

# k-Medoids algorithm

# Issues with $k$ -Means algorithm

- Results can vary depending on initial random choices
- Can get trapped in a local minimum that isn't the global optimal solution
  - Repeat the clustering procedure multiple times with different initialisations and select the *best* final clustering
- Outliers have a larger effect on the mean value, hence cluster centre and the cluster
- Cluster centres (means) are not actual instances in the cluster
- Euclidean distance used in the algorithm is inappropriate for categorical features

# $k$ -Medoids algorithm

- Representative-based algorithms
  - The goal is to determine  $k$  representatives  $\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k$  that minimise the following objective function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \min_j d(\bar{X}_i, \bar{Y}_j) \right]$$

Unlike the  $k$ -Means, in the  $k$ -Medoids algorithm

- the **distance function** (dissimilarity measure)  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  can be any function convenient for the dataset (not necessarily the Euclidean distance)
- representatives are selected from the dataset

# $k$ -Medoids algorithm

Uses **hill-climbing strategy**:

1. Start with an arbitrary solution to a problem
2. Attempt to find a better solution by making an incremental change to the solution.
3. If the change produces a better solution, another incremental change is made to the new solution, and so on
4. Until no further improvements can be found.

# $k$ -Medoids algorithm

**$k$ -MedoidsClustering** (Number of clusters:  $k$ , Dataset:  $\mathcal{D} = \{\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n\}$ )

## 1. Initialisation phase

Choose  $k$  cluster representatives (**medoids**)  $\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k$  from the dataset randomly

## 2. Assignment phase

Assign all objects in the dataset to the closest representative. The resulting clusters:  $C_1, \dots, C_k$

## 3. Optimisation phase (hill-climbing step)

1. Find a pair  $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ , where  $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\bar{Y} \in \{\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k\}$  such that
2. Replacing  $\bar{Y}$  with  $\bar{X}$  in the set of representatives leads to the greatest possible improvement in the objective function
3. If improvement is positive then replace  $\bar{Y}$  with  $\bar{X}$  and go to phase 2. Otherwise return current clusters  $C_1, \dots, C_k$



# $k$ -Medoids algorithm

## Pros

- Representatives are chosen from the dataset
  - allows for greater interpretability of the cluster representatives
  - more robust to noise and outliers than k-means
- Can be used with arbitrary dissimilarity measures
  - thus applicable to data of complex data type (categorical, mixed, time-series, etc.)

## Cons

- Results can vary depending on initial random choices
- Can get trapped in a local minimum that isn't the global optimal solution
  - Repeat the clustering procedure multiple times with different initialisations and select the best final clustering
- Slower than the  $k$ -means algorithm

# $k$ -Medoids algorithm: time-complexity issue

If we have  $n$  objects in the dataset, then at each execution of the optimisation phase we need to compute  $k \cdot n$  times the incremental objective function change (this is too expensive)

### 3. Optimisation phase (hill-climbing step)

1. Find a pair  $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ , where  $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\bar{Y} \in \{\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k\}$  such that
2. Replacing  $\bar{Y}$  with  $\bar{X}$  in the set of representatives leads to the greatest possible improvement in the objective function
3. If improvement is positive then replace  $\bar{Y}$  with  $\bar{X}$  and go to phase 2. Otherwise return current clusters  $C_1, \dots, C_k$

A solution to this is to use a randomly selected set of  $r$  pairs  $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ , where  $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\bar{Y} \in \{\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k\}$  and use the best of these pairs for the replacement. In this way we need to compute only  $r$  times the incremental objective function change.