# (ECE453/CS447/ECE653/CS647/SE465) Software Testing, Quality Assurance, and Maintenance Project (100 Points), Version 1

Instructor: Lin Tan Lab Instructor: Bernie Roehl TA in Charge: Tian Jiang

January 28, 2013

Due: 5:00 PM, Thursday, March 28, 2013 Submit: An electronic copy on LEARN

Please download proj-skeleton.tar.gz from the course website to get the necessary source code and test cases needed for finishing this project.

I expect each group to work on the project independently (discussion and collaboration among group members are expected). I will follow UW's Policy 71 if I discover any cases of plagiarism.

#### **Submission Instructions:**

Please read the following instructions carefully. If you do not follow the instructions, you may be penalized up to 5 points.

**Electronic submission:** Go to "Dropbox" - "Project Submission" on LEARN. Submit only one file in .tar.gz format. Please name your file

For example, use John-Smith-12345678.tar.gz if you are John Smith with student No 12345678. You must include your file name as part of your submission comments. The .tar.gz file should contain the following items:

- a single pdf file "proj\_sub.pdf" You must include a cover page that contains your full name, student No, the class number (one of ECE453, CS447, ECE653, CS647, & SE465), and your uwaterloo email address.
- a directory "pi" that contains your code for Project part (I)) (follow the instructions of part (I)). You must use C/C++ or Java for part (I).
- a directory "pii" that contains your code for Project part (II)) (follow the instructions of part (II)).

You can submit multiple times. After submission, you can view your submissions to make sure you have uploaded the right files/versions.

### Part (I) Building an Automated Bug Detection Tool

You will learn likely-invariants from call graphs in a way that is similar to the SOSP'01 paper discussed in class (Coverity). In particular, you will learn what pairs of functions are called together. You will then use these likely-invariants to automatically detect software bugs.

#### (a) Inferring Likely Invariants for Bug Detection

Take a look at the following contrived code segment.

```
void scope1() {
   A(); B(); C(); D();
}
void scope2() {
   A(); C(); D();
}
void scope3() {
   A(); B(); B();
}
void scope4() {
   B(); D(); scope1();
}
void scope5() {
   B(); D(); A();
}
void scope6() {
   B(); D();
}
```

We can learn that function A and function B are called together three times in function scope1, scope3, and scope5. Function A is called four times in function scope1, scope2, scope3, and scope5. We infer that the one time that function A is called without B in scope2 is a bug, as function A and B are called together 3 times. Please note that we only count B once in scope3 although scope3 calls B two times.

We define support as the number of times a pair of functions appears together. Therefore,  $support(\{A,B\})$  is 3. We define  $confidence(\{A,B\},\{A\})$  as  $\frac{support(\{A,B\})}{support(\{A\})}$ , which is  $\frac{3}{4}$ . We set the threshold for support and confidence to be  $T\_SUPPORT$  and  $T\_CONFIDENCE$ , whose default values are 3 and 65%. You only print bugs with confidence  $T\_CONFIDENCE$  or more and with support  $T\_SUPPORT$  times or more. For example, function B is called five times in function scope1, scope3, scope4, scope5, and scope6. The two times that function B is called alone are not printed as a bug as the confidence is only 60% ( $\frac{support(A,B)}{support(B)} = \frac{3}{5}$ ), lower than the  $T\_THRESHOLD$ , which is 65%. Please note that both support(A,B) and support(B,A) are the same, 3.

Perform intra-procedural analysis. For example, do not expand scope1 in scope4 to the four functions A, B, C, and D. Match function names only. Do not consider function parameters. For example, scope1() and scope1(int) are considered the same function.

The sample output with the default support and confidence thresholds should be:

```
bug: A in scope2, pair: (A B), support: 3, confidence: 75.00% bug: A in scope3, pair: (A D), support: 3, confidence: 75.00% bug: B in scope3, pair: (B D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00% bug: D in scope2, pair: (B D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
```

Compile LLVM and generate call graphs. Given a bitcode file, you use *LLVM opt* to generate call graphs in plain text format from the bitcode file, and then analyze the textual call graphs to generate function pairs and detect bugs.

Use LLVM 3.0 64 bits (compilation instructions at https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~lintan/courses/testing/llvm.html). Use LLVM's opt tool to generate call graph in text form from a bitcode file.

A sample call graph in text format is shown as follows:

```
Call graph node for function: 'ap_get_mime_headers_core'<<0x42ff770>> #uses=4
    CS<0x4574458> calls function 'ap_rgetline_core'
    CS<0x4575958> calls external node
    CS<0x4575a50> calls external node
```

```
CS<0x4575b20> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x45775a8> calls external node
CS<0x45776a0> calls external node
CS<0x4577770> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x45783b8> calls external node
CS<0x4579dc0> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x4579f78> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x457a948> calls external node
CS<0x457aa40> calls external node
CS<0x457aa40> calls external node
CS<0x457ab10> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x457d9d0> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x457d9d0> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
CS<0x457d9d0> calls function 'apr_table_compress'
and
Call graph node <<null function><<0x2411e40>> #uses=0
```

The following explanation should help you understand the call graph:

- The above call graph represents the function ap\_get\_mine\_headers\_core calls functions ap\_rgetline\_core, apr\_table\_setn, etc.
- #uses= gives the number of times the caller is called by other functions. For example, ap\_get\_mine\_headers\_core is called 4 times.
- CS denotes call site.
- 0x????? indicates the memory address of the data structure, i.e., call graph nodes and call sites.
- An external node denotes a function that is not implemented in this object file. Ignore external node functions.
- A call graph node of **null function** represents all external callers. Ignore null function nodes entirely.

We will evaluate the performance/scalability of your program on a pass/fail basis. The largest program that we test will contain up to 20k nodes and 60k edges. Each test case will be given a timeout of two minutes. A timed-out test case will receive zero points.

#### Hints:

- Avoid string comparison. Use some kind of ID instead.
- Avoid using strings as keys in a hash table. Use some kind of ID instead.
- Avoid using nested loops. An O(nlgn) approach is MUCH more efficient than an  $O(n^2)$  approach.
- In a nested loop, prune an iteration in the outer loop is more beneficial than in the inner loop.
- Profile your code if the provided test cases take more than 5 seconds to run.

#### Submission protocol. Please follow this protocol and read it CAREFULLY:

- Submit your source code. Please document/comment your code properly so that it is understandable. You must use the skeleton files and include a *Makefile* to compile your program from source.
- $\bullet$  Put your *Makefile* directly under pi directory. To reduce the size of your submission, please remove the sample test cases and the scripts given to you from your submission.
- The script (verify.sh) that we plan to use to verify your output is given to you, or will be given to you soon. Please write your code and makefile to fit the script.
- Please make sure your code runs on ecelinux machines. We will use the provided scripts to grade your project on ecelinux machines. If your code doesn't run on ecelinux, you will receive at most 20 points out of the full 100 points. You must use C/C++ or Java for part (I).
- Marking will be done with strict line comparison. We will count how many pairs are missing (false negatives) and how many pairs are false positives.
- You should print one pair per line to stdout in this format: bug: %s in %s, pair: (%s %s), support: %d, confidence: %.2f\%\n
- $\bullet$  If you use Java, make sure you round decimal numbers in the same way as C/C++ on Linux by setting the appropriate RoundingMode. For example:

```
NumberFormat numf = NumberFormat.getNumberInstance();
numf.setMaximumFractionDigits(2);
numf.setRoundingMode (RoundingMode.HALF_EVEN);
System.out.println("confidence:" + numf.format(confidence*100.0) + "%");
```

- The order of different pairs does not matter. We will run sort before diff as you can see from the script verify.sh.
- The order of the two functions in a pair does not matter in the calculations. Both (foo, bar) and (bar, foo) contribute to the count of pair (bar, foo). However, in order to have consistent output (for easier grading), sort the pair alphabetically while printing. For example, print (bar, foo) instead of (foo, bar).
- Name your program binary pipair. We should be able to run it with the following command: ./pipair <br/> <br/>tcode file> < T\_SUPPORT> < T\_CONFIDENCE>,

```
e.g., ./pipair hello.bc 10 80, to specify the support of 10, and the con
```

./pipair hello.bc 10 80, to specify the support of 10, and the confidence of 80%, or

./pipair < bitcode file>,

./pipair hello.bc, to use the default support of 3, and the default confidence of 65%.

• If running your program requires special command line format such as java YourProgram arguments, your pipair should be a wrapper, e.g.:

```
#!/bin/bash
java YourProgram $0 # $0 represents all command line arguments
```

#### Skeleton files and marking.

- It is recommended to develop on ecelinux or your own computer running a popular flavour of Linux. There were reports show that "sort", as an example, behaves differently in Mac than in Linux. Cygwin and MinGW were not tested.
- In Makefile.common, "PREFIX=" defines where the LLVM executables are located. Specify the FULL path if the executables are not in your \$PATH.
- To generate the output for one test, run "make" in the test's directory. Your output should be identical to the "gold" file. Your output should be passed through "sort" before "diff"ing with the "gold" file, i.e. cat ¡your output; | sort | diff gold\_x\_xx
- To run all tests together, execute "verify.sh". Logs of all output can be found in /tmp.
- clean.sh runs "make clean" in all test directories.
- For marking, we will untar your submission, copy the content of the pi directory, run make, copy over the skeleton files and the full test suite with six tests and run then verify.sh. Note that Each test is given 5 minutes. My prototype runs test3 in 2 seconds and test6 in 25 seconds, and all other tests in tens or hundreds of milliseconds.
- Since the skeleton files and tests are copied over during marking, do NOT modify any files given in proj-skeleton since they will be over-written.

#### Common issues.

• It says "Can't make".

This error indicates there was a problem while verify.sh tried to run make inside the test directories. The error message is usually in the testx\_x\_x.out, or sometimes in /tmp/testing-<your username>-pi-<time of log>.log. Some common errors:

- You are running verify.sh just "out-of-box". pipair is not found because you haven't written one.
- pipair throws an error.
- Don't know how to get the call graph using opt, getting nothing or gibberish.

You should use LLVM's opt tool. opt prints the call graph to stderr, and the content of the bitcode file to stdout if stdout is not the terminal. There are tons of ways of doing it. Suppose you call opt from inside your program, you can either capture opt's stderr, or redirect opt's stderr to its stdout by issuing this command "opt-print-callgraph"

foo.bc 2>&1>/dev/null". If you write a wrapper that executes opt, get the call graph and then calls your program with the call graph. You can either redirect the call graph to a file by issuing this command "opt-print-callgraph  $2>\text{output\_file}>/\text{dev/null}$ ", or pipe the call graph to your program as if it is typed by hand to stdin using this command "opt-print-callgraph 2>&1>/dev/null | YourProgram @". There are still many other ways depending on your design and your language of choice.

- Getting "Segmentation Fault" from opt. If it's only test3, you are using an old version of LLVM. You must use LLVM 3.0 64bit to be able to generate call graph for test3. If it's all tests, you are using a broken LLVM compilation. It is recommended to rm the build directory and start over in the case that make is interrupted for whatever reason. Compilation tips can be found at https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~lintan/courses/testing/llvm.html. Read it thoroughly. There are many common mistakes one could make while compiling LLVM.
- Java throws "NoClassDefFoundError" when running verify.sh, but it works fine running my class manually. The working directories while running the tests is the test directories. You need to specify the path to your .class file using "-cp" option. Your command should look similar to "java -cp .. YourClassName \$@" in your pipair wrapper.

#### (b) Finding and Explaining False Positives

Examine the output of your code for (a) for Apache HTTPD server. Did you find any real bugs? There are some false positives. A false positive is where a line says "bug ..." in your output, but there is nothing wrong with the corresponding code. Discuss why there are false positives. Discuss at least two reasons. (10 points)

Identify at least 10 "bug ..." lines (referred to as 10 locations) for HTTPD combined related to at least 2 pairs of functions that are false positives. For example, the following sample output contains 4 locations regarding 3 pairs, i.e., (A B) (A D) and (B D).

```
bug: A in scope2, pair: (A B), support: 3, confidence: 75.00% bug: A in scope3, pair: (A D), support: 3, confidence: 75.00% bug: B in scope3, pair: (B D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00% bug: D in scope2, pair: (B D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
```

The source code of HTTPD has been provided on ecelinux for your convenience. It is located at: /home/testing/a-pache\_src.

Each directory contains the source code, the bitcode file (.bc), the call graph file in plain text format (.txt), and the sample output (.out) of each project. At the end of the call graph, you'll find the file path where each function is defined, which will help you find the actual function bodies.

If you find new bugs (bugs that have not been found by other people yet), you may receive bonus points. Check the corresponding bug databases of Apache<sup>1</sup> to make sure that the bug you found has not been reported there already. Clearly explain why you think it is a bug and provide evidence that it is a new bug.

#### (c) Inter-Procedural Analysis.

One solution to reduce false positives is inter-procedural analysis, e.g., expanding the function scope1 in the function scope4 to the four functions A, B, C, and D. Implement this solution, and write a report (up to 2 pages) to describe what you did and what you found. You can play with the numbers of levels that you expand. Give an example to illustrate that your solution can do better than the default algorithm used in (a). We will read your report and code. If you submit only one of them (either report or code), you will receive at most 50% of the points for this part ((c)). Make sure your code is well documented and your report is understandable.

#### (d) Improving the Solutions. For ECE653 and CS647 students only!

Propose another solution to reduce false positives and one solution to find more bugs. Implement them and write a 2 page summary. It is OK to implement and describe an approach that has been published. If you do so, make sure you cite the papers.

<sup>1</sup>https://issues.apache.org/bugzilla/

## Part (II) — This part is incomplete for now, and we will update it later.

You will apply Coverity on the following two code bases:

- Your own code from part (I). You learn more from having the tool find defects in code that you wrote, and then fixing the problem and seeing the defect going away. It is OK even if your part (I) code is not 100% correct. You should be able to make it compile at least. Then run Coverity on it. If you code doesn't compile, then you will received zero for this part. Discuss two of the bugs detected by Coverity using up to 1 page. If Coverity finds no bugs, what are the possible reasons?
- Tomcat server. The analysis results are provided to you in directory XX on ecclinux machines. Read the top 10 identified bugs in category XXX. Use a table to mark which ones are true bugs and which ones are false positivies. Why would Coverity find false positives?