

## Week 8

Matthew Fitzgerald

UCSB

February 28, 2020

# Endogenizing the Job Finding Rates

- ▶ We might want to be a little more formal with how we model the job finding rates
- ▶ Previously we assumed that agents get a job offer at some rate  $\alpha$
- ▶ Similarly, you may have noticed that we have essentially ignored the firm's side of the problem (workers draw from exogenous wage dist.)
- ▶ Here we will think about both more seriously; we will put the “match” in “search and matching”

## Matching Function

- ▶ Two things that are important when thinking about how easy it is to find a job are the number of unemployed persons ( $u$ ) and the number of job vacancies ( $v$ )
- ▶ Diamond, Moretensen, and Pissarides: assume that flow of contacts between firms and workers follows matching technology  $m = m(u, v)$ , where  $m$  is number of jobs formed during given time interval
- ▶ Assuming that firms and workers are identical, the arrival rates of jobs are then given by

$$\underbrace{\alpha = \frac{m(u, v)}{v}}_{\text{for firms}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{m(u, v)}{u}}_{\text{for workers}}$$

- ▶  $m(\cdot)$  is assumed to be continuous, nonnegative, increasing and concave in both arguments, with

$$m(u, 0) = m(0, v) = 0$$

- ▶ Another standard assumption is that  $m(\cdot)$  is CRS:

$$m(cu, cv) = cm(u, v)$$

- ▶ increasing returns often yields multiplicity of equilibria
  - ▶ CRS is consistent with empirical results
- ▶ If, and when, we assume  $m(\cdot)$  is CRS, the job finding probabilities only depend on  $\theta \equiv v/u$ , which is referred to as the *labor market tightness*

$$\alpha = \frac{m(u, v)}{v} = m\left(\frac{1}{\theta}, 1\right) \qquad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{m(u, v)}{u} = m(1, \theta) = \theta\alpha$$

## A Note on the Matching Function

- ▶ Matching function is a modeling device for economists similar to other aggregate functions in macro (ex: production function)
- ▶ Allows us to model frictions in otherwise conventional models with minimum of added complexity
- ▶ Can account for information imperfections about potential trading partners, heterogeneities, etc.
- ▶ In job search matching function summarizes trading technology between agents who place advertisements, read newspapers, go to employment agencies, and utilize local networks that eventually bring them into productive matches

# Firms

- ▶ A job is defined as a worker-firm pair
- ▶ A filled job is denoted by  $J(\pi)$  where  $\pi = y - w$  is profits (analogous to an employed worker)
- ▶ A vacant job is denoted by  $V$  (analogous to an unemployed worker)
- ▶ In order for a firm to post a vacancy, it must incur a flow cost  $k > 0$  (a “recruitment cost”)
- ▶ Now let's consider a model with a separation rate of  $s$  and a job finding rate (from the firm's perspective) of  $\alpha$

## Workers:

$$rU = b + \theta\alpha \left[ V(w) - U \right]$$

$$rV(w) = w + s \left[ U - V(w) \right]$$

## Firms:

$$rV = -k + \alpha \left[ J(\pi) - V \right]$$

$$rJ(\pi) = \pi + s \left[ V - J(\pi) \right]$$

## Number of Vacancies

- ▶ One loose-end that we have to hammer out regards how many firms post vacancies
- ▶ The standard way of doing so assumes a free-entry condition: firms enter until the value of a vacancy  $V = 0$
- ▶ In other words, firms will enter, diluting the probability with which a firm may find a worker, until the value of posting that vacancy is 0



# Wage Determination

- ▶ We still have yet to specify how wages are determined in this model; before we assumed an exogenous wage distribution  $F(w)$
- ▶ One way of endogenizing the wage is to model  $w$  as the result of a (Nash) bargaining process
- ▶ The *generalized Nash bargaining* solution with *threat points*  $U$  (for workers) and  $V$  (for firms) is given by

$$w \in \operatorname{argmax} \left[ \underbrace{V(w) - U}_{\text{worker surplus}} \right]^{\beta} \left[ \underbrace{J(y - w) - V}_{\text{firm surplus}} \right]^{1-\beta}$$

- ▶  $\beta$ : parameter that captures the relative *bargaining power* of each party

The solution will satisfy the following.

$$\beta \left[ J(y - w) - V \right] V'(w) = (1 - \beta) \left[ V(w) - U \right] J'(y - w)$$

If we recall the expressions from earlier, we can very easily solve for the above derivatives.

$$rV(w) = w + s[U - V(w)]$$

$$V'(w) = \frac{1}{r + s}$$

Same for  $J'(y - w)$ , so we have:

$$V'(w) = J'(y - w) = \frac{1}{r + s}$$

Plugging this in ...

$$V(w) = U + \beta \left[ \underbrace{J(y - w) - V + V(w) - U}_s \right].$$

The above states that the worker receives her threat point  $U$  and some share of the *surplus*:

$$S \equiv J(y - w) - V + V(w) - U.$$

We can use our earlier expressions for  $J(\pi)$  and  $V(w)$  to plug into the above:

$$S = \frac{y - rU - rV}{r + s}.$$

As we have done in the past, we can solve for the *reservation* strategies of workers and firms. Denote them  $w_R$  and  $\pi_R$ , respectively. We can easily determine

$$V(w) - U = \frac{w - w_R}{r + s} \quad \text{and} \quad J(\pi) - V = \frac{\pi - \pi_R}{r + s}.$$

Derivation

The Nash bargaining problem can be simplified to

$$w \in \operatorname{argmax} \left[ w - w_R \right]^{\beta} \left[ y - w - \pi_R \right]^{1-\beta},$$

which can be solved ...

$$w = w_R + \beta(y - \pi_R - w_R).$$

- ▶ Importantly, notice that  $w \geq w_R$  iff  $y \geq y_R \equiv \pi_R + w_R$
- ▶ Similarly,  $\pi = y - w \geq \pi_R$  iff  $y \geq y_R$
- ▶ That is, workers and firms agree to form a relationship iff  $y \geq y_R$ 
  - ▶ in other words, a relationship is formed if the match will produce enough for both the worker and firm to make a gain

# Equilibrium

- ▶ An equilibrium here will be value functions  $(J, V(w), U)$ , a wage  $w$ , and unemployment / vacancy rates  $(u, v)$
- ▶ Let's look for a steady-state; from last class we know how to easily find the s.s. unemployment rate:

$$\underbrace{\theta\alpha u}_{\text{mass leaving UE}} = \underbrace{s(1-u)}_{\text{mass entering UE}} \implies u = \frac{s}{\theta\alpha + s}$$

- ▶ Notice that there is no  $[1 - F(w_R)]$  in the above statement
  - ▶ first, there is no exogenous wage distribution
  - ▶ second, with bargaining and assuming that matches are beneficial for both parties, whenever someone matches the wage will at least be as high as her reservation wage

- ▶ Rewrite the equation giving the surplus as follows (recall free entry implies  $V = 0$ )

$$(r + s)S = y - rU$$

- ▶ Further, we can rewrite the flow value of unemployment utilizing the result from the bargaining process (that the worker will be paid her threat point plus a fraction of the surplus)

$$rU = b + \theta\alpha\beta S$$

- ▶ Plug this in to the first equation above

$$(r + s + \theta\alpha\beta)S = y - b \quad (\star)$$

- For the firm side of things, bargaining implies

$$J(\pi) = (1 - \beta)S$$

- Recalling that with free entry we have  $V = 0$ , we can rewrite the value of a job and plug in the above result

$$\begin{aligned} rV &= -k + \alpha(J(\pi) - V) \implies \alpha J(\pi) = k \\ &\implies \alpha(1 - \beta)S = k \end{aligned} \quad (\star)$$

- Both starred equations completely characterize the equilibrium; indeed, they may combined

$$\frac{r + s + \theta\alpha\beta}{(1 - \beta)\alpha} = \frac{y - b}{k} \quad (\star\star)$$



- ▶ Since we know what  $u$  is,  $(\star\star)$  may be solved for  $v$  (recall that  $v$  is embedded in  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ ):

$$\theta = \frac{v}{u} \qquad \alpha = m \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, 1 \right)$$

- ▶ Similarly, we'll be able to solve for a wage:

$$w = y - (r + s)(1 - \beta)S$$

## Appendix

Here I give the derivation of the unemployed worker's Bellman equation from discrete time, the derivation of the others is similar.

Discrete time:

$$U = b + \beta[\theta\alpha V(w) + (1 - \theta\alpha)U]$$

Generalize length of period to  $dt$  and let  $\beta = \frac{1}{1+rdt}$

$$\begin{aligned}U &= bdt + \frac{1}{1+rdt}[\theta\alpha dt V(w) + (1 - \theta\alpha dt)U] \\U\left(\frac{rdt}{1+rdt}\right) &= bdt + \frac{\theta\alpha dt}{1+rdt}V(w) - \frac{\theta\alpha dt}{1+rdt}U \\U &= \frac{(1+rdt)b}{r} + \frac{\theta\alpha}{r}V(w) - \frac{\theta\alpha}{r}U \\rU &= b + \theta\alpha[V(w) - U]\end{aligned}$$

## Appendix

Here I give the derivation of  $V(w) - U$ , the derivation of  $J(\pi) - V$  is similar. Recall

$$rV(w) = w + s[U - V(w)]$$

Also recall the reservation wage is the wage such that  $V(w_R) = U$ . Then

$$rV(w) - rU = rV(w) - rV(w_R)$$

$$r(V(w) - U) = w + s[U - V(w)] - (w_R + s[U - V(w_R)])$$

$$(r + s)(V(w) - U) = w - w_R$$

$$V(w) - U = \frac{w - w_R}{r + s}$$