

# Classification of Root Systems

Shaheer Ziya

December 27, 2024

# Chapter 1

## Something Something

### 1.1 Root Systems

**Definition 1.** Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

A **root system** in  $E$  is a tuple  $(E, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a finite set of non-zero vectors (called roots) satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\Phi$  spans  $E$ .
2. For every root  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , the set  $\Phi$  is closed under reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\alpha$ . That is, for any two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ , the set  $\Phi$  contains the element

$$\sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \frac{2\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha.$$

Add a figure here to show the reflection through the hyperplane

For convenience and in contexts where the Inner Product Space is clear, the root system is often referred to simply as  $\Phi$ .

**Example 2.** The set  $R_0 = \{\pm\alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha$  is any fixed real number, are roots in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 3.** If a root system the condition that the only multiples of a root,  $\alpha$ , that are in the root system are  $\pm\alpha$ , then the root system is said to be **reduced**.

**Definition 4.** If a root system satisfies the integrality condition below, then it is said to be **crystallographic**.

$$[\beta, \alpha] := \frac{2\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \Phi.$$

For the following examples, denote  $e_i$  as the  $i$ -th standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, in combinations such as  $\pm e_i \pm e_j$ , the signs may be chosen independently.

**Example 5.** The set  $R_1$ , shown below, is a root system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that is neither reduced nor crystallographic.

$$R_1 = \{\pm e_1, (\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}), (\pm \sqrt{3}, \pm 1)\}$$

$R_1$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is closed under reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to any root, hence it is a root system.

However, it is not a **reduced** root system since a scalar multiple of an element in  $R_1$ , namely  $2 \cdot (\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ , is contained in  $R_1$  itself. It is also not a **crystallographic** root system because  $[e_1, (\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})] = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 6.** If we remove the redundant multiple in  $R_1$  above, we obtain a reduced, non-crystallographic root system  $R_2$ .

$$R_2 = \{\pm e_1, (\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})\}$$

One can also construct examples of non-reduced crystallographic root systems. Consider the following example,

**Example 7.** The set  $R_3$  is a root system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that is crystallographic but not reduced.

$$R_3 = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm 2e_1\}$$

$R_3$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is closed under reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to any root, hence it is a root system. It is a **crystallographic** root system because  $[ke_1, e_2] = 0$  and  $[ke_1, k'e_1] = kk' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $k, k' \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . However, it is not a **reduced** root system since  $2e_1 \in R_3$ .

**Example 8.** The set  $R_4$  is a root system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that is reduced and crystallographic.

$$R_4 = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2\}$$

Therefore, we see that a root system may be reduced, crystallographic, both, or neither.

This paper concerns itself primarily with reduced, crystallographic root systems, simply referred to as root systems henceforth, unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 9.** The rank of a root system  $\Phi$  is the dimension of the Euclidean space  $E$ .

**Ambiguous definition for irreducible root systems.**

**Definition 10.** Two root systems can be combined to form a new root system by regarding the Euclidean spaces they span as mutually orthogonal subspaces of a common Euclidean space. A root system which does not arise from such a combination is said to be irreducible. Otherwise, for systems that do arise from such a combination, such as  $R_4$  from  $R_0$  they are said to be reducible.

**Example 11.** The set  $BC_n$  is the only irreducible non-reduced root system (upto isomorphism) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [Source: textcolorredRevealed in a dream].

$$BC_n = \{\pm e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm 2e_i\}$$

**More examples ...**

Since we aim to classify all root systems, upto isomorphism, it is important to understand when two root systems are isomorphic.

**Definition 12.** Two root systems  $(E, \Phi)$  and  $(F, \Psi)$  are said to be isomorphic if there exists a linear isomorphism  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  such that  $\varphi(\Phi) = \Psi$  and preserves the number  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for each pair of roots.

**Examples here ...**