$  gives us that  $c_2 = 0$ . The boundary condition  $u(1) = 1$  then gives us that  $c_1 = 1$ . Similar analysis on  $(-1, 0)$  then gives us that  $u(x) = |x|^{(1+\sqrt{2})/2}$ . However, this solution is not  $C^2$ .

### 3.2 The “Closures” of the semi-jets, $\bar{J}^+u$ and $\bar{J}^-u$

Here we give some worked examples showing that the “closure” of the semi-jets  $\bar{J}^+u$  and  $\bar{J}^-u$  are not given by projections of the closures of the graphs of the semi-jets, e.g. the graph  $\{(x, J^+u(x))\}$  and the graph  $\{(x, u(x), J^+u(x))\}$ .

First, a simple example to illustrate the case of continuous functions.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the function  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $u(x) = |x|$ . Note that  $J^+u : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{R}^2$ . We see that

$$J^+u(x) = \begin{cases} \{-1\} \times [0, \infty) & x < 0, \\ \emptyset & x = 0, \\ \{1\} \times [0, \infty) & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

We have that

$$\bar{J}^+u(x) = \begin{cases} \{-1\} \times [0, \infty) & x < 0, \\ \{-1, 1\} \times [0, \infty) & x = 0, \\ \{1\} \times [0, \infty) & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Now, let us consider the subtle details of the definition of  $\bar{J}^+u$  for upper semi-continuous  $u(x)$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} -x & x < 0, \\ 1+x & x \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

We have that

$$J^+u(x) = \begin{cases} \{-1\} \times [0, \infty) & x < 0, \\ \left((1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}\right) \cup \left(\{1\} \times [0, \infty)\right) & x = 0, \\ \{1\} \times [0, \infty) & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

We then have that  $\overline{J}^+u(x) = J^+u(x)$ . Note that  $\overline{J}^+u(0)$  doesn't include  $\{-1\} \times [0, \infty)$ , because  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^-} u(x) < u(0)$ .

## References

- [1] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P.-L. Lions. user's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, June 1992.