

Frequent itemset generation

The Apriori Algorithm

Improved Brute Force Algorithm

If no k -itemset is frequent, then no $(k + 1)$ -itemset is frequent.

Improved Brute Force Algorithm (universe of items U , dataset \mathcal{D} , frequency threshold f)

- For every k from 1 to $|U|$
 - For every k -itemset I
 - Compute support of I
 - The most expensive operation
(depends on the size of the dataset)
 - If $\text{sup}(I) \geq f$, then add I to the family of frequent itemsets
 - If no k -itemset is frequent, then STOP

Main idea

The main idea of the Apriori algorithm

ignore those candidate $(k + 1)$ -itemsets that do not satisfy the Downward Closure Property. These candidates are not frequent.

- \mathcal{C}_k the set of candidate k -itemsets
- \mathcal{F}_k the set of frequent k -itemsets

The Apriori algorithm

Apriori (universe of items U , dataset \mathcal{D} , frequency threshold f)

1. Compute \mathcal{F}_1 , i.e. the set of all frequent 1-itemsets; $\mathcal{F}_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, d$
2. for $k = 2, 3, \dots, d$
3. if \mathcal{F}_{k-1} is empty
4. break;
5. $\mathcal{C}_k = \text{generate-candidates}(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}, k)$
6. for every $I \in \mathcal{C}_k$
7. if $\text{sup}(I) \geq f$
8. Add I to \mathcal{F}_k
9. return $\bigcup_{i=1}^d \mathcal{F}_i$

Assumptions

We assume that

- $U = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$
- Each itemset is an **ordered** subset of U
- The transactions in the dataset are ordered lexicographically.

Example ($U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$)

The dataset ordered lexicographically

$\{1, 2, 4\}$

$\{1, 3, 4\}$

$\{1, 3, 5\}$

$\{2, 1, 5\}$

$\{2, 2, 3\}$

Join representation of candidates

Downward Closure Property

Every subset of a frequent itemset is also frequent.

Let $I = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j_{k-1}, j_k\}$ be the frequent k -itemset, i.e. $I \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Then

1. for every element $j \in I$, the itemset $I - \{j\}$ is frequent $(k - 1)$ -itemset, i.e. $I - \{j\} \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$;
2. in particular, I can be represented as the **union** (also called **join**) of the following two $(k - 1)$ -itemsets from \mathcal{F}_{k-1} :
 1. $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j_{k-1}\}$, and
 2. $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j_k\}$

Hence the itemset I can belong to \mathcal{F}_k only if it can be represented as the union of two itemsets from \mathcal{F}_{k-1}

generate-candidates (frequent itemsets \mathcal{F} , size of itemsets k)

1. Assume that the itemsets in \mathcal{F} are ordered lexicographically

2. $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$

3. **for each** $I \in \mathcal{F}$

4. Let $I = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j_{k-1}\}$, such that $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{k-1}$

5. **for** $j = j_{k-1} + 1, j_{k-1} + 2, \dots, d$

6. $I' = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j\}$

7. **if** $I' \in \mathcal{F}$

8. Add $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-2}, j_{k-1}, j\}$ to \mathcal{C}

9. **for each** $I \in \mathcal{C}$

10. **for each** $j \in I$

11. **if** $I - \{j\} \notin \mathcal{F}$

12. Remove I from \mathcal{C} ; break

13. **return** \mathcal{C}

Join phase

Prune phase

Example

